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## Foreword

Adolescent pregnancy and childbearing are matters of substantial national concern. Even the analysis and description of these phenomena, much less prescriptions for altering present trends, are highly controversial. And it is all too easy to avoid or to deal only obliquely with issues that arouse so many deep-seated emotions and convictions. There is, nonetheless, broad agreement that the personal and public costs resulting from unintended pregnancies and untimely birth are far too high to countenance an indifferent response. Discontinued educations, reduced employment opportunities, unstable marriages (if they occur at all), low incomes, and heightened health and developmental risks to the children of adolescent mothers are a few of the most obvious and immediate personal costs. Sustained poverty, frustration, and hopelessness are all too often the longterm outcomes. Furthermore, the welfare, Medicaid, and Food Stamp program costs in 1985 for families begun by a birth to a teenager reached $\$ 16.65$ billion.

Programs and services to prevent pregnancy and improve the life chances of teenage parents and their children have appeared in increasing number since the mid-1970s, frequently stirring up powerful or vocal advocates and opponents. An ever more impassioned debate has drawn public, private, voluntary, and philanthropic organizations into a continuing pursuit for "solutions" to the perceived problems. Yet, after more than a decade of experience, there seemed to many observers an imbalance in emphasis between what people believed and what policy makers, professionals, and society in general knew about the impacts and costeffectiveness of alternative prevention and amelioration strategies in light

## viii FOREWORD

of better scientific knowledge about early sexual and fertility behavior. There seemed to be distressingly little discussion about how various interventions work, for whom, under what circumstances, and with what intended and unintended effects. And what were the most promising directions for future policy and program development?

In 1983, at the urging of several of its members, the Committee on Child Development Research and Public Policy within the National Research Council proposed the establishment of a study panel to conduct a broad and dispassionate review of relevant research and program experience and to recommend approaches for policy formulation, program design, research, and evaluation. In 1984, with generous support from five foundations-the Rockefeller Foundation, the Ford Foundation, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation-the Panel on Adolescent Pregnancy and Childbearing began its study. Over a two-year period, this broadly interdisciplinary 15 -person panel and its staff and consultants undertook three major tasks: (1) to assemble, integrate, and assess data on trends in teenage sexual and fertility behavior; (2) to review and synthesize research on the antecedents and consequences of early pregnancy and childbearing; and (3) to review alternative preventive and ameliorative policies and programs.
In meeting its charge, the panel developed two volumes. Volume I presents the panel's findings, conclusions, and recommendations. Detailed background reviews of existing research on factors affecting the initiation of sexual intercourse, contraceptive use, pregnancy and pregnancy resolution, and the consequences of teenage pregnancy, childbearing, and parenting for young mothers, fathers, and their children, as well as the costs and effects of policies and programs, constitute Volume II. Also included in Volume II is a comprehensive statistical appendix presenting data from a variety of sources on trends in teenage sexual and fertility behavior. Throughout Volume I the panel refers to the papers and the statistical appendix in Volume II to support its deliberations. The panel based its study on both existing information and new analyses of existing data. These sources were supplemented by workshops and individual discussions with many federal, state, and local policy makers, program designers, service providers, and evaluators, as well as site visits to a variety of programs across the nation. In accordance with institutional policy, this report has been extensively and thoroughly reviewed by individuals other than members of the study panel.

In recent years, many other individuals and groups representing an array of moral, philosophical, and political perspectives have addressed the complex and controversial issues surrounding adolescent pregnancy. Many have developed recommendations and guidelines for policy makers, service providers, parents, and adolescents themselves. This report is one step in a continuing process of inquiry, review, and synthesis. As a scientific body, the Panel on Adolescent Pregnancy and Childbearing sought to clarify the issues, sharpen awareness of crucial decision points, and define the limits of existing knowledge. Although science cannot resolve issues that are inextricably bound to differences in human values, it can illuminate the trade-offs among different political and ideological positions, and we believe this report will inform the continuing public debate.

On behalf of the members of the parent Committee on Child Development Research and Public Policy, I would like to acknowledge the special contribution of Daniel D. Federman, who served as panel chair. His commitment of time, energy, and intellectual resources over the past two years has been extraordinary. In large part, the success of this study is due to his exquisite leadership. Acknowledgment is also due to the other members of the Panel on Adolescent Pregnancy and Childbearing. All gave generously of their time and knowledge throughout the study. As a diverse group of individuals, they are to be commended for producing a unanimous report on a topic that inevitably raises public controversy and stirs personal convictions.

The members of the panel join the committee in extending our great appreciation to the staff of the study. Cheryl D. Hayes, the study director for the panel as well as the parent committee, once again demonstrated the enormous energy, outstanding thoroughness, and great skill for which she is well known to many of us. Special thanks go also to Celia Shapiro, staff assistant to the committee and the panel, for her tireless attention to administrative detail throughout the study and for her patience and persistence in assembling the references for the two volumes. We gratefully acknowledge the significant contribution of Sandra L. Hofferth of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, who authored many of the research reviews that informed the panel's deliberations and coedited Volume II of the report. The efforts of Dee Ann Wenk of the University of Kentucky, who served as statistical consultant and worked with members of the panel and the staff in compiling the data and preparing the numerous tables and figures that appear in the report and the
statistical appendix, are also gratefully acknowledged. Margaret A. Ensminger and Donna M. Strobino, both of Johns Hopkins University, served as consultants and authored thoughtful background papers that are included in Volume II. Finally, Christine L. McShane, editor for the Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education, edited the report with a critical eye and managed the final production of the volumes.

The panel has also benefited from the contributions of several individuals who prepared special tabulations of existing data to help it address a number of difficult issues that remain unresolved in the available research. Special thanks are due Frank Mott of the Center for Human Resource Research at Ohio State University, William Pratt, Marjorie Horn, Christine Bachrach, and Stephanie Ventura of the National Center for Health Statistics, and Stanley Henshaw of the Alan Guttmacher Institute. Many other individuals played an important role in the panel's deliberations by providing information, critical analysis, advice, and reviews of the draft report and the draft background papers. Their thoughtful comments and insights are reflected in the final manuscripts.

Finally, this study would not have been possible without the generous support of our foundation sponsors. On behalf of the Committee on Child Development Research and Public Policy, I would like to extend special thanks to Mary Kritz of the Rockefeller Foundation, Prudence Brown of the Ford Foundation, Anne Firth Murray of the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, Paul Jellinek of the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and Marilyn Steele of the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation. Their encouragement and advice greatly enhanced the study at every stage.

William A. Morrill, Chair Committee on Child Development

Research and Public Policy

## Preface

No human experience is at once so transiently private and lastingly public as an unintended pregnancy. When the mother herself is a young adolescent, only partially educated and almost wholly economically dependent, the pregnancy is inevitably enmeshed in a ragged tapestry of personal, interpersonal, social, religious, ethical, and economic dimensions. The peculiarly human gap between reproductive maturation and social self-sufficiency sets the stage for the problem. Many factors beyond the control-even the ken-of the young people involved complicate the scene. At every point, external expectations batter on newly emerging drives, challenging young adolescents to balance immediate satisfaction and long-range consequences radically disproportionate from anything they have previously had to deal with. It is little wonder that in this very complicated arena research has been difficult and social consensus elusive.
Our panel was convened to collect, review, and evaluate the data on trends in adolescent pregnancy and childbearing and on the antecedents and consequences of this phenomenon and to initiate proposals for the evolution of potentially helpful programs. We had the generous support of five foundations: the Ford Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, and the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation, all of which have demonstrated a long-standing interest in issues associated with adolescent pregnancy and childbearing. Many have made substantial investments in a growing body of relevant research and a lengthening list of targeted programs. Their interest in this study-and indeed, as a panel of scholars and experts, our interest in undertaking it-is a concern about the prob-
lems of early unintended pregnancy and parenting in our society and what is known about how to effectively address them. Our sponsors were models of what scholars hope for-generous, supportive, and never intrusive. The project officers were consistently helpful, but at no time was any of our work constrained by the foundations nor beholden to them. The staff of the National Research Council was consistently supportive, and our study director, Cheryl Hayes, who also serves as executive officer of the parent Committee on Child Development Research and Public Policy, was at once a colleague, a paragon, and the principal drafter of the report.

Few people can approach the problem of teenage pregnancy dispassionately. Becoming sexually active, using contraception, considering abortion or adoption-every step is invested with a panoply of moral and religious questions, and these decisions are often undertaken alone by a frightened and immature young woman who would be considered a child in nearly any other context. A consciousness of this poignance pervades our report, and deliberately so. The panel believes that at each stephowever much one may wish for a different outcome of a prior decisionthe potentially or actually pregnant teenager should be treated kindly and warmly and should have a complete set of options available without the interposition of moral hounding or economic barriers.

In general, we believe preventive strategies should be given more public and private support than is now available. An international comparison study by the Alan Guttmacher Institute, of which the panel was beneficiary, provided valuable insight into the role of preventive services in countries of comparable levels of teenage sexual activity.

Many social circumstances are closely related to the problem of teenage pregnancy and childbearing. Youth unemployment, poverty, poor education, single-parent families, television content-all these and more are accompaniments and very likely determinants of the high rates of adolescent pregnancy in the United States. The hope for a solution to the problem of teenage pregnancy is illusory without simultaneous amelioration of some of these contributing factors. Pending such comprehensive change, the panel urges prevention rather than denial, kindness rather than exhortation, and research rather than doctrine.

Daniel D. Federman, Chair
Panel on Adolescent
Pregnancy and Childbearing

## I. SEXUAL ACTIVITY AMONG ADOLESCENTS

This section presents information on sexual activity among adolescents in the United States. Data are provided on sexual activity among never-married teens and married teens before and after marriage. In most cases the designation of being sexually active pertains to men and women ever having intercourse. Data are also provided on frequency of sexual intercourse, number of premarital sexual partners, location of first intercourse and other factors that may affect the timing of first sexual intercourse among adolescents, such as age at first menstruation.

The data came from three main sources, the National Surveys of Young Women and Men (NSYW/M), the National Longitudinal Surveys of Youth (NLS), and the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG). The 1979 NSYW/M are for U.S. metropolitan areas only. For this reason the data in Table 1.2 from the 1971 and the 1976 NSYW/M and the 1982 NSFG were adjusted to include metropolitan areas only, in order to present a comparable time series. In subsequent tables for which similar data from the 1982 NSFG were not available, only data from the NSYW/M are presented. Data on young men are only available in the NSYM and the NLS.

The estimates of female sexual activity based on data from the NLS and the NSFG although generally consistent, differ in three respects. First, the age categories for the NSFG are mid-year (i.e., age 15 means 15.5 years) whereas for the NLS data, the age categories extend to the end of a specified age (i.e., age 15 means until the l6th birthday). Second, unless otherwise noted data from the NLS include all women regardless of marital status. Third, Hispanic persons in the NLS data may be of any race (black or white); in the NSFG data, unless otherwise noted black and white totals include Hispanic persons. This means that the race and ethnicity categories are not mutually exclusive. The NSYW/M do not include data on Hispanics.

$$
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TABLE l.l Proportion Of Women Aged 15 To 19 Beginning Menstruation At Specific Ages, By Race Of Women, 1976 - 19801

| Age at First | Proportion of Women, by Race |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Whites | Blacks |
| 8-10 | $5.1 \%$ | 4.68 | $7.6 \%$ |
| 11 | 12.7 | 12.1 | 17.6 |
| 12 | 29.4 | 29.6 | 24.7 |
| 13 | 30.2 | 31.4 | 25.8 |
| 14 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 14.8 |
| 15 | 5.9 | 5.8 |  |
| 16 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 9.5 |
| $17+$ | 0.7 | 0.7 |  |
|  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Age | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.5 |
| $\mathrm{N}=$ | 2,121 | 1,767 | 305 |

Notes: None of the black-white differences in age at menarche reach accepted levels of statistical significance. The total group includes 49 women of other race groups. Where cell sizes fell below 25, data are grouped in categories.
ldata are derived from women's responses to a question in the medical interview, "How old were you when your period or menstrual cycles started? Data were coded in years.

Source: Unpublished tabulation from Ronette Briefel, National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS, Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1976 - 1980.

TABLE 1.1
Table l.l shows the proportion of women aged 15 to 19 (studied between 1976 and 1980) by age at menarche and race. These data were tabulated from the Second National Health and Nutrition Survey. Over three quarters, 77.4 percent, of all women began menstruation by age 13, 96.4 percent of all women began menstruation by age 15. The mean age at first menstruation for all women was 12.6 years, 12.7 years for white women and 12.5 years for black women. The difference in age at first menstruation between black and white women is not statistically significant.
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TABLE l. 2 Percent Never Married Women Living In Metropolitan Areas Ever Experiencing Sexual Intercourse, By Age 1971 - 1982 (percent who have had intercourse)

| Race and Age | 1982 | 1979 | 1976 | 1971 | Percent Change 1971-82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Races* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 42.2 | 46.0 | 39.2 | 27.6 | 52.9 |
| 15 | 17.8 | 22.5 | 18.6 | 14.4 | 23.6 |
| 16 | 28.1 | 37.8 | 28.9 | 20.9 | 34.4 |
| 17 | 41.0 | 48.5 | 42.9 | 26.1 | 57.0 |
| 18 | 52.7 | 56.9 | 51.4 | 39.7 | 32.7 |
| 19 | 61.7 | 69.0 | 59.5 | 46.4 | 33.0 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.3 | 42.3 | 33.6 | 23.2 | 73.7 |
| 15 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 13.8 | 11.3 | 53.1 |
| 16 | 26.9 | 35.4 | 23.7 | 17.0 | 58.0 |
| 17 | 39.5 | 44.1 | 36.1 | 20.2 | 95.5 |
| 18 | 48.6 | 52.6 | 46.0 | 35.6 | 36.5 |
| 19 | 59.3 | 64.9 | 53.6 | 40.7 | 45.7 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 52.9 | 64.8 | 64.3 | 52.4 | 1.0 |
| 15 | 23.2 | 41.1 | 38.9 | 31.2 | -25.6 |
| 16 | 36.3 | 50.4 | 55.1 | 44.4 | -18.2 |
| 17 | 46.7 | 73.3 | 71.0 | 58.9 | -20.7 |
| 18 | 75.7 | 76.3 | 76.2 | 60.2 | 25.7 |
| 19 | 78.0 | 88.5 | 83.9 | 78.3 | $-.4$ |

*Includes races other than Black and White.

Sources: Melvin Zelnik \& John F. Kantner, "Sexual Activity, Contraceptive Use amd Pregnancy Among Metropolitan-Area Teenagers: 1971-1979", Family Planning Perspectives, Vol. 12, No. 5, Sept/Oct 1980. William Pratt, NCHS, National Survey of Family Growth, 1982, Cycle III, unpublished tabulations, 1984.

TABLE 1.2

Table l. 2 shows the percent of never married women aged 15 to 19 living in metropolitan areas who had ever experienced sexual intercourse by 1971, 1976, 1979 and 1982. For all 15- to 19-year-old metropolitan women, there was a 53 percent increase in the percent of sexually experienced females between 1971 and 1982 due primarily to increases among whites. Overall, the percent increase in the proportion sexually experienced was the largest among l7-year olds. There was also a substantial increase in the proportion of females aged 15 and 16 who were sexually experienced.

Although the proportion sexually active increased during the l970s, between 1979 and 1982, there was a slight decrease in the percent of metropolitan teenage females experiencing intercourse. Of women aged 15 to 19 in 1982, about 42 percent had had intercourse compared to 46 percent of women aged 15 to 19 in 1979. The decline in the proportion sexually active occurred for 15-, 16-, 17-, 18- and 19-year-old female teenagers regardless of race. The decline for white teenagers was slight, from 42.3 to 40.3 percent for 15 - to l9-year-olds and is not statistically significant. The decline for black teenagers was much larger, from 64.8 to 52.9 percent for l5- to l9-year-olds. From a statistical perspective this trend is only marginally certain, and will have to be monitored to determine its significance.

It is important to note that, while more than 50 percent of females aged 18 to 19 in 1982 had experienced intercourse, fewer than 30 percent of females aged 15 and 16 in 1982 had done so.

TABLE 1.3 All Women And Never-Married Women Who Have Ever Had Sexual Intercourse, By Age And Race, 1982, National Survey Of Family Growth

| Number of Women (l000s) | \% Who Had Intercourse |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Total** White Black Total** White Black |

## All Women

| All Ages $15-44$ | 54,099 | 45,367 | 6,985 | 86.3 | 86.0 | 89.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 9,521 | 7,815 | 1,416 | 46.9 | 44.9 | 58.9 |
| $15-17$ | 5,122 | 4,119 | 821 | 32.2 | 30.1 | 44.1 |
| 15 | 1,474 | 1,191 | 209 | 19.2 | 17.9 | 28.0 |
| 16 | 1,601 | 1,302 | 260 | 30.4 | 28.8 | 41.6 |
| 17 | 2,046 | 1,626 | 352 | 43.0 | 40.1 | 55.4 |
| 18 | 2,327 | 1,967 | 302 | 58.1 | 54.8 | 77.0 |
| 19 | 2,072 | 1,728 | 293 | 70.7 | 69.0 | 82.0 |
| $20-24$ | 10,629 | 8,855 | 1,472 | 85.4 | 84.5 | 93.2 |
| $25-44$ | 33,949 | 28,697 | 4,097 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 99.0 |

Never-Married Women

| All Ages 15-44 | 19,164 | 14,948 | 3,545 | 61.3 | 57.6 | 79.6 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $15-19$ | 8,839 | 7,193 | 1,377 | 42.8 | 40.2 | 57.8 |
| $15-17$ | 4,968 | 3,971 | 818 | 30.1 | 27.5 | 43.8 |
| 15 | 1,460 | 1,177 | 209 | 18.4 | 16.9 | 28.0 |
| 16 | 1,559 | 1,263 | 257 | 28.5 | 26.7 | 40.8 |
| 17 | 1,949 | 1,531 | 352 | 40.1 | 36.4 | 55.4 |
| 18 | 2,107 | 1,768 | 289 | 53.8 | 49.7 | 76.1 |
| 19 | 1,764 | 1,454 | 270 | 65.6 | 63.2 | 80.5 |
| $20-24$ | 5,811 | 4,502 | 1,084 | 73.3 | 69.5 | 90.8 |
| $25-44$ | 4,514 | 3,252 | 1,084 | 82.0 | 79.7 | 96.3 |

*Single years of age refer to the mid-points in the age intervals, e.g., 15 means 15.5 years.
**Includes races other than White and Black.
Source: Pratt et al. "Understanding U.S. Fertility," Population Bulletin, Volume 39 No. 5, December 1984. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 1.3
Table 1.3 shows data from the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) on the number and percent of all women and never married women who ever had sexual intercourse by age and race for 1982. Among all women aged 15 to 19,47 percent had had sexual intercourse ( 44.9 percent of white teens and 58.9 percent of black teens), compared to more than 85 percent of all women older than age 20. The proportions of never-married women aged 15-19 ever having had sexual intercourse are only slightly lower than for all women aged 15 to 19 because most women under age 20 have never been married. Unmarried teens account for 32 percent of all unmarried women ever having had intercourse and 8 percent of all sexually active women.

It should be noted that the proportions presented in this table for never-married women differ slightly for those presented in Table l. 2 for 1982 because they are not limited to metropolitan areas.

TABLE 1.4 Cumulative Sexual Activity By Single Year Of Age, Sex, Race And Ethnicityl, 1983, National Longitudinal Survey Of Youth

| Age* | Cumulative \% Sexually Active |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female |
| Total |  |  |
| 15 | 16.6 | 5.4 |
| 16 | 28.7 | 12.6 |
| 17 | 47.9 | 27.1 |
| 18 | 64.0 | 44.0 |
| 19 | 77.6 | 62.9 |
| 20 | 83.0 | 73.6 |
| N | (4657) | (4648) |
| White |  |  |
| 15 | 12.1 | 4.7 |
| 16 | 23.3 | 11.3 |
| 17 | 42.8 | 25.2 |
| 18 | 60.1 | 41.6 |
| 19 | 75.0 | 60.8 |
| 20 | 81.1 | 72.0 |
| N | (2828) | (2788) |
| Black |  |  |
| 15 | 42.4 | 9.7 |
| 16 | 59.6 | 20.1 |
| 17 | 77.3 | 39.5 |
| 18 | 85.6 | 59.4 |
| 19 | 92.2 | 77.0 |
| 20 | 93.9 | 84.7 |
| N | (1146) | (1157) |
| Hispanics |  |  |
| 15 | 19.3 | 4.3 |
| 16 | 32.0 | 11.2 |
| 17 | 49.7 | 23.7 |
| 18 | 67.1 | 40.2 |
| 19 | 78.5 | 58.6 |
| 20 | 84.2 | 69.5 |
| N | (683) | (703) |

Note: Sample is limited to respondents age 20 and over at 1983 survey date.
*Percentages reference birthday for specified ages, e.g., 15 means by l5th birthday or end of 14.
lHispanic persons may be of any race.
Source: Special Tabulations from the 1983 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth Center for Human Resource Research, Ohio State University.

TABLE 1.4
Data on the cumulative percent of males and females in the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLS) who reported having had sexual intercourse are presented in Table l.4. by age and race.

In all, 4 out of every 5 males and 7 out of every 10 females were sexually active by age 20. Among males, blacks were more likely to be sexually experienced than both Hispanic and white males. Nearly 60 percent of the black males had had intercourse by age 16 and 94 percent had had intercourse by age 20. In comparison, by age 16 about 32 percent of the Hispanic males and less than a quarter of the white males were sexually experienced. By age 20 , slightly more than 80 percent of white and Hispanic males had had intercourse.

This data base, like the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), indicates that black females were more likely to have had intercourse than whites or Hispanics. Approximately 2 out of 10 black females were sexually active by age 16 and more than 8 out of 10 were sexually active by age 20. In contrast, only $l$ out of 10 white and Hispanic females were sexually active by age 16 and 7 out of 10 were sexually active by age 20 .

In general, males were sexually active at younger ages than females, and blacks were sexually active at younger ages than whites or Hispanics.
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TABLE 1.5 Cumulative Percentage of Women And Men Under 20 Who Ever Had Intercourse, By Age, Race And Study, United States, 1938 to 1984

| Study | Year | Age | Females |  | Males |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | White | Black | White | Black |
| Kinsey, Pomeroy | 1938-50 | 13 | 1 | -- | 14.8 | -- |
| \& Martin, 1948 |  | 14 | 2 | -- | 27.8 | -- |
| Kinsey, Pomeroy, |  | 15 | 3 | -- | 38.8 | -- |
| Martin \& Gebhard, |  | 16 | 7 | -- | 51.6 | -- |
| 1953, U.S. |  | 17 | -- | -- | 61.3 | -- |
|  |  | 18 | -- | -- | 68.2 | -- |
|  |  | 19 | -- | -- | 71.5 | -- |
|  |  | 20 | 20 | -- | 73.1 | -- |
| Vener \& Stewart, 1974; Michigan, School B Sample, Tables $4 \& 5$ | 1970 | 13 | 10 | -- | 24 | -- |
|  |  | 14 | 10 | -- | 21 | -- |
|  |  | 15 | 13 | -- | 26 | -- |
|  |  | 16 | 23 | -- | 31 | -- |
|  |  | 17+ | 27 | -- | 38 | -- |
|  |  | TOTAL | 16 | -- | 28 | -- |
|  | 1973 | 13 | 10 | -- | 28 | -- |
|  |  | 14 | 17 | -- | 32 | -- |
|  |  | 15 | 24 | -- | 38 | -- |
|  |  | 16 | 31 | -- | 38 | -- |
|  |  | 17+ | 35 | -- | 34 | -- |
|  |  | TOTAL | 22 | -- | 33 | -- |
| Miller \& Simon | 1971 | 14-15 | 5.3 | -- | 7.8 | -- |
| 1974, Illinois |  | 16-17 | 21.7 | -- | 20.9 | -- |
| Table 3 |  | TOTAL | 13.0 | -- | 14.0 | -- |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sorenson, } 1973 \\ & \text { Table 404, U.S. } \end{aligned}$ | 1972 | 13-15 | 30 |  | 44 |  |
|  |  | 16-19 | 57 |  | 72 |  |
|  |  | TOTAL | 45 |  | 59 |  |
| ```Simon, Berger & Gaznon, 1972 Table III (College Youth, U.S.)``` | 1967 | lt 18 | 7 | -- | 25 | -- |
|  |  |  | 19 | -- | 36 | -- |
|  |  |  | 30 | -- | 63 | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jessor \& Jessor 1975, Colorado Table l | 1972 | Grade $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 11 \\ 12\end{array}$ | 26 | -- | 21 | -- |
|  |  |  | 40 | -- | 28 | -- |
|  |  |  | 55 | -- | 33 | -- |
| Udry, 1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raleigh, NC | 1978 | 13 | 6.1 | 35.0 | 27.0 | 69.8 |
| Tallahassee, FL | 1980 | 14 | 11.0 | 39.3 | 28.8 | 75.9 |
| ```Zabin el al, 1984 Inner city Baltimore,``` | 1981-82 | lt 16 | 34.8 | 54.0 | 65.9 | 83.3 |
|  |  | 16+ | 59.9 | 80.3 | 76.8 | 93.1 |

Source: Refer to references at the end of text.

TABLE 1.5
Table 1.5 presents cumulative percentage distributions of women and men under age 20 who ever had intercourse by age, race and study for the United States from 1938 to 1964. This table shows both the trends over time in adolescent sexual activity and variations and consistencies in sample estimates.
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TABLE 1.6 Percent of Sexually Experienced NeverMarried Women Aged 15-19 Who Had Intercourse Only Once, by Age and Race, 1976

| Age | Race |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  | Black |  |
|  | All | \% | N | \% | N |
| 15-19 | 14.8 | 14.3 | 379 | 12.7 | 410 |
| 15-17 | 19.9 | 18.4 | 206 | 18.4 | 217 |
| 18-19 | 8.6 | 9.3 | 173 | 6.2 | 193 |

Source: M. Zelnik and J.T. Kantner, "Sexual and Contraceptive Experience of Young Unmarried Women in the United States 1976 and 1971." Family Planning Perspectives 9, 1977. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 1.6
Table 1.6 shows the percent of a sample of sexually experienced never-married women aged 15 to 19 in 1976 who had only had sexual intercourse once. Data are from the 1976 National Survey of Young Women (NSYW). Among sexually experienced never-married 15- to 19-yearold women, nearly 15 percent had sexual intercourse only once. About 20 percent of women aged 15 to 17 and fewer than 10 percent of women ages 18 to 19 reported having had intercourse only one time. There were no substantial differences by race.

TABLE 1.7 Frequency Of Sexual Intercourse Among Unmarried Females 15 To 24 Who Ever Had Intercourse By Race, 1982 National Survey Of Family Growth

| Frequency of | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Intercourse | 15-17 | 18-19 | 15-19 | 20-24 |
| All Races* |  |  |  |  |
| Total ever having | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| intercourse | ( $\mathrm{N}=295$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=473$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=768$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=626$ ) |
| No intercourse |  |  |  |  |
| in last 3 months | 18.3 | 17.9 | 18.1 | 24.3 |
| Once a month | 20.7 | 13.5 | 16.4 | 14.2 |
| 2-3 times a month | 27.9 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 22.3 |
| Once a week | 21.4 | 20.6 | 20.9 | 18.5 |
| More than twice per week | 9.8 | 20.7 | 16.3 | 19.3 |
| Daily | 1.9 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 1.4 |
| White |  |  |  |  |
| Total ever having | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| intercourse | ( $\mathrm{N}=146$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=175$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=321$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=367$ ) |
| No intercourse |  |  |  |  |
| in last 3 months | 21.0 | 20.5 | 20.7 | 27.8 |
| Once a month | 14.5 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 14.5 |
| 2-3 times a month | 29.2 | 20.8 | 24.1 | 20.5 |
| Once a week | 23.2 | 20.7 | 21.6 | 17.0 |
| More than twice per week | 10.8 | 22.0 | 17.6 | 19.3 |
| Daily | 1.3 | 4.9 | 3.6 | 0.9 |
| Black |  |  |  |  |
| Total ever having | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| intercourse | ( $\mathrm{N}=146$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=291$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=437$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=250$ ) |
| No intercourse |  |  |  |  |
| in last 3 months | 11.8 | 7.5 | 9.5 | 15.0 |
| Once a month | 39.5 | 23.0 | 30.8 | 12.6 |
| 2-3 times a month | 24.9 | 29.4 | 27.2 | 29.8 |
| Once a week | 13.3 | 21.0 | 17.4 | 21.3 |
| More than twice per week | 7.2 | 16.9 | 12.3 | 18.8 |
| Daily | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.5 |

*Includes Blacks, White and other. Other category too small to percentage.

Source: Special Tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, Cycle III, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS.
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TABLE 1.7
Table 1.7 presents the percentage distributions of unmarried fe. males aged 15 to 24 who ever had sexual intercourse by race according to frequency of intercourse. These data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth. (NSFG)

Overall, 18.1 percent of sexually experienced female teenagers reported that they had not had intercourse in the three months prior to the interview, 20.7 percent of whites and 9.5 percent of blacks. The young teenagers, aged 15 to 17 , were somewhat less likely to have had sexual intercourse twice per week or more frequently than older teenagers.

TABLE 1.8 Distribution (in percentages) Of Total Number Of Premarital Sexual Partners, By Race: 1971, 1976 And 1979 - Sexually Experienced Females Aged 15 to 19, Metropolitan U.S.

| No. Of Partners* | Sexually Experienced Females Aged 15-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1971 |  |  | 1976 |  |  | 1979 |  |  |
|  | Total $(n=919)$ | White $\text { ( } n=431 \text { ) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (n=488) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (n=714) \end{aligned}$ | White $(n=344)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (n=370) \end{aligned}$ | Total $(n=933)$ | White $(n=476)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (n=457) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 61.7 | 61.8 | 61.4 | 52.5 | 56.2 | 42.8 | 48.9 | 51.1 | 40.9 |
| 2-3 | 24.6 | 23.0 | 29.5 | 27.7 | 23.0 | 40.0 | 35.1 | 33.0 | 42.7 |
| 4-5 | 6.8 | 7.4 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 11.6 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 11.4 |
| 6 or more | 6.9 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 12.6 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 8.9 | 5.0 |
| Mean | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 2.9 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 |

*In the 1971 survey these precoded categories were used; in the 1976 and 1979 surveys individual responses were recoded.
n/a: Not available.

Source: M. Zelnik, "Sexual Activity Among Adolescents: Perspective of a Decade," In E.R. McAnarey (Ed.), Premature Adolescent Pregnancy and Parenthood. New York: Grune and Stratton, 1983. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 1.8
The percentage distribution of a sample of women by race and by total number of premarital sexual partners as of the survey date is shown for 1971,1976 and 1979 in Table l.8. The percent of women who had had only one premarital sexual partner was lower in 1979 than in 1976 or 1971,49 compared to 53 and 62 percent respectively. The largest difference was for black women. In l97l, 60 percent of the black women had had only one premarital sexual partner, while in 1979 about 40 percent of the black women had had only one premarital sexual partner. There was about an 11 percent age point decline in the number of white women who had had one partner in 1971 compared to 1979, 62 versus 51 percent. Although in all years white teenagers were more likely than black teenagers to have had only one nonmarital sexual partner, they were slightly more likely than blacks to have had 6 or more such partners.
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TABLE 1.9 Percentage Distribution Of Women Aged 15-19 And Of Men Aged 17-21, By Relationship With Their First Sexual Partner, According To Race, 1979, Metropolitan U.S.

| Relationship With First Partner | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=936) \end{aligned}$ | White $(\mathrm{N}=478)$ | Black $(\mathrm{N}=458)$ | Total $(N=670)$ | White $(N=396)$ | Black $(\mathrm{N}=274)$ |
| Engaged | 9.3 | 9.6 | 8.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Going steady | 55.2 | 57.6 | 46.5 | 36.5 | 39.2 | 21.9 |
| Dating | 24.4 | 22.2 | 32.6 | 20.0 | 20.2 | 19.0 |
| Friends | 6.7 | 6.0 | 9.4 | 33.7 | 30.2 | 52.4 |
| Recently met | 4.4 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 5.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: M. Zelnik and F.K. Shah, "First Intercourse Among Young Americans," Family Planning Perspectives, 15 (2) (March/April), 1983. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 1.9
Table 1.9 shows the percentage distribution of women aged 15 to 19 and of men aged 17 to 21 by their relationship with their first sexual partner by race. Data are from the National Survey of Young Women (NSYW) for metropolitan areas only in 1979.

Among the women, over 85 percent of the white and black women were engaged, going steady, or dating their first sexual partners. Only 4.6 percent of the white women and 3.3 percent of the black women had recently met their first sexual partners. Among males, however, 40 percent of the white men and 58 percent of the black men said that their first sexual partners were friends or someone they had just recently met.

TABLE 1.10 Percentage Distribution Of Women Aged 15-19 And Of Men Aged 17-21, By Relationship With Their First Sexual Partner, According To Age At First Intercourse, 1979, Metropolitan U.S.

|  | Women |  |  | Men |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relationship With First Partner | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & (N=273) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & (\mathrm{~N}=555) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18+ \\ & (N=103) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <15 \\ & (N=305) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-17 \\ & (\mathrm{~N}=294) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18+ \\ & (N=64) \end{aligned}$ |
| Eng aged | 3.9 | 6.8 | 18.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| Going steady | 44.4 | 61.9 | 46.1 | 20.0 | 46.2 | 47.9 |
| Dating | 28.9 | 21.6 | 29.0 | 18.6 | 22.4 | 12.6 |
| Friends | 13.2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 54.4 | 20.0 | 26.7 |
| Recently met | 9.6 | 3.4 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 10.6 | 12.8 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: M. Zelnik and F.K. Shah, "First Intercourse Among Young Americans," Family Planning Perspectives, 15 (2) (March/April), 1983. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 1.10
Table l.l0 shows the percentage distribution of women aged 15 to 19 and of men aged 17 to $2 l$ by relationship with their first sexual partner according to age at first intercourse. Data are from the National Survey of Young Women (NSYW) for metropolitan areas only in 1979.

Among women, teenagers who were younger at first intercourse (less than 17 years) were more likely to have recently met and less likely to be engaged to their first partner than teenage women who had first intercourse at age 18 or 19. Among males, however, those who first had sexual intercourse at age 18 to 21 were more likely to have recently met their first partner than males who first had intercourse under age 18.
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TABLE l. ll Percentage Distribution Of Locale Of First Premarital Intercourse, Women Aged 15-19, By Race, 1976 And 1979, Metropolitan U.S.

| Locale | 1976 |  |  | 1979 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total $(N=713)$ | White $(N=345)$ | Black $(\mathrm{N}=368)$ | Total $(\mathrm{N}=923)$ | White $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=469 \text { ) }$ | Black $(N=454)$ |
| Respondent's home | 17.6 | 16.2 | 21.2 | 18.5 | 17.9 | 20.7 |
| Partner's home | 43.5 | 43.8 | 43.1 | 49.2 | 48.4 | 51.7 |
| Home of relative/ friend | 21.1 | 21.5 | 20.2 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 11.5 |
| Motel/hotel | 5.5 | 3.3 | 11.5 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 11.7 |
| Car | 7.1 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 8.9 | 10.6 | 2.7 |
| Elsewhere | 5.2 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 8.3 | 1.7 |

Source: M. Zelnik, "Sexual Activity Among Adolescents: Perspective of a Decade," In E.R. McAnarey (Ed.), Premature Adolescent Pregnancy and Parenthood. New York: Grune and Stratton, 1983. Reprinted by permission.

## TABLE 1.11

Table l.ll shows the percentage distribution of the location of first nonmarital intercourse for women aged 15 to 19 by race. The data are from the National Survey of Young Women (NSYW) for 1976 and 1979, metropolitan areas only.

For the majority, over three-quarters, in both 1976 and 1979, of all the women aged 15-19 who had a first premarital intercourse, the location was the home of the respondent, the partner or a friend or relative. In both years, black women were more likely than white women to first have sexual intercourse in a motel/hotel. For white women the location of first premarital intercourse was more likely to be a car or elsewhere than for black women. The partner's home was twice as likely to be the location of first intercourse as the young women's home.
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TABLE 1.12 Estimated Cummulative Percent Ever Experiencing Sexual Intercourse By Single Year of Age, Race, Ethnicity ${ }^{1}$ And Mother's Education, 1983, National Longitudinal Survey of Youth

| Age* | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Respondent's Mother's Education ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | < HS | $=\mathrm{HS}$ | $>\mathrm{HS}$ | $<\mathrm{HS}$ | $=\mathrm{HS}$ | $>\mathrm{HS}$ |
| Total Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 23.9 | 13.7 | 12.0 | 7.3 | 4.7 | 3.3 |
| 16 | 38.0 | 24.8 | 23.0 | 16.9 | 10.2 | 10.4 |
| 17 | 57.9 | 43.8 | 40.6 | 34.6 | 23.7 | 21.4 |
| 18 | 72.4 | 61.8 | 55.6 | 53.7 | 40.5 | 34.1 |
| 19 | 83.5 | 77.6 | 68.7 | 71.8 | 61.4 | 50.5 |
| 20 | 87.6 | 82.8 | 76.5 | 81.3 | 73.4 | 60.8 |
| N | 1808 | 1878 | 790 | 1975 | 1791 | 756 |
| Whites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 18.5 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 3.2 |
| 16 | 31.2 | 20.4 | 20.6 | 15.9 | 9.2 | 10.5 |
| 17 | 52.8 | 40.0 | 37.2 | 33.6 | 22.6 | 20.2 |
| 18 | 68.6 | 58.8 | 52.9 | 53.5 | 39.1 | 31.9 |
| 19 | 81.3 | 75.8 | 66.4 | 72.4 | 59.9 | 48.5 |
| 20 | 85.7 | 91.5 | . 74.9 | 82.0 | 72.4 | 59.0 |
| N | 814 | 1359 | 592 | 883 | 1288 | 577 |
| Blacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 42.8 | 43.7 | 12.0 | 6.8 |  |  |
| 16 | 62.7 | 58.0 | 23.2 | 16.1 |  |  |
| 17 | 79.3 | 76.3 | 44.0 | 33.8 |  |  |
| 18 | 87.7 | 85.1 | 63.2 | 53.9 |  |  |
| 19 | 93.0 | 92.5 | 80.1 | 72.0 |  |  |
| 20 | 94.5 | 94.0 | 87.2 | 80.6 |  |  |
| N | 541 | 525 | 595 | 499 |  |  |
| Hispanics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 20.8 | 16.1 | 4.8 | 2.9 |  |  |
| 16 | 32.2 | 29.0 | 12.2 | 8.7 |  |  |
| 17 | 49.6 | 46.7 | 24.3 | 19.4 |  |  |
| 18 | 66.9 | 65.5 | 39.0 | 38.9 |  |  |
| 19 | 79.3 | 76.5 | 55.8 | 62.0 |  |  |
| 20 | 86.0 | 79.9 | 68.0 | 70.8 |  |  |
| N | 453 | 192 | 496 | 183 |  |  |

Note: Sample is limited to respondents age 20 and over at 1983 survey date.
*Percentages refer to birthday for specified ages, e.g., 15 means by l5th birthday or end of age 14.
${ }^{1}$ Hispanic persons may be of any race.
${ }^{2}$ Education is defined as less than High School, completing High School or more than High School(not available for Blacks and Hispanics).

Source: Special Tabulations from the 1983 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, Center for Human Resource Research, Ohio State University.

TABLE 1.12
Table l.l2 shows cumulative estimates of sexual activity by single year of age and mother's education in 1983 for a national sample of youths. Data are from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLS).

In general, the lower the mother's educational level, the lower the respondent's age at becoming sexually active. For the total sample, more than half ( 57.9 percent) of the males whose mothers had less than high school educations and about two fifths of the males whose mothers had a high school education or more than a high school education were sexually active by age 17 (43.8 and 40.6 percent).

Slightly over a third of the females whose mothers had less than high school educations ( 34.6 percent) and less than one quarter of the females whose mothers had a high school education or more (23.7 and 21.4 percent) were sexually active by age 17.

Black males were more likely to be sexually active by age 20 than whites and Hispanics and, Hispanic males were as likely to be as sexually active white males by age 20 , regardless of mother's education. Black females were more likely to be sexually active by age 20 than whites and Hispanics regardless of mother's education.

TABLE 1. 13 Cumulative Sexual Activity By Single Year Of Age And By Drop Out Rate Of Respondent's High School, 1979 From National Longitudinal Survey Of Youth

| Age* | Drop-Out Rate of Respondent's High School, 1979 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  | Females |  |
|  | LO (<10\%) | HI (10\%+) | LO (<10\%) | HI (10\%+) |
| Total Sample |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 10.7 | 18.8 | 3.4 | 5.1 |
| 16 | 21.5 | 31.5 | 9.2 | 12.8 |
| 17 | 40.7 | 50.4 | 20.6 | 28.4 |
| 18 | 59.1 | 65.8 | 36.5 | 45.1 |
| 19 | 73.4 | 79.4 | 56.5 | 64.6 |
| 20 | 81.0 | 82.8 | 68.3 | 75.4 |
| N | 1387 | 1454 | 1441 | 1523 |
| Whites |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 8.4 | 13.5 | 3.2 | 4.2 |
| 16 | 17.9 | 26.1 | 8.9 | 11.5 |
| 17 | 37.3 | 45.4 | 19.9 | 26.1 |
| 18 | 56.5 | 61.9 | 35.4 | 41.9 |
| 19 | 71.7 | 77.4 | 55.5 | 61.9 |
| 20 | 79.7 | 80.7 | 67.5 | 73.8 |
| N | 998 | 762 | 1053 | 806 |
| Blacks |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 35.6 | 45.2 | 6.4 | 8.6 |
| 16 | 58.3 | 59.3 | 13.6 | 18.5 |
| 17 | 73.9 | 77.0 | 28.1 | 40.6 |
| 18 | 83.9 | 86.1 | 48.8 | 62.3 |
| 19 | 91.4 | 92.1 | 68.1 | 80.0 |
| 20 | 92.8 | 94.4 | 78.5 | 86.3 |
| N | 268 | 411 | 268 | 447 |
| Hispanics |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 9.8 | 19.2 | . 4 | 5.9 |
| 16 | 26.6 | 29.6 | 5.5 | 12.6 |
| 17 | 47.5 | 46.8 | 17.9 | 23.1 |
| 18 | 64.7 | 63.4 | 34.3 | 36.4 |
| 19 | 76.1 | 74.4 | 52.3 | 55.4 |
| 20 | 84.2 | 80.3 | 63.5 | 66.3 |
| N | 121 | 281 | 120 | 270 |

Note: Sample is limited to respondents age 20 and over at 1983 survey date.
*Percentages refer to birthday for specified ages, e.g., 15 means by l5th birthday or end of age 14.
$\mathrm{l}_{\text {Hispanic }}$ Persons may be of any race.

Source: Special Tabulations from the 1983 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, Center for Human Resource Research, Ohio State University.

TABLE 1.13

Table l. 13 presents data from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth on cumulative sexual activity by single year of age and the drop out rate in the respondent's high school.

In general, the percent sexually active by age 20 was higher among respondents who attended schools with high drop-out rates (greater than 10 percent) compared to respondents who attended schools with low dropout rates (l0 percent or lower). Again, the incidence of sexual activity was greater among black males and females regardless of the drop-out rate in the respondent's high school.

It should be noted that high school drop-out rates may be a consequence of levels of sexual activity as well as a measure of the community context.

## II. CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG ADOLESCENTS

This section presents information on contraceptive use among U.S. adolescents. Most of the available data are on females, but data from the National Surveys of Young Women and Men (NSYW/M) on male contraceptive use are presented. Data from the 1982 NSFG are also presented in this section.

Among limitations of the data on contraceptive use are the lack of information on males, and the lack of standardization of contraceptive categories across surveys. In the tables that follow, data on contraceptive use are presented for sexually active men and women by marital status, timing of use (i.e., just intercourse, most recent intercourse, currently).

TABLE 2.1 Percentage Distribution Of Sexually Active Women Aged 15-19, By Contraceptive-Use Status, According To Race, 1976, 1979, 1982

| Contraceptive-Use Status | $1982^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 1979 ${ }^{\text {b, }}$ c |  |  | 1976 ${ }^{\text {b, }}$ c |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total* } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=945) \end{aligned}$ | White $(\mathrm{N}=579)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=342) \end{aligned}$ | Total $(\mathrm{N}=937)$ | White $(\mathrm{N}=478)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=459) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=724) \end{aligned}$ | White $(\mathrm{N}=349)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=375) \end{aligned}$ |
| Always used |  |  |  | 34.2 | 35.0 | 31.2 | 28.7 | 28.9 | 28.0 |
| Used at first intercourse but not always | 48.2 | 52.1 | 36.0 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 9.7 | 9.5 | 10.1 | 8.1 |
| Did not use at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| lst intercourse but used at |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| some time | 37.2 | 34.9 | 43.7 | 24.5 | 24.9 | 23.3 | 26.3 | 28.6 | 20.2 |
| Never used | 14.6 | 13.0 | 20.3 | 26.6 | 24.0 | 35.9 | 35.5 | 32.4 | 43.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

${ }^{a_{A}}$ ll women $15-19$ sexually active, including married women.
$\mathrm{b}_{\text {Premaritally sexually active women 15-19; contraceptive use refers to use prior to pregnancy, marriage or time of }}$ survey, whichever event was earlier.
${ }^{C}$ Metropolitan U.S. only.
*Includes races other than Black and white.
Source: Unpublished Tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth. Zelnik and Kanter, "Sexual Activity, Contraceptive Use and Pregnancy Among Metropolitan-Area Teenagers", 1971-1976, "Family Planning Perspectives," Vol.
12, Sept. - Oct. 1980.

TABLE 2.1
Table 2.1 displays the percentage distribution of premaritally sexually active females aged 15 to 19 in 1976 , 1979 , and 1982 by con-traceptive-use status according to race. Data are from the National Surveys of Young Women (NSYW) for 1976 and 1979 and from the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG) for 1982. Overall, the proportion of premaritally sexually active females who always used a contraceptive and who used a contraceptive at some time was higher in 1979 than in 1976. In 1976, 29 percent of the sample reported that they always used a contraceptive and about 36 percent reported that they used a contraceptive at some time. In 1979, 34 percent of the premaritally sexually active females said they always used a contraceptive and about 40 said they used a contraceptive at least some of the time.

White premaritally sexual active teenage females were more likely to have used a contraceptive than blacks in both 1976 and 1979. The proportion of those never using a contraceptive was somewhat lower in 1979 than in 1976 for both races. Even in 1979, though, a quarter of the young women had never used a method of birth control, about 36 percent of the black females and nearly a quarter of the white females. In 1976, over 40 percent of the sexually active black female teenagers and about one-third of the whites had never used a contraceptive before marriage.

The 1982 data include only women aged 15 to 19 who did not always use contraception. Among these women, 48.2 percent used at first intercourse, 52.1 percent of the white women and 36.0 percent of the black women. A lower proportion of women had never used a contraceptive method in 1982 compared to 1976, regardless of race. Only 14.6 percent of all sexually active 15- to 19 -year old women had never used any method in 1982, 12 percentage points lower than in 1979.

TABLE 2.2 Percent Of Women Aged 15-44 Who Used A Contraceptive Method At First Intercourse And Percent istribution Of Women Who Used A Method, By Type Of Method, 1982 National Survey Of Family Growth

| Characteristics | Percent Who <br> Used a Method <br> At First <br> Intercourse | Percent Distribution of Those Using Contraception, by Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pill | IUD | Diaphragm | Condom | Rhythm | Withdrawal | Other |
| Total, 15-44 | 44.5 | 28.2 | 0.5 | 1.9 | 38.5 | 5.3 | 19.1 | 6.5 |
| 15-19 | 48.2 | 17.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 46.6 | 4.3 | 27.2 | 4.5 |
| 15-17 | 40.2 | 14.6 | 0.2 | -- | 51.4 | 7.6 | 24.7 | 1.5 |
| 20-44 | 44.1 | 29.4 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 37.6 | 5.4 | 18.1 | 6.7 |

Source: Pratt et al. "Understanding U.S. Fertility," Population Bulletin, Volume 39 No. 5, December 1984. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 2.2
The percent of women of all ages who used a contraceptive at first intercourse by age and method is shown in Table 2.2. Data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth. (NSFG)

Among all women aged 15 to 44 in l982, slightly less than one-half used a contraceptive method at first intercourse. For the older women in the sample who used a method, the most commonly used methods at first intercourse were the condom (37.6 percent), the pill (29.4 percent) and withdrawal (18.1 percent). Women currently aged 15 to 17 were the least likely to have used a method at first intercourse, all of them having been under 18 when they initiated coitus. Those women aged 15-19 in 1982 who used any method were most likely to have used the condom (46.6 percent), withdrawal (24.7 percent) and the pill (17.3 percent). The table show that less than half of all women used contraceptives at first intercourse, regardless of current age. The largest difference was between those who had first intercourse before age 18 or at age 18 or older.

TABLE 2.3 Percentage Distribution Of Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-19 And Men Aged 17-21, By Type Of Contraceptive Method Used At First Intercourse; Percentage Distribution Of Those Using A Method, By Type Of Method; According To Race And Planning Status Of First Intercourse, 1979, Metropolitan U.S

| Type of Method Used at First Intercourse | Women |  |  |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | White |  | Black |  | Total |  | White |  | Black |  |
|  | Planned | Unplanned | Planned | Unplanned | Planned | Unplanned | Planned | Unplanned | Planned | Unplanned | Planned | Unplanned |
| All respondents | ( $\mathrm{N}=166$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=767)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=78$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=399$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=88$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=368$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=162$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=495$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=98$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=292$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=64$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=203$ ) |
| Female prescription | 16.7 | 8.3 | 14.3 | 6.5 | 24.7 | 14.9 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 8.1 |
| Female nonprescription | 4.9 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 8.3 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| Male | 50.0 | 33.1 | 58.6 | 36.8 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 36.3 | 27.8 | 37.0 | 29.6 | 32.8 | 18.0 |
| Condom | (32.1) | (14.8) | (35.6) | (14.7) | (20.5) | (15.2) | (24.4) | (15.5) | (25.2) | (16.4) | (20.7) | (10.4) |
| Withdrawal | (17.9) | (18.3) | (23.0) | (22.1) | (0.8) | (4.2) | (11.9) | (12.3) | (11.8) | (13.2) | (12.1) | (7.6) |
| None | 28.4 | 55.7 | 23.3 | 54.0 | 45.7 | 62.1 | 49.1 | 58.1 | 48.2 | 55.9 | 53.9 | 70.5 |
| All users | ( $\mathrm{N}=106$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=319$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=59$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=179$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=4.7$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=140$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=78$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=200$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=52$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=135$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=26$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=65$ ) |
| Female prescription | 23.4 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 14.1 | 45.5 | 39.4 | 19.8 | 23.0 | 19.5 | 22.4 | 21.9 | 27.4 |
| Female nonprescription | 6.7 | 6.6 | 5.0 | 5.9 | 15.2 | 9.4 | 8.8 | 10.6 | 9.0 | 10.6 | 7.0 | 11.6 |
| Male | 69.9 | 74.7 | 76.3 | 80.0 | 39.3 | 51.2 | 71.4 | 66.4 | 71.5 | 67.0 | 71.1 | 61.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: Special tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, Cycle III, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS; M. Zelnik and F.K. Shah, "First Intercourse Among Young Americans," Family Planning Perspectives, l5 (a) March/April, 1983.

TABLE 2.3
Table 2.3 shows the percentage distribution of sexually experienced women aged 15 to 19 and men aged 17 to 21 by the type of contraceptive method used at first intercourse and the percentage distribution for those using a method by type of method according to race and planning status of first intercourse for 1979. Data are from the National Survey of Young Women (NSYW).

Among women and men, those who planned first intercourse were more likely to have used contraception than those who did not plan first intercourse. Of the women who used a method at first intercourse, the planners were more likely than those who did not plan to use a female prescription method while male planners were more likely than those who did not plan to use a male contraceptive method. Black women were more likely than other young men and women to rely on a female prescription method.

TABLE 2.4 Percentage Distribution Of Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-19 By Type of Contraceptive Method Used At First Intercourse; Percentage Distribution Of Those Using A Method, By Type Of Method; According To Race, 1982 National Survey Of Family Growth

| Percent Using |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of Method Used at First |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Intercourse | Total* | White | Black |
| All Respondents: | ( $\mathrm{N}=945$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=579$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=342$ ) |
| Female prescription | 8.4 | 8.0 | 10.6 |
| Female nonprescription | 4.3 | 4.9 | 1.9 |
| Male | 35.6 | 39.1 | 23.4 |
| Condom | 22.5 | 23.6 | 18.8 |
| With rawal | 13.1 | 15.5 | 4.6 |
| None | 51.8 | 47.9 | 64.0 |
| Users only: |  |  |  |
| Female prescription | 17.4 | 15.4 | 29.6 |
| Female nonprescription | 8.9 | 9.4 | 5.4 |
| Male | 73.8 | 75.1 | 65.0 |
| Condom | 46.6 | 45.3 | 52.1 |
| Withdrawal | 27.2 | 29.8 | 12.9 |

*Includes races other than white and black.

Source: See Table 2.3

TABLE 2.4
Table 2.4 shows the percentage distribution of sexually experienced women aged 15 to 19 by type of contraceptive method used at first intercourse and the percentage of those using a method, by type of method, according to race. Data are from the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG).

Black women aged 15 to 19 were more likely than white women to have used no method at first intercourse. Among the users, black females were more likely to have used a female prescription method and less likely to have used withdrawal than white women.

TABLE 2.5 Percentage Distribution Of Sexually Experienced Women Aged 15-19 And Men Aged 17-21 Who Did Not Use A Contraceptive Method At First Intercourse, By Reason Reported For Not Having Used A Method, According To Planning Status Of First Intercourse And Race, 1979, Metropolitan U.S.

| Reason for Non-use | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Planned $\qquad$ <br> Total $(\mathrm{N}=56)$ | Unplanned |  |  | Planned $\qquad$ <br> Total $(N=71)$ | Unplanned |  |  |
|  |  | Total $(N=424)$ | White $(\mathrm{N}=211)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=213) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=263) \end{aligned}$ | White $(N=40)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=123) \end{aligned}$ |
| Wanted pregnancy or didn't care | 3.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Didn't want to use contraceptives ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 31.2 | 8.0 | 5.3 | 17.0 | 25.6 | 15.3 | 13.4 | 24.1 |
| Didn't know about contraception | 19.8 | 12.4 | 9.2 | 22.8 | 19.3 | 19.9 | 16.1 | 36.6 |
| Didn't think about using contraceptives | 13.5 | 24.3 | 26.8 | 16.3 | 15.7 | 15.4 | 17.5 | 5.8 |
| Intercourse was not planned | 0.0 | 31.8 | 34.1 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 26.5 | 10.5 |
| Contraception was not available | 14.4 | 12.9 | 14.4 | 7.8 | 25.7 | 20.2 | 22.0 | 12.5 |
| Thought pregnancy was impossible | 16.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| Other | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Note: Too few teenagers planned their first intercourse to allow for separate analysis by race.
acategory includes partner's objection to the use of contraceptives.
Source: See Table 2.3

TABLE 2.5
Table 2.5 shows the percentage distribution of sexually experienced women aged 15 to 19 and men aged 17 to 21 who did not use a contraceptive method at first intercourse by reason reported for not having used a contraceptive method, according to planning status of first intercourse and race. The data are from the 1979 National Survey of Young Women (NSYW) for metropolitan areas only.

For both males and females regardless of whether they planned first intercourse, less than 5 percent of those not using contraception at first intercourse reported that they wanted to become pregnant or did not care if a pregnancy occurred. Among those who planned first intercourse and did not use contraception, 16.2 percent of the women and 8.5 percent of the men, thought pregnancy was impossible, and 31.2 percent of the women and 25.6 percent of the men said they did not want to use contraception. Among those who did not plan first intercourse, white men and women were more likely than blacks to indicate that contraception was not available and more black men and women indicated that they did not know about contraception than white men and women.

TARLE 2.6 Percent Of Sexually Active Unmarried Women Under Age 20 By Timing Of First Contraception And Age At First Intercourse, According To Race, 1982, National Survey Of Family Growth*

| Months Since <br> First <br> Intercourse | All Races** |  |  | White |  |  | Black |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 | $<15$ | 15-17 | 18-19 |
|  | ( $\mathrm{N}=167$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=293$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=73$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=38$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=1.11$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=42$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=127$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=179$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=30$ ) |
| 0-1 | 22.6 | 36.9 | 53.3 | 24.4 | 41.8 | 55.6 | 22.9 | 28.3 | 43.6 |
| 1-3 | 14.8 | 13.5 | 20.1 | 20.2 | 14.2 | 21.9 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 12.4 |
| 4-6 | 12.5 | 5.0 | 8.6 | 12.1 | 6.2 | 8.6 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 8.6 |
| 7-12 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 9.2 | 10.7 | 8.5 |
| More than 12 | 42.2 | 34.6 | 15.3 | 35.8 | 28.2 | 12.4 | 43.2 | 46.4 | 26.9 |

*Includes only women who did not use a method at first intercourse but have ever used a method.
**Includes races other than black and white.
Source: Special tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, Cycle III, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics.

TABLE 2.6
The percentage distribution of sexually active unmarried women under age 20 by the timing of first contraception and age at first intercourse according to race is shown in Table 2.6. The data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), and include only women who did not use contraceptives at first intercourse but used it at sometime after first intercourse.

The data indicate that younger teenage women (under age 15 and aged 15 to l7) were more likely than older teenagers to delay more than 12 months after first intercourse to begin using contraceptives. This was true regardless of race, but black teenagers were especially likely to delay using contraception. Only among whites aged 18 and 19 does a majority initiate contraceptive use within the first or second month after initiating sexual activity.

TABLE 2.7 Number of Women Aged 15-44 Exposed To The Risk Of Unintended Pregnancy, and Percentage Currently Practicing Contraception, By Marital Status, by Age, 1982 National Survey Of Family Growth

| Age | Number (in 1,000s) |  |  |  | Percentage Using Contraception |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Never <br> Married | Currently Married | Widowed, <br> Divorced or Separated | Total | Never <br> Married | Currently Married | Widowed, <br> Divorced or Separated |
| All women | 33,481 | 8,664 | 20,534 | 4,284 | 88.1 | 77.6 | 93.4 | 82.7 |
| 15-24 | 10,045 | 6,145 | 3,318 | 583 | 81.6 | 75.3 | 92.8 | 84.3 |
| 15-19 | 3,244 | 2,853 | 361 | b | 71.0 | 68.6 | 90.0 | b |
| 20-24 | 6,801 | 3,291 | 2,957 | 553 | 86.6 | 81.0 | 93.1 | 85.2 |
| 25-34 | 14,004 ( |  | 9,549 | 2,191 | 90.91 |  | 94.2 | 83.9 |
| 35-44 | 9,432 | 9,432 | 7,667 | 1,509 | 90.9 | 83.5 | 92.8 | 83.1 |

a Includes women practicing contraception and those not practicing contraception who had sexual intercourse in the last three months and were not pregnant, postpartum, seeking pregnancy, or noncontraceptively sterile.
$b_{\text {Number }}$ or percentage based on less than 20 cases.
Source: C.A. Bachrach, "Contraceptive Practice Among American Women, 1973-1982", Family Planning Perspectives, (16) 6 (Nov./Dec.) 1984. Reprinted by permission.

## TABLE 2.7

Table 2.7 shows the estimated number of women aged 15 to 44 exposed to the risk of unintended pregnancy and the percentage currently practicing contraception, by marital status and age. Data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG). Women exposed to the risk of an unintended pregnancy includes women practicing contraception and those not practicing contraception who had sexual intercourse in the last three months and were not pregnant, post partum, seeking pregnancy or non-contraceptively sterile.

These data indicate that among women aged 15 to 44 exposed to the risk of an unintended pregnancy, never-married women aged 15 to 19 were the least likely to be currently using contraception, whereas there were no differences among married women.

TABLE 2.8 Number Of Never Married Women 15-44 Years Of Age Who Were Exposed To The Risk Of An Unintended Pregnancy, And Percent Using A Method Of Contraception, By Race And Age, And Percent Distribution Of Contraceptors By Method Of Contraception, According To Race And Age: United States, 1982 (preliminary data based on a sample of the household population of the coterminous United States)

|  | All Races ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  | White |  |  |  |  | Black |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-44 | 15-19 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-24 | 20-44 | 15-44 | 15-19 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-44 | 15-44 | 15-19 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 20-24 |
| No. Exposed ${ }^{1}$ | 8,727 | 2,872 | 1,081 |  | 3,291 | 5,885 | 6,372 | 2,188 | 803 |  | 4,184 | 2,098 | 618 | 253 |  | 1,480 |
| Percent Using a Method | 76.1 | 67.6 | 60.0 |  | 81.0 | 80.3 | 77.4 | 69.0 | 60.2 |  | 81.8 | 73.0 | 63.9 | 58.7 |  | 76.9 |
| Method Chosen by Users: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pill | 53.2 | 62.3 | 63.7 |  | 56.1 | 49.5 | 51.6 | 59.6 | 62.4 |  | 48.1 | 58.9 | 71.4 | 65.1 |  | 54.6 |
| IUD | 5.0 | 0.9* | 1.3* |  | 4.9* | 6.7 | 3.7* | 0.1* | 0.3* |  | 5.4* | 8.0* | 4.2* | 4.5* |  | 9.4 |
| Diaphragm | 13.7 | 6.4 | 3.6 |  | 13.8 | 16.6 | 17.1 | 7.8 | 4.4 |  | 21.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.5 |  | 2.9 |
| Condom | 11.8 | 22.2 | 25.0 |  | 8.3 | 7.5 | 13.0 | 24.7 | 28.6 |  | 7.9 | 7.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |  | 6.1 |
| Sterilization | 5.1 | 0.4* | -- |  | 3.8* | 7.0 | 3.1* | 0.6* | -- |  | 4.2* | 12.1 | -- | -- |  | 16.3 |
| Other Methods ${ }^{2}$ | 11.2 | 7.8* | 6.4* |  | 13.0* | 12.7* | 11.4 | 7.3 | 4.2* |  | 13.2* | 10.4* | 9.5* | 14.3* |  | 10.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.7 |

[^0]*Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision (30 percent or more relative standard error)
Source: C. A. Bachrach and W.D. Mosher: "Use of Contraception in the United States, 1982." National Center for Health Statistics, Advance Data from Vital and Health Statistics, No. 102, December 4, 1984; C.A. Bachrach, "Contraceptive Practice Among American Women, 1973-1982," Family Planning Perspectives (Nov./Dec.) : 253-259, 1984.

## TABLE 2.8

Table 2.8 shows the number of never-married women exposed to an unintended pregnancy and the percent who used any contraceptive method by age and race. For women using any method, the percentage distribution of type of contraceptive method is presented by age and race for 1982.

Among all never-married women aged 15 to 44 exposed to an unintended pregnancy, 3 out of every 4 were using a method of birth control-77 percent of the white women and 73 percent of the black women. The pill was the most commonly used method by all exposed women.

Among teenage women aged 15 to 19,69 percent of the exposed white women and 64 percent of exposed black women used a method. Women aged 15 to 19 who were exposed to an unintended pregnancy and who were using contraception were more likely to use the pill then older women, 71 percent of black teens and 60 percent of white teens, compared to 55 and 48 percent of older women respectively.

TABLE 2.9 Number And Percentage Distribution Of Hispanic And Non-Hispanic Women Aged 15 To 19 (All Marital Statuses) Currently Exposed To The Risk Of Unintended Pregnancy By Current Contraceptive Status, 1982 National Survey of Family Growth.

|  | Total | Hispanic | Non-Hispanic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Black | White \& Other |
| No. currently exposed (in $1,000 s$ ) | 3244 | 290 | 630 | 2,324 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Using contraception | 71 | 68 | 66 | 73 |
| No contraception | 29 | 32 | 35 | 27 |
| Users Only |  |  |  |  |
| No. | 2302 | 197 | 413 | 1692 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Pill | 64 | 63 | 70 | 63 |
| IUD, sterilization | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 |
| Condom | 21 | 15 | 13 | 23 |
| Diaphragm | 6 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| Other | 8 | 8 | 10 | 7 |

Source: A. Torres and S. Singh, Hispanic Adolescents and Contraception: An Analyses of Data from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the APHA, 1985.

## TABLE 2.9

Table 2.9 presents the estimated number and percentage distribution of Hispanic and non-Hispanic women aged 15 to 19 currently exposed to the risk of an unintended pregnancy by current contraceptive use status. These data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), and they are categorized by ethnicity, not by race. Thus, persons of Hispanic origin may be black or white, but black, white and other persons cannot be of Hispanic origin. The definition of exposure to the risk of an unintended pregnancy is the same as that provided for Table 1.7.

Among Hispanic women aged 15 to 19 exposed to the risk of an unintended pregnancy, 68 percent were using some form of contraception. This proportion is slightly higher than for non-hispanic blacks and slightly lower than for non-Hispanic whites and others, but differences are very small.

Hispanic women aged 15 to 19 exposed to the risk of an unintended pregnancy and using contraception were as likely as non-Hispanic whites and others to use the pill and more likely than non-Hispanic blacks and non-Hispanic whites and others to use the IUD or sterilization.

TABLE 2.10 Percent Of Never-Married Women Aged 15-19 Who Correctly Perceived The Time Of Greatest Pregnancy Risk Within The Menstrual Cycle, By Age, Race And Sexual Experience, 1976 And 1971

| Age | Race and Sexual Experience |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All |  |  | White |  |  |  |  |  | Black |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total |  | Experienced |  | Not Experienced |  | Total |  | Experienced |  | Not Experienced |  |
|  | Total | Experienced | Not ex- <br> perienced | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 40.6 | 47.3 | 36.9 | 43.9 | 1,194 | 53.2 | 365 | 39.8 | 829 | 23.5 | 646 | 24.0 | 405 | 22.8 | 241 |
| 15 | 29.5 | 33.5 | 28.6 | 30.5 | 272 | 40.5 | 37 | 28.9 | 235 | 22.7 | 132 | 17.6 | 51 | 25.9 | 81 |
| 16 | 33.5 | 42.8 | 30.3 | 39.8 | 289 | 50.8 | 65 | 36.6 | 224 | 18.0 | 133 | 17.4 | 69 | 18.8 | 44 |
| 17 | 47.0 | 51.7 | 43.7 | 48.0 | 271 | 51.0 | 98 | 46.2 | 173 | 26.6 | 139 | 28.4 | 95 | 22.7 | 44 |
| 18 | 49.2 | 52.7 | 46.3 | 52.6 | 215 | 57.0 | 93 | 49.2 | 122 | 22.3 | 139 | 23.1 | 104 | 20.0 | 35 |
| 19 | 48.6 | 46.7 | 51.1 | 56.5 | 147 | 59.7 | 72 | 53.3 | 75 | 29.1 | 103 | 29.1 | 86 | 29.4 | 17 |
| 1971 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15-19 | 37.6 | 41.6 | 36.1 | 40.2 | 2,624 | 50.2 | 562 | 37.5 | 2,062 | 16.0 | 1,333 | 16.3 | 681 | 15.8 | 652 |
| 15 | 28.0 | 32.8 | 28.0 | 29.5 | 640 | 41.4 | 70 | 28.1 | 570 | 16.1 | 341 | 14.4 | 104 | 16.9 | 237 |
| 16 | 34.0 | 35.3 | 33.7 | 36.7 | 659 | 41.4 | 111 | 35.8 | 548 | 15.4 | 319 | 15.6 | 147 | 15.1 | 172 |
| 17 | 38.7 | 41.6 | 37.6 | 42.7 | 644 | 51.8 | 141 | 40.2 | 503 | 16.3 | 295 | 16.8 | 173 | 15.6 | 122 |
| 18 | 44.5 | 46.7 | 43.2 | 48.9 | 395 | 56.2 | 128 | 45.3 | 267 | 15.0 | 227 | 16.9 | 142 | 11.8 | 85 |
| 19 | 48.5 | 45.8 | 50.8 | 54.6 | 286 | 55.4 | 112 | 54.0 | 174 | 18.5 | 151 | 17.4 | 115 | 22.2 | 36 |

Source: M. Zelnik \& J. F. Kantner, "Sexual and Contraceptive Experience of Young Unmarried women in the United States, 1976 and 1971," Family Planning Perspectives, 9 (a) (March/April), 1977. Reprinted by permission.

## TABLE 2.10

Table 2.10 presents the percent of never-married women aged 15 to 19 who correctly perceived the time of greatest risk of pregnancy within the menstrual cycle by age, race and sexual experience for 1971 and 1976. Data are from the National Survey of Young Women (NSYW).

Overall, a slightly higher proportion of never-married women aged 15 to 19 correctly perceived the time of greatest pregnancy risk within the menstrual cycle in 1976 compared to $1971,40.6$ compared to 37.6 . In both years, sexually experienced young women were more likely than women not sexually experienced to perceive the time of greatest pregnancy risk within the menstrual cycle. Among whites, younger teenagers were less likely than older teenagers to be aware of the time of greatest risk. White women at all ages were more likely than black women to perceive the time of greatest pregnancy risk.

TABLE 2.ll Standardized Contraceptive-Use Failure Rates By User Characteristics And Method Type: Single Women, January 1, 1979 - July l, 1982 (NSFG)

| Characteristic | Method Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pill | IUD | Rhythm | Condom | Diaphragm | Spermacides | Other | No Method |
| Intent and Age ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 15.9 | 7.8 | 14.2 | 15.5 | 9.1 | 33.5 |
| 18-19 | 3.1 | 4.9 | 17.5 | 8.7 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 10.1 | 36.5 |
| 20-24 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 15.7 | 7.7 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 9.0 | 33.0 |
| 25-29 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 12.0 | 5.8 | 10.7 | 11.7 | 6.8 | 26.0 |
| 30-44 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 13.9 | 6.8 | 12.4 | 13.5 | 7.9 | 29.8 |
| Prevent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 18 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 33.9 | 18.4 | 31.6 | 34.0 | 21.1 | 62.9 |
| 18-19 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 30.6 | 16.3 | 28.3 | 30.5 | 18.7 | 58.2 |
| 20-24 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 23.9 | 12.3 | 21.7 | 23.5 | 14.2 | 47.6 |
| 25-29 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 17.4 | 8.6 | 15.6 | 17.0 | 10.0 | 36.3 |
| 30-44 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 6.8 | 3.9 | 15.7 |
| $\mathrm{Race}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black | 4.5 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 8.9 | 32.5 |
| Other Races | 4.7 | 4.5 | 20.6 | 8.1 | 14.5 | 15.8 | 9.3 | 33.7 |
| Parity ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 Live Births | 3.9 | 3.8 | 14.1 | 6,8 | 12.3 | 13.4 | 7.9 | 29.5 |
| $1+$ Live Births | 6.6 | 6.3 | 22.4 | 11.2 | 20.0 | 21.6 | 13.0 | 44.3 |
| Poverty Ratio Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 100\% | 5.4 | 5.2 | 18.6 | 9.3 | 16.7 | 18.2 | 10.8 | 38.2 |
| 100-299\% | 4.7 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 8.0 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 9.3 | 33.8 |
| $300 \%$ or more | 3.9 | 3.7 | 13.7 | 6.7 | 12.2 | 13.2 | 7.8 | 29.0 |

$I_{\text {Standardized by }}$ race, parity, and poverty ratio income.
${ }_{3}^{2}$ Standardized by age, contraceptive intention, poverty ratio income and parity.
${ }^{3}$ Standardized by age, contraceptive intention, race and parity.
${ }^{4}$ Standardized by age, contraceptive intention, race and poverty ratio income.
Source: W.R. Grady, M.D. Hayward, J. Yagi, "Unintended Pregnancy in the United States: The Impact of Contraceptive Method and User Characteristics," Family Planning Perspectives (18) Sept./Oct.:200-209. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 2.11

Table 2.11 presents standardized contraceptive-use failure rates by user characteristics and method type for characteristics and method type for single women. These data are for the period of January l, 1979 to July l, 1982 and are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth. Failure rates are the number of pregnancies occuring per l,000 women using a given contraceptive method.

These data indicate substantial differences in contraceptive-use failure rates by user characteristics. Women under age 30 seeking to delay a pregnancy have lower use-failure rates than those seeking to prevent a pregnancy. Black women have higher use failure rates than women of other races. Women who had more than 3 live births had higher use failure rates than women who had fewer births. Women who had less than 100 percent of a poverty level income had higher contraceptive-use failure rates than women with higher income. Finally, women under age 18 seeking to prevent a pregnancy had the highest contraceptive-use failure rates regardless of other characteristics of the women.

This section presents information on premarital and marital pregnancy, and pregnancy resolution among adolescents. The number of adolescent pregnancies is estimated by combining data on births available from the National Vital Statistics with data on abortions available from the Center for Disease Control and the Alan Guttmacher Institute and with an estimated proportion of miscarriages. These data are presented in Table 3.1.

Data on the number of pregnancies among sexually active women who do and do not use contraceptives by race, pregnancy intention, and other social characteristics are derived mainly from the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLS), the National Surveys of Young Women and Men (NSYW/M), and the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG). Because all three of these surveys are known to underestimate the proportion of adolescent women having abortions, they also underestimate the proportions of adolescent pregnancies.

TABIE 3.1 Reproductive Behavior: U.S. Women Aged 15-19, 1960-1984

|  | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 | 1974 | 1975 | 1976 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Births | 3,731,000 | 3,556,000 | 3,258,000 | 3,137,000 | 3,160,000 | 3,144,000 | 3,168,000 |  |
| Births 15-19 | 644,708 | 627,942 | 616,280 | 604,096 | 595,449 | 582,238 | 558,744 |  |
| Abortions | 59,985* | 150,598* | 181,908* | 232,440 | 279,790 | 326,780 | 362,680 |  |
| Miscarriaqes | 134,940 | 140,648 | 141,447 | 144,063 | 147,069 | 149,126 | 148,017 |  |
| Preanancies | 839,633 | 919,188 | 939,635 | 980,599 | 1,022,308 | 1,058,144 | 1,069,441 |  |
| Total Women 15-19 | 9,517,000 | 9,741,000 | 9,988,000 | 10,194,000 | 10,351,000 | 10,468,000 | 10,585,000 |  |
| \% Never Married | 0.888 | 0.891 | 0.908 | 0.896 | 0.896 | 0.907 | 0.908 |  |
| Total Never Married | 8,451,096 | 8,679,231 | 9,069,104 | 9,133,824 | 9,274,496 | 9,494,476 | 9,611,180 |  |
| * Sinale Sexually Experienced | 0.250 | 0.270 | 0.280 | 0.300 | 0.320 | 0.340 | 0.350 |  |
| ```Total Single Sexually Experienced``` | 2,112,774 | 2,343,392 | 2,539,349 | 2,740,147 | 2,967,839 | 3,228,122 | 3,363,913 |  |
| Total Ever Married | 1,065,904 | 1,061,769 | 918,896 | 1,060,176 | 1,076,504 | 973,524 | 973,820 |  |
| Total Sexually Experienced | 3,178,678 | 3,405,161 | 3,458,245 | 3,800,323 | 4,044,343 | 4,201,646 | 4,337,733 |  |
| Pregnancy Rate | 0.088 | 0.094 | 0.094 | 0.096 | 0.099 | 0.101 | 0.101 |  |
| Pregnancy Rate Sexually Experienced | 0.264 | 0.270 | 0.272 | 0.258 | 0.253 | 0.252 | 0.247 |  |
| Birth Rate | 0.068 | 0.064 | 0.062 | 0.059 | 0.058 | 0.056 | 0.053 |  |
| Birth Rate Sexually Experienced | 0.203 | 0.184 | 0.178 | 0.159 | 0.147 | 0.139 | 0.129 |  |
| Abortion Rate | 0.006 | 0.015 | 0.018 | 0.023 | 0.027 | 0.031 | 0.034 | - |
| Abortion Rate Sexually Experienced | 0.019 | 0.044 | 0.053 | 0.061 | 0.069 | 0.078 | 0.084 | - |
| Abortions/Pregnancies | 0.071 | 0.164 | 0.194 | 0.237 | 0.274 | 0.309 | 0.339 |  |
| Ahortions/Abortions + Births | 0.085 | 0.193 | 0.228 | 0.278 | 0.320 | 0.359 | 0.394 |  |
| Abortions/Births | 0.093 | 0.240 | 0.295 | 0.385 | 0.470 | 0.561 | 0.649 |  |


|  | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Births | 3,327,000 | 3,333,000 | 3,494,000 | 3,612,258 | 3,629,238 | 3,680,537 | 3,638,933 | 3,669,141 |
| Births 15-19 | 559,154 | 543,407 | 549,472 | 552,161 | 527,392 | 513,758 | 489,286 | 469,682 |
| Abortions | 396,630 | 418,790 | 444,600 | 444,780 | 433,330 | 418,740 | 395,660 | 401,128** |
| Miscarriages | 151,494 | 150,560 | 154,354 | 154,910 | 148,811 | 144,626 | 137,423 | 134,049 |
| Pregnancies | 1,107,278 | 1,112,757 | 1,148,426 | 1,151,851 | 1,109,533 | 1,077,124 | 1,022,369 | 1,004,859 |
| Total Women 15-19 | 10,585,000 | 10,558,000 | 10,502,000 | 10,381,000 | 10,081,000 | 9,772,000 | 9,460,000 | 9,219,000 |
| \% Never Married | 0.913 | 0.919 | 0.918 | 0.911 | 0.920 | 0.920 | 0.934 | 0.934 |
| Total Never Married | 9,664,105 | 9,702,802 | 9,640,836 | 9,457,091 | 9,274,520 | 8,990,240 | 8,835,640 | 8,610,546 |
| of Single Sexually Experienced | 0.360 | 0.380 | 0.390 | 0.400 | 0.420 | 0.430 | 0.430 | 0.430 |
| Total Single Sexually Experienced | 3,479,078 | 3,687,065 | 3,759,926 | 3,782,836 | 3,895,298 | 3,865,803 | 3,799,325 | 3,702,535 |
| Total Ever Married | 920,895 | 855,198 | 861,164 | 923,909 | 806,480 | 781,760 | 624,360 | 608,454 |
| Total Sexually Experienced | 4,399,973 | 4,542,263 | 4,621,090 | 4,706,745 | 4,701,778 | 4,647,563 | 4,423,685 | 4,310,989 |
| Pregnancy Rate | 0.105 | 0.105 | 0.109 | 0.111 | 0.110 | 0.110 | 0.108 | 0.109 |
| Preqnancy Rate Sexually Experienced | 0.252 | 0.245 | 0.249 | 0.245 | 0.236 | 0.232 | 0.231 | 0.233 |
| Rirth Rate | 0.053 | 0.051 | 0.052 | 0.053 | 0.052 | 0.053 | 0.052 | 0.051 |
| Birth Rate Sexually Experienced | 0.127 | 0.120 | 0.119 | 0.117 | 0.112 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 0.109 |
| Abortion Rate | 0.037 | 0.040 | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.042 | 0.044 |
| Abortion Rate Sexually Experienced | 0.090 | 0.092 | 0.096 | 0.094 | 0.092 | 0.090 | 0.089 | 0.093 |
| Abortions/Pregnancies | 0.358 | 0.376 | 0.387 | 0.386 | 0.391 | 0.389 | 0.387 | 0.399 |
| Abortions/Abortions+Births | 0.415 | 0.435 | 0.447 | 0.446 | 0.451 | 0.449 | 0.447 | 0.461 |
| Abortions/Births | 0.709 | 0.771 | 0.809 | 0.806 | 0.822 | 0.815 | 0.809 | 0.854 |

*Estimated number of legal abortions, which is recognized to underestimate the total number of abortions performed in that year.
**This estimate is based on the percentage of abortions to teenagers in 1982 , which was 26.6 percent of all abortions.

Sources:
Births: 1960: U.S. Dept. HEW, NCHS, Vital Statistics of the United States, l960, Vol I - Natility. USGPO:l962. 1965: U.S. Dept. HEW, NCHS, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1965, Vol I - Natility. USGPO:1967. 1970: U.S. Dept. HEW, NCHS, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1970, Vol I - Natility. USGPO:1965. 1971-1983: National Center of Health Statistics, Advance report of Final Natality Statistitics, 197l-1983.

Abortions: 1960-1973: C. Tietze, "Repeat Abortions - Why More?" Family Planning Perspectives 10(Sept/Oct):286-288, 1978. Abortions to teens in 1960 and 1965 obtained by multiplying the estimated number of legal abortions to all women (from Tietze, 1978, above) by the estimated proportion obtained by teenagers (.33).
Abortions to teens in 1970-1972 obtained by multiplying the estimated number of legal abortions to all women (from $T$ ietze, 1978, above) by the estimated proportion obtained by teenagers in 1973 (.312).

1973-1980: from S. Henshaw (Ed.), "Abortion Services in the U.S., Each State and Metropolitan Area, 1979-80." Detailed Tables - Table l. N.Y.: Alan Guttmacher Institute, 1983.

1980-81: from S. Henshaw, N. Binkin, E. Blaine, and J. Smith, "A Portrait of American Women Who Obtain Abortions," Family Planning Perspectives 17 (March/April):90-96, 1985.

1982-1984: from S. Henshaw, "Trends in Abortions, 1982-1984," Family Planning Perspectives 18(Jan/Feb):34, 1986. Abortions for teens 15-19 in 1982-1984 obtained by multiplying the total number of legal abortions to women of all ages by the proportion of abortions to teens in l98l (.275), the most recent year in which that distribution is available.

Number of teenagers and proportion single: See attached Table A
Proportion of teens sexually active: See Hofferth and Kohn, 1986.
Miscarriages: Miscarriages are calculated as $20 \%$ of births plus $10 \%$ of abortions, according to a model developed by $C$. Tietze and $J$. Bongaarts of the Population Council.

TABLE 3.1
Table 3.1 is a summary table of reproductive behavior of U.S. women aged 15 to 19 from 1960 to 1984. The sources of these data are outlined in detail at the end of the table. These are the most reliable national statistics available on pregnancies, births, abortions and miscarriages for adolescent women.

The pregnancy rate for women aged 15 to 19 declined between 1960 and 1965 but then rose steadily from 87 pregnancies per l,000 women to 112 per 1,000 women in 1982. Recent data indicate a decline in the pregnancy rate to 109 per 1,000 women in 1984. The pregnancy rate calculated just for sexually experienced women, however, declined through the l960s, l970s and early l980s from an estimated 458 pregnancies per 1,000 sexually active women to 233 pregnancies per 1,000 sexually active women. One result of this trend was a decrease in the number of births per 1,000 women aged 15 to 19 through the 1960 s from 88 births per 1,000 women in 1960 to 51 births per 1,000 women in 1984 . However, the decline in the birth rates for women aged 15 to 19 is also due to the increase in the abortion rate. The abortion rate for women aged 15 to 19 increased from an estimated 44 per 1,000 sexually active l5-to-l9 year old women in 1960 to 93 per l,000 sexually active women aged 15 to 19 in 1984.
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TABLE 3.2 Pregnancies, Abortions, Miscarriages And Live Births By Marital Status To Women Aged 15 To 19, 1982

| Category | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total pregnancies to <br> teens 15-19 | $1,077,124$ | 100.0 |
| Abortions | 418,740 | 39.6 |
| Miscarriages | 144,626 | 13.4 |
| Live births | 513,758 | 47.0 |
| Conceived post-maritally | 145,907 | 13.4 |
| Conceived premaritally, |  |  |
| born post-maritally |  |  |
| Born premaritally | 118,678 | 22.8 |

Source: See Table 4.l for Source of Pregnancy, Abortion, Miscarriage and Live Birth Figures; O'Connell and Rogers 1984, derived from the 1982 Current Population Survey.

$$
A-67 / 419
$$

TABLE 3.2
Table 3.2 presents estimates of the total number of pregnancies, abortions, miscarriages, and live births by marital status to women aged 15 to 19 in 1982.

Of more than a million pregnancies to women aged 15 to 19 in 1982 , less than half, 47 percent, are estimated to have resulted in live births; 39.6 percent ended in abortion; and about 13.4 percent were miscarriages. Thus, for every 10 adolescent pregnancies there were approximately 4 abortions, 1 miscarriage, and 5 births.

Of all pregnancies resulting in live births to women aged 15 to 19, 13.4 percent were conceived post-maritally, 10.9 percent were conceived premaritally and born post-maritally, and 22.8 percent were born premaritally.

TABLE 3.3 Proportion Of Women Ever-Pregnant Before Age 18 and Age 20, 1976 And 1981

Percentage Experiencing a First Pregnancy by Age
Year and Race 1820

1976
Total
23.7
41.1

1981

| Total | 23.9 | 43.5 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| White | 20.5 | 39.7 |
| Black | 40.7 | 63.1 |

Total
White
Black
1976

18
20
Year and Race

Source: Calculated by Jacqueline Darroch Forrest, 1986; see Table 3.1 for source of data.

TABLE 3.3
Table 3.3 presents the proportion of all women ever experiencing a first pregnancy by age 18 and by age 20. In 1976 , 23.7 percent of all women had a first pregnancy by age $18,41.1$ percent by age 20 . In 1981, the proportions were slightly higher, 23.9 percent of the women experienced a first pregnancy by age $18,43.5$ percent by age 20 . In 1981 a higher proportion of black women than white women had a first pregnancy by age $18,40.7$ compared to 20.5 percent and by age $20,63.1$ percent compared to 39.7 percent, respectively.

TABLE 3.4 Percentage of Premaritally Sexually Active Women Aged 15-19 Who Ever Experienced A Premarital First Pregnancy, By Contraceptive-Use Status* And Race, 1979 And 1976, Metropolitan U.S.

| Contraceptive-Use Status | 1979 |  |  | 1976 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Total | White | Black |
| Always Used |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ <br> (N) | $\begin{aligned} & 13.5 \\ & (307) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.7 \\ & (165) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.4 \\ & (142) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.9 \\ (203) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.0 \\ & (98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ (105) \end{gathered}$ |
| Used at lst Intercourse, but not Always |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ <br> (N) | $\begin{aligned} & 31.0 \\ & (119) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26.2 \\ & (73) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.5 \\ & (46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.7 \\ & (66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.3 \\ & (36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.6 \\ & (30) \end{aligned}$ |
| Did not use at lst Intercourse, but at Some Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 <br> (N) | $\begin{aligned} & 29.2 \\ & (241) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.0 \\ & (137) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.2 \\ & (104) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21.7 \\ & (171) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.2 \\ & (95) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.7 \\ & (76) \end{aligned}$ |
| Never used |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ <br> (N) | $\begin{aligned} & 62.2 \\ & (270) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.8 \\ & (103) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70.3 \\ & (167) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.5 \\ & (283) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.5 \\ & (120) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.2 \\ & (163) \end{aligned}$ |

*From first intercourse to first pregnancy or marriage, an interview.
Source: M. Zelnik and J. F. Kantner, 1980, "Sexual Activity, Contraceptive Use, and Pregnancy Among Metropolitan-Area Teenagers: 1971-1979," Family Planning Perspectives 12(5), (September/October), 1980. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 3.4
Table 3.4 presents the percentage of premaritally sexually active women aged 15 to 19 who reported that they experienced a nonmarital first pregnancy, by contraceptive use status and race for 1979. The data are from the National Survey of Young Women (NSYW) for metropolitan areas only. As noted earlier, underreporting is a concern in surveys addressing sensitive topics such as nonmarital pregnancy.

Among sexually active women aged 15 to 19 who reported that they always used some form of contraception, 13.5 percent ever had a premarital pregnancy in 1979. Of women who said they used a contraceptive method at first intercourse but not consistently, 31 percent had a premarital pregnancy. Women who were premaritally sexually active and had never used contraception were the most likely to have ever experienced a premarital pregnancy, 62.2 percent in 1979.

White women aged 15 to 19 were less likely than black women to have ever experienced a premarital pregnancy, except among those who always used contraception, where whites were slightly more likely than blacks to have ever had a premarital pregnancy.

TABLE 3.5 Estimated Cumulative Percent Of Metropolitan-Area Females Aged l5-19 With Premarital First Pregnancy, By Duration Since First Intercourse, Race And Contraceptive Use Status, U.S., 1979

| Months After <br> First Intercourse | All Premaritally Sexually Experienced Females |  |  | Teens Who Never Used Contraceptive Methods |  |  | Teens Who Always Used Contraceptive Methods |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Total | White | Black | Total | White | Black |
| 3 | 13\% | 14\% | 14\% | 20\% | 21\% | 18\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| 6 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 22 | 28 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| 12 | 24 | 22 | 29 | 35 | 32 | 38 | 9 | 10 | 7 |
| 18 | 31 | 29 | 36 | 44 | 43 | 46 | 10 | 10 | 9 |
| 24 | 36 | 33 | 43 | 50 | 48 | 52 | 12 | 11 | 14 |

Source: M. Koenig and M. Zelnik, "The Risk of Premarital First Pregnancy Among Metropolitan-Area Teenagers: 1976 and 1979," Family Planning Perspectives l4(5):239-247, 1982. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 3.5
The estimated cumulative percent of metropolitan-area females aged 15 to 19 with a premarital first pregnancy by duration since first intercourse, race and contraceptive use status in 1979 is presented in Table 3.5 .

Within 24 months after first intercourse, 36 percent, or more than $l$ out of every 3 premaritally sexually active teenagers, became pregnant. The difference between those who always used some method of contraception and those who had never used contraception was substantial. Within the first 3 months after intercourse, 20 percent of the teenagers who never used contraception became pregnant compared to only 4 percent of those who had always used contraception. By 24 months after first intercourse, half of the teenagers who had never used contraception had become pregnant while 12 percent of the teenagers who reported that they had always used a contraceptive method had become pregnant.

TABLE 3.6 Percentage Distributions Of Women Aged 15-19 Who Ever Experienced A Premarital First Pregnancy And Were Unmarried At The Time The Pregnancy Was Resolved, By Pregnancy Intention And, Among Those Who Did Not Want The Pregnancy, By Contraceptive Use, According To Race, 1979, 1976 And 1971* (Metropolitan-Area Teenagers)

|  | 1979 |  |  | 1976 |  |  | 1971 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contraceptive Use | Total | White | Black | Total | White | Black | Total | White | Black |
| Pregnancy <br> Intention | ( $\mathrm{N}=312$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=115$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=197$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=200$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=59)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=141)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=249$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=42$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=207$ ) |
| Wanted | 18.0 | 16.4 | 20.9 | 24.6 | 21.9 | 28.0 | 24.2 | 23.6 | 24.6 |
| Not wanted | 82.0 | 83.6 | 79.1 | 75.4 | 78.1 | 72.0 | 75.8 | 76.4 | 75.4 |
| Did not want Pregnancy | ( $\mathrm{N}=246$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=94$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=152$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=147)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=46$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=101$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=183$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=32$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=151$ ) |
| Used Contraception | 31.5 | 36.1 | 22.0 | 20.6 | 27.1 | 11.5 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 8.3 |
| Did not use | 68.5 | 63.9 | 78.0 | 79.4 | 72.9 | 88.5 | 91.4 | 90.6 | 91.7 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

*Includes respondents pregnant at time of interview.
Source: M. Zelnik and J. F. Kantner. "Sexual Activity, Contraceptive Use and Pregnancy Among Metropolitan-Area Teenagers: 1971-1979." Family Planning Perspectives 12 (5)
(September/October), Table 6, 1980. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 3.6
Table 3.6 shows the distribution of women aged 15 to 19 by race, in 1979, l976, and 1971 who ever experienced a nonmarital pregnancy and were unmarried when the pregnancy was resolved, by pregnancy intention, and, among those who did not intend the pregnancy, by contraceptive use at the time of conception. Data are from the National Surveys of Young Women (NSYW) for metropolitan areas only. Again it is important to remember that under-reporting of pregnancies occurs in all surveys, and this could affect conclusions drawn from the data.

The data indicate that the percent of premarital pregnancies that were not intended in 1979 was slightly higher than in 1971--82 percent in 1979 compared to 76 percent in 1971. Black teenagers were slightly less likely than whites to describe their pregnancy as unwanted in 1971, 1976, and 1979.

Among teenagers who had an unintended premarital pregnancy the percent were using contraceptives were more than three times higher in 1979 than in 1971,32 compared to 9 percent. Even so, black females who had an unintended premarital pregnancy were less likely to have used contraception than white females, especially in 1976 and 1979. Moreover, the great majority of unwanted premarital pregnancies in all years and in both race groups occurred to teens who were not using contraception.

This section presents information on induced abortions among adolescent women in the United States. Data on abortions in the U.S. come from both federal and nonfederal sources. The Centers for Disease Control ( $C D C$ ) carry out abortion surveillance which includes reports of abortions from most State health agencies, some hospitals, or from State participants in the Cooperative Health Statistics Registry of the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). Through this system, tabulations of abortions by characteristics are provided to CDC and released as an annual surveillance report. This report provides information on the distribution of abortions by age, race, parity and other characteristics.

The Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) contacts abortion service providers and thereby derives a more complete count of abortions, although no data are gathered regarding specific characteristics of the women. By combining information from both sources one can estimate the characteristics of women receiving abortions. For example, the CDC report of the percentage of abortions to teenagers can be applied to the total number of abortions reported through AGI. This is regularly done by AGI and CDC with some adjustments for differences in reporting systems, but it is not known how the characteristics of women differ in the two types of reporting.

NCHS obtains some individual level data through reports of induced abortions submitted to state vital registration offices. These data provide cross-classification of abortions by several characteristics, such as age, race and parity, but are obtained for a very limited number of states. For example, the report based on 1980 data covered only 295,932 abortions occurring in a twelve state area.

TABLE 4.l Number And Percentage Distribution Of Legal Abortions, Abortion Rate Per l,OOO Women, And Percentage Of Pregnancies Terminated By Abortion, By Age Of Women, United States, Selected Years, 1974-1982

| Measure | 1973 | 1974 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Abortions | 744,610 | 898,570 | 1,316,700 | 1,409,600 | 1,497,670 | 1,553,890 | 1,577,340 | 1,573,920 |
| Less than 15 | 11,630 | 13,420 | 15,650 | 15,110 | 16,220 | 15,340 | 15,240 | 14,590 |
| 15-19 | 232,440 | 278,280 | 396,630 | 418,790 | 444,600 | 444,780 | 433,330 | 418,590 |
| 15-17 | n.a. | $u$ | $(165,610)$ | $(169,270)$ | $(178,570)$ | $(183,350)$ | $(175,930)$ | $(168,410)$ |
| 18-19 | n.a. | u | (231,020) | $(249,520)$ | $(266,030)$ | (261,430) | (257,400) | (250,33() |
| 20-24 | 240,610 | 286,600 | 449,660 | 489,410 | 525,710 | 549,410 | 554,940 | 551,680 |
| 25-29 | 129,600 | 162,690 | 246,680 | 265,990 | 284,200 | 303,820 | 316,260 | 326,380 |
| 30-34 | 72,550 | 89,810 | 124,380 | 134,280 | 141,970 | 153,060 | 167,240 | 168,020 |
| 35-39 | 40,960 | 48,770 | 61,700 | 65,350 | 65,070 | 66,580 | 69,510 | 73,250 |
| Greater than 40 | 16,820 | 19,000 | 22,000 | 20,670 | 19,900 | 20,900 | 20,820 | 21,260 |
| \% Distribution of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abortions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 15 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| 15-19 | 31.2 | 31.0 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 28.6 | 27.5 | 26.6 |
| 15-17 | n.a. | u | (12.6) | (12.0) | (11.9) | (11.8) | (11.2) | (10.7) |
| 18-19 | n.a. | u | (17.5) | (17.7) | (17.8) | (16.8) | (16.3) | (15.9) |
| 20-24 | 32.3 | 31.9 | 34.2 | 34.7 | 35.1 | 35.4 | 35.2 | 35.0 |
| 25-29 | 17.4 | 18.1 | 18.7 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 20.0 | 20.7 |
| 30-34 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.6 | 10.7 |
| 35-39 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.7 |
| Greater than 40 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


| Abortion Rate* | 16.3 | 19.3 | 26.4 | 27.7 | 28.8 | 29.3 | 29.3 | 28.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than $15+$ | 5.6 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 8.4 | 8.6 | 8.3 |
| 15-19 | 22.8 | 26.9 | 37.5 | 39.7 | 42.4 | 42.9 | 43.3 | 42.9 |
| 15-17 | n.a. | u | (26.2) | (26.9) | (28.8) | (30.2) | (30.1) | (30.1) |
| 18-19 | n.a. | u | (54.1) | (58.4) | (61.9) | (61.0) | (61.8) | (60.0) |
| 20-24 | 26.2 | 30.4 | 44.3 | 47.2 | 49.9 | 51.4 | 51.1 | 51.2 |
| 25-29 | 16.4 | 19.6 | 26.9 | 28.4 | 29.6 | 30.8 | 31.4 | 31.5 |
| 30-34 | 10.9 | 13.0 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| 35-39 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.3 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
| Greater than 40*** | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
| \% of Pregnancies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminated by |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abortions++ | n.a. | 22.0 | 28.6 | 29.2 | 29.6 | 30.0** | 30.0 | n.a. |
| Less than 15 | n.a. |  | 41.1 | 40.9 | 43.0 | 42.7 | 43.3 | n.a. |
| 15-19 | n.a. | 29.0 | 38.3 | 39.3 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 40.6 | n.a. |
| 15-17 | n.a. | u | (38.7) | (39.7) | (41.3) | 42.4 | 41.7 | n.a. |
| 18-19 | n.a. | u | (37.9) | (39.3) | (40.1) | 40.1 | 39.9 | n.a. |
| 20-24 | n.a. | 20.0 | 27.6 | 28.7 | 29.4 | 30.1 | 30.2 | n.a. |
| 25-29 | n.a. | 15.4 | 20.2 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 21.8 | 22.1 | n.a. |
| 30-34 | n.a. | 21.7 | 23.7 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 23.3 | 24.2 | n.a. |
| 35-39 | n.a. | 32.8 | 38.5 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 37.2 | 37.5 | n.a. |
| Greater than 40 | n.a. | 44.4 | 52.5 | 51.6 | 50.4 | 51.7 | 51.1 | n.a. |

## TABLF 4.1 (continued)

*Denominator for total abortion rate is women aged 15-44.
**Based on estimated age distribution of women giving birth in 1981
***Numerator is abortions obtained by women 40 and over; denominator is women aged 40-44.
+Numerator is abortions obtained by girls younger than 15; denominator is number of l4-year-old females. ++ Denominator is live births six months later (to match time of conception with abortions) and abortions. Pregnancies exclude miscarriages and stillbirths. Births and abortions are adjusted to age of woman at time of conception.
n.a. - not available.

Sources: S.K. Henshaw et al., Family Planning Perspectives Volume 15, No. l, January/February l983; S.K. Henshaw, et al, Family Planning Perspectives, Volume 17, No. 2, March/April 1985; Family Planning Perspectives, Volume l6, No. 3 May/June, 1984; Christopher Tietze and Stanley K. Henshaw, Induced Abortion: A World Review, 1986, New York, AGI, 1986; S.K. Henshaw "Trends in Abortions 1982-1984," Family Planning Perspectives, 18 (1) 1986.

TABLE 4.1
Table 4.1 presents the number and percentage distribution of legal abortions, the abortion rate and the percent of pregnancies terminated by abortion by the age of women. Data are tabulated by the Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) on the basis of its annual survey of clinics and other abortion providers combined with data on patient characteristics reported by most states to the Centers for Disease Control.

In 1982 there were 14,590 abortions to women under age 15 , over 168,000 to women aged 15 to 17 , and over 250,000 to women aged 18 to 19. Less than one percent of all abortions were obtained by women under age 15; ll percent were to women aged 15 to 17 ; and 16 percent were to women aged 18 to 19. Nearly 30 percent of all abortions were to women less than 20 years of age.

The total abortion rate in 1982 was 28.8 abortions per 1,000 women aged 15 to 44. For young women less than 15 years of age the abortion rate was 8.6 per 1,000 women, only slightly higher than the 1974 rate. The abortion rate for women aged 15 to 19 , however, increased from 27 to 43 per 1,000 women aged 15 to 19 between 1974 and 1982. The abortion rate (excluding miscarriages and stillbirths) for women aged 18 to 19 has consistently been about twice as high as the rate for women aged 15 to 17.

The proportion of pregnancies terminated by abortion for l5-to 19-year-old women increased by 40 percent from 1974 to l981, from 29 to 40.6 percent. In 1981, 4 in 10 pregnancies among teens ended in induced abortion. The proportion of pregnancies to women younger than 20 terminated by abortion was higher than for any other age group with the exception of women over 40 years of age, (among whom 51 percent of pregnancies end in abortion).

The number of abortions, the rate, the ratio, and the percent of pregnancies ending in abortion rose rapidly between 1973 and 1979. In 1982, however, the abortion rate and ratio, and the number of abortions obtained by teenagers declined slightly.

TABLE 4.2 Percent Change In Abortion Rates And In The Number Of Pregnancies Terminated By Abortions By Age Group, 1974 To 1978, 1979 To 1981, 1981 To 1982

| Age Group | \% Change 1974-78 |  | \% Change 1979-81 |  | \% Change 1981-82 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In <br> Abortion <br> Rate | In \% Of <br> Pregnancies <br> Terminated By <br> Abortions | In <br> Abortion <br> Rate | In \% Of <br> Pregnancies <br> Terminated By <br> Abortions | In <br> Abortion <br> Rate | In \% Of <br> Pregnancies <br> Terminated By <br> Abortions |
| Less than 15 | +17 | n.a. | +4 | +0.7 | -3 | n.a. |
| 15-19 | +48 | +36 | +2 | 0 | -1 | n.a. |
| 20-24 | +55 | +44 | +2 | +3 | -0.2 | n.a. |
| 25-29 | +45 | +35 | +6 | +5 | +0.3 | n.a. |
| 30-34 | +26 | +8 | +7 | +5 | 0 | n.a. |
| 35-39 | +17 | +18 | +1 | +0. 5 | -2 | n.a. |
| Greater than 40 | +9 | +16 | 0 | +1 | -3 | n.a. |

n.a. - not available

Source: See Table 4.1

TABLE 4.2
The percent change in abortion rates and in the number of pregnancies terminated by abortion from 1974 to 1982 by age are shown in Table 4.2. As in Table 4.l, data are from the Alan Guttmacher Institute and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC).

The abortion rate increased between 1974 and 1978 by 17 percent among women younger than age 15,48 percent among women aged 15 to 19 and 55 percent among women aged 20 to 24 . The increase in the proportion of pregnancies terminated by abortion was 36 percent for women aged 15 to 19, and 44 percent for women aged 20 to 24.

The changes in the abortion rate and in the percent of pregnancies terminated by abortion were much lower between 1979 and 1981. The abortion rate increased by 4 percent for women under age 15 from 1979 to 1981, by 2 percent for women aged 15 to 19, and 2 percent for women aged 20 to 24 . The proportion of pregnancies terminated by abortion rose less than one percent between 1979 and 1981 for young women under age 15, not at all for women aged 15 to 19 , and 3 percent for women aged 20 to 24 .

For all women under age 20, there were slight decreases in the abortion rates between 1981 and 1982. Data on the percent of pregnancies terminated by abortion for 1982 were not available.

TABLE 4.3 Abortion Rate* Per 1,000 Women, By Age-group And Race, According To Marital Status, 1979-81

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Characteristics | Married | Unmarried |
|  |  |  |
| Age-Group and Race |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| All Races** | - | 8.4 |
| Less than l5*** | 17.7 | 31.3 |
| l5-19 | 15.4 | 21.7 |
| $15-17$ | 18.4 | 47.0 |
| $18-19$ | 14.1 | 50.3 |
| $20-24$ | 9.4 | 41.6 |
| $25-29$ | 6.0 | 25.7 |
| $30-34$ | 2.7 | 9.0 |
| $35-39$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| White | 15.5 | 33.3 |
| Black |  | 41.7 |
|  |  |  |

Note: Includes separated, divorced, widowed and never-married women
*Rates are three-year averages of induced terminations of pregnancy per 1,000 population of residence.
**Includes races other than Black and White. ***There is no accurate estimate of the number of married women under 15.

Sources: Abortions by aged-group and marital status--data on ll states (Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont and Virginia). K. Prager, "Induced Terminations of Pregnancy: Reporting States, 1981" NCHS, Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 34, No. 4, July 1985.

TABLE 4.3
Table 4.3 shows the abortion rate per 1,000 women by age and marital status and by race and marital status for 1979-1981. Data are provided by 11 states to the National Center for Health Statistics ( NCHS ) .

Abortion rates in general were higher among unmarried women than among married women. The highest abortion rates among unmarried women were between the ages of 18 and 29, while the highest abortion rates for married women were for those women under age 20.

Black women were considerably more likely to have an abortion than white women, particularly black married women. The abortion rates for black women for the 1979-1981 period were 15.1 per 1,000 married women and 41.7 per 1,000 unmarried women. For white women, the abortion rates were 6.5 per 1,000 married women and 33.3 per 1,000 unmarried women.

TABLE 4.4 Estimated Abortion Rate Per 1,000 Women Aged 12-19* By Race, United States, l971-1978

|  | Abortion Rate <br>  <br> Year |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | White | Black and <br> Other** | Ratio of Black Rate <br> to White Rate |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 11.7 | 17.4 | 1.5 |
| 1973 | 14.1 | 25.4 | 1.8 |
| 1974 | 16.0 | 34.0 | 2.1 |
| 1975 | 18.0 | 42.0 | 2.3 |
| 1976 | 19.8 | 48.1 | 2.4 |
| 1977 | 22.2 | 50.7 | 2.3 |
| 1978 | 24.3 | 51.2 | 2.1 |

*Based on age at conception.
**Including teenagers of all other racial minorities.
Source: N.V.Ezzard, W. Cates, Jr., 'D.G. Kramer, and C. Tietze, "Race- Specific Patterns of Abortion Use by American Teenagers," American Journal of Public Health, 72: 809, 1982. Reprinted by permission.

## TABLE 4.4

Table 4.4 shows the estimated abortion rate per 1,000 females aged 12 to 19 by race from 1972 to 1978. These data are from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC).

The rate of abortion per 1,000 women more than doubled between 1972 and 1978 for teenagers of both races. For every l, 000 white women there were ll abortions in 1972 and 24 abortions in 1978. The abortion rate was 17 per one thousand black women in 1972 and 51 per l, 000 in 1978. By 1978 the abortion rate for black teenagers had increased to twice the rate for whites.

TABLE 4.5 Legal Abortions Per l,000 Births (Abortion Ratio) By Age At Conception And By Race, United States, 1972-1978

| Year | Age Less Than 15 |  | Age 15-17 |  | Age 18-19 |  | Teenagers Age 12-19 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White | Black \& Other | White | Black \& Other | White |  <br> Other | White |  <br> Other | Black Ratio <br> To White Ratio |
| 1972 | 393 | 221 | 268 | 158 | 264 | 210 | 270 | 186 | 0.7 |
| 1973 | 419 | 301 | 332 | 245 | 339 | 322 | 338 | 283 | 0.8 |
| 1974 | 427 | 397 | 385 | 346 | 388 | 449 | 388 | 395 | 1.0 |
| 1975 | 489 | 505 | 456 | 457 | 441 | 551 | 449 | 503 | 1.1 |
| 1976 | 515 | 595 | 533 | 558 | 491 | 649 | 509 | 602 | 1.2 |
| 1977 | 568 | 612 | 603 | 597 | 526 | 660 | 558 | 627 | 1.1 |
| 1978 | 617 | 629 | 665 | 620 | 581 | 668 | 615 | 643 | 1.0 |

Source: See Table 4.4.

TABLE 4.5
Table 4.5 shows the number of legal abortions per 1,000 births (Abortion Ratio) by age at conception by race from 1972 to 1978. The data on abortions are from the Center for Disease Control (CDC) and the data on births are from National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) .

From 1972 to 1978, the ratio of abortions per l,000 live births more than doubled for all women aged 12 to 19 at conception. There were 270 abortions for every l,000 live births to white teenage women in 1972 and 615 per 1,000 in 1978. The ratio of abortions per 1,000 live births for nonwhite (black and other) teenage women was lower than for white in 1972. Between 1972 and 1978, the ratio rose more rapidly among nonwhite teenagers than among white teenagers, and in 1978 the nonwhite ratio exceeded the white ratio for teenagers under age 15 and ages 18 and 19.

TABLE 4.6 Ratios of Induced Terminations of Pregnancy By Race And Age Of Woman, 1980: l2-State Area (Ratios Per l, 000 Live Births. Induced Terminations of Pregnancy And Live Births Are Only Those Occurring In The Area Among Residents Of The Area)

| Age of Woman | Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Races ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | White | Black |
| All Ages | 388.2 | 1,337.7 | 638.7 |
| Under 14 years | 1,868.1 | 2,085.2 | 1,749.6 |
| 14 years | 1,380.6 | 1,632.0 | 1,200.2 |
| 15-19 years | 738.7 | 786.3 | 644.5 |
| 15 years | 975.4 | 1,177.1 | 774.9 |
| 16 years | 894.0 | 1,042.8 | 673.4 |
| 17 years | 765.4 | 841.8 | 621.2 |
| 18 years | 794.1 | 847.5 | 669.0 |
| 19 years | 603.1 | 613.0 | 591.5 |
| 20-24 years | 411.6 | 362.2 | 638.6 |
| 25-29 years | 247.7 | 197.1 | 580.1 |
| 30-34 years | 254.2 | 201.7 | 598.3 |
| 35-39 years | 443.2 | 371.0 | 836.5 |
| 40 years and over | 837.8 | 784.5 | 1,120.3 |

Note: The l2-State area includes Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, and Virginia.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes races other than white and black.

Source: Burnham, 1983: Table A; see references at end of this volume.

TABLE 4.6

Table 4.6 presents the number of induced terminations of pregnancy or abortions per 1,000 live births (abortion ratio) by race and age of women for the 12 state reporting area in 1980. The data, collected from 12 states, are provided by National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

For women of all ages and races, there were 388 abortions per l,000 live births. The abortion ratio was highest among women under age 20. For women under age 14 there were 1,868 abortions per l,000 live births, and for women aged 14 there were 1,380 abortions per 1,000 live births.

For all ages under age 20, the abortion ratio was higher for white women than for black women. Among women aged 20 and over, however, the number of abortions per 1,000 live births was higher for black women than for white women.

TABLE 4.7 Percent of Induced Terminations of Pregnancy To Women With No Previous Induced Termination, By Age And Race Of Women: l2-State Area, 1980 (Data Include Only Induced Terminations Of Pregnancy Occurring In The Reporting Area)

| Age of Woman | Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $A 11^{a}$ <br> Races | White | Black |
| All Ages | 65.7 | 68.9 | 57.8 |
| Under 15 years | 94.7 | 96.0 | 93.5 |
| 15-17 years | 87.6 | 89.1 | 83.9 |
| 18-19 years | 77.6 | 79.6 | 70.8 |
| 20-24 years | 62.2 | 65.1 | 54.5 |
| 25-19 years | 54.8 | 57.9 | 47.1 |
| 30-34 years | 56.4 | 60.0 | 47.0 |
| 35-39 years | 60.1 | 65.8 | 48.0 |
| 40 years and over | 67.2 | 72.2 | 54.5 |

Note: The l2-State area includes Colorado, Kansas, Missouri, Montana, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, and Virginia.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Includes races other than white and black.
Source: Burnham, 1983: Table E.; see references at the end of this volume.

## TABLE 4.7

Table 4.7 shows the proportion of abortions obtained by women with no previous induced termination, by age and race of women for the 12 state reporting area in 1980. The data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

The large majority of women, regardless of race, under age 20 having an abortion in 1980 were having their first abortion. Among young women under age 15, 94.7 percent had not had a previous abor-tion--96.0 percent of white women and 93.5 percent of black women. Among women aged 15 to 17 having an abortion, 87.6 percent had not had previous abortion--89.1 percent of white women and 83.9 percent of black women. Finally, among women aged 18 to 19 having an abortion in 1980, 77.6 percent had not had a previous abortion--79.6 percent of white women and 70.8 percent of black women.

## V. MARRIAGE AMONG PREGNANT ADOLESCENTS

This section presents information on marriage among pregnant adolescents and adolescents who have a first birth in the United States. The focus is on the marital status of the adolescent female at conception, at birth and after birth.

The data presented in the following tables are from the Current Population Surveys (CPS), the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth and the National Surveys of Young Women and Men (NSYW/M). They show that consistent with the decline in marriage among all teenagers, there has been an increase in the proportion of teenage women who remain unmarried after the birth of their child. These data permit comparisons by race but not by ethnic group.

TABLE 5.1 Percent Of Males And Females Aged 15 to 19 NeverMarried, By Race And Ethnicityl, 1960-1985

| Year | Category | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-17 | 18-19 | 15-19 | 15-17 | 18-19 | 15-19 |
| 1960 | Total | 99.1 | 91.1 | 96.3 | 93.2 | 67.8 | 83.9 |
|  | White | 99.1 | 91.0 | 96.2 | 93.3 | 67.6 | 83.9 |
|  | Nonwhite | 99.2 | 91.9 | 96.6 | 92.3 | 69.3 | 83.8 |
| 1970 | Total | 98.6 | 91.3 | 95.9 | 95.3 | 7.6.6 | 88.1 |
|  | White | 98.7 | 91.3 | 95.9 | 95.4 | 76.4 | 88.0 |
|  | Black | 98.0 | 91.0 | 95.5 | 95.0 | 77.7 | 88.6 |
|  | Hispanic | 97.7 | 87.4 | 94.0 | 93.1 | 70.6 | 84.7 |
| 1973 | Total | 99.2* | 90.4 | 96.5* | 96.2 | 75.8 | 89.6* |
|  | White | 99.1* | 89.5 | 96.2* | 96.2 | 74.4 | 89.1* |
|  | Non-white | 99.5* | 95.6 | 98.4* | 96.1 | 83.9 | 92.2* |
|  | Hispanic | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| 1976 | Total | 99.4* | 91.9 | 97.0* | 97.0* | 78.3 | 90.8* |
|  | White | 99.3* | 91.2 | 96.7* | 96.8* | 77.2 | 90.2* |
|  | Black | 99.6* | 95.9 | 98.5* | 98.1* | 85.0 | 93.8* |
|  | Hispanic | 99.5* | 92.7 | 97.7* | 94.6* | 74.9 | 87.1* |
| 1980 | Total | 99.4 | 94.2 | 97.3 | 97.0 | 82.8 | 91.1 |
|  | White | 99.4 | 93.6 | 97.0 | 96.7 | 81.5 | 90.4 |
|  | Black | 99.4 | 97.7 | 98.8 | 98.3 | 90.9 | 95.4 |
|  | Hispanic | 98.5 | 92.2 | 95.8 | 94.6 | 79.2 | 88.2 |
| 1981 | Total | 99.2 | 95.7 | 97.8 | 97.2 | 84.7 | 92.0 |
|  | White | 99.2 | 95.4 | 97.7 | 96.9 | 83.4 | 91.3 |
|  | Black | 99.6 | 97.0 | 98.6 | 98.8 | 92.7 | 96.4 |
|  | Hispanic | 99.2 | 91.8 | 96.3 | 95.3 | 74.0 | 86.7 |
| 1984 | Total | 99.7 | 96.8 | 98.5 | 98.0 | 87.1 | 93.4 |
|  | White | 99.6 | 96.5 | 98.3 | 97.7 | 85.2 | 92.4 |
|  | Black | 100.0 | 98.2 | 99.3 | 99.3 | 97.2 | 98.4 |
|  | Hispanic | 99.0 | 93.5 | 96.8 | 95.7 | 79.1 | 88.8 |
| 1985 | Total | 99.7 | 97.1 | 98.7 | 98.0 | 86.7 | 93.4 |

$l_{\text {Hispanic }}$ persons may be of any race and Black and White totals may include Hispanics.
n.a. = not available
*Includes males and females 14 years of age.
Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Marital Status and Living Arrangements", CPR, Series P-20, 1960, 1970, 1973, 1975, 1976, 1981, 1984, 1985; Statistical Abstract of the U.S.: 1985, Washington, D.C., 1986.

TABLE 5.1
Table 5.1 presents the proportion of males and females nevermarried by race from 1960 to 1985 (breakdowns by race were not available for 1985). There was a gradual increase in the proportion of men who remained single until at least age 20 and a rather striking delay in marriage among young women. The percent of unmarried females aged 15 to 19 rose by about $l l$ percent and the percent of unmarried males aged 15 to 19 rose about 2 percent between 1960 and 1985. Most of this increase was for 18 and 19 year old women. The proportion of females who were single rose by 28 percent for 18 and 19 year old women.

The increasing tendency to remain single was more dramatic for black females aged 18 and 19 than for white and Hispanic females aged 18 and 19. While the proportions of black and white 18 to 19 year-old females who were unmarried were similar in 1970 , by 1984 the proportion unmarried was 12 percentage points higher among blacks. The proportion of Hispanic females 18 to 19 years old who were unmarried was consistently lower than for either whites or blacks, even though 8.5 percentage points higher in 1984 than 1970. The percent increase in the proportion of black females aged 18 to 19 was 25 percent compared to 12 percent for both white and Hispanic females.

TABLE 5. 2 Percentage Of First-Born Babies Born to Mothers Aged 15-19, Conceived Either Maritally or Extra-Maritally, By Race, Age, and Marital Status at First Birth According To Birth Cohort Of Baby (numbers, in $1,000 \mathrm{~s}$, shown in parentheses)

|  | Birth Cohort of Baby |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1950- \\ & 1954 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1955- \\ & 1959 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1960- \\ & 1964 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1965- \\ & 1969 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1970- \\ & 1974 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1975- \\ & 1979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1980- \\ & 1981 \end{aligned}$ |
| All Women Aged 15-19 | $(1,388)$ | $(1,739)$ | $(1,957)$ | $(2,112)$ | $(2,435)$ | $(2,061)$ | (622) * |
| Conceived/born in wedlock | 69.9 | 60.6 | 54.0 | 45.6 | 33.6 | 32.5 | 28.4 |
| Conceived/born out-of-wedlock | 16.1 | 18.3 | 22.4 | 24.2 | 35.2 | 44.5 | 48.5 |
| ```Conceived out-of wedlock/born in wedlock``` | 14.0 | 21.0 | 23.6 | 30.4 | 31.2 | 23.0 | 23.1 |
| White Women Aged 15-19 | $(1,120)$ | $(1,400)$ | $(1,574)$ | $(1,633)$ | $(1,746)$ | $(1,469)$ | (500) * |
| Conceived/born in wedlock | 77.4 | 68.6 | 61.7 | 52.3 | 42.7 | 41.9 | 35.6 |
| Conceived/born out-of-wedlock | 9.4 | 10.6 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 20.2 | 30.3 | 36.8 |
| ```Conceived out-of wedlock/born in wedlock``` | 13.2 | 20.8 | 24.7 | 33.3 | 37.1 | 27.8 | 27.6 |
| Black Women Aged 15-19 | (251) | (316) | (343) | (429) | (641) | (542) | (141) * |
| Conceived/born in wedlock | 35.1 | 24.7 | 18.9 | 18.9 | 8.9 | 6.6 | 3.5 |
| Conceived/born out-of-wedlock | 46.6 | 52.8 | 62.1 | 61.3 | 75.7 | 83.2 | 87.9 |
| Conceived out-of wedlock/born in wedlock | 18.3 | 22.5 | 19.0 | 19.8 | 15.4 | 10.1 | 8.5 |

*Data are incomplete for this cohort and may underestimate out of wedlock births.

Source: M. O'Connell and C.C. Rogers, Family Planning Perspectives, Volume 16, No. 4, July/August 1984; Data are derived from June 1980 and June 1982 CPS.

TABLE 5.2
The percentage of first babies born to mothers aged 15 to 19, by race and marital status at conception, and at first birth by the birth cohort of the baby, is presented in Table 5.2. Data are from the Current Population Surveys (CPS).

Overall, the percent of first-born babies conceived out of wedlock from 1980 to 1981 was more than twice the percent conceived out of wedlock from 1950 to 1954 ( 72 and 30 percent respectively). Of the babies conceived out of wedlock from 1950 to 54 , about one-half were born out of wedlock. In contrast, seven out of every ten babies conceived out of wedlock and born between 1980 and 1981, were born out-of-wedlock. This represents a tripling in the proportion of babies born to single teenagers (l6 percent between 1950 and 1954 compared to 49 percent between 1980 and 1981).

Black teenagers were much more likely to both conceive and give birth out-of-wedlock than white teenagers. Almost two-thirds (65 percent) of all first births to black women aged 15 to 19 from 1950 to 1954 and over 96 percent from 1980 to 1982 were babies conceived out of wedlock. Out of those conceived out of wedlock, seven out of every ten babies born between 1950 and 1954 and nine out of every ten babies born between 1980 and 1981 were born to single mothers. The increase in the percent of all first born babies conceived and born out of wedlock to black women was almost 90 percent from 1950-54 to 1980-81 (47 and 88 percent respectively).

In comparison, less than 25 percent of all first births to white women, between 1950 to 1954, but about two-thirds born from 1980 to 1981 were conceived out-of-wedlock. From 1950 to 1954 approximately l out of every 5 babies conceived out-of-wedlock was born out-of-wedlock; from 1980 to 1981,3 out of every five premaritally pregnant white teens were still single at the birth of their first child. The proportion of all first born babies conceived and born out-of-wedlock to white women nearly quadrupled from 9 percent between 1950 and 1954 to 37 percent between 1980 and 1981.

This section presents information on the number of births to U.S. adolescents by the mother's age, race, ethnicity, and marital status, and by the father's age and race. Data on first births occurring to adolescent females as well as birth rates are presented. Also included are data on prenatal care among adolescent mothers and infant mortality rates for babies born to adolescent mothers.

In the following tables, the data on births to adolescents are from the National Vital Statistics and the 1980 National Natality Survey. The data on births by mother's age and race are considered to be very reliable. However, the data on birth to fathers are less reliable because there is a substantial under-reporting of the age of the father by adolescent females. Despite these limitations data are presented in order to provide a rough indication of the age of fathers of babies born to adolescent mothers. Data on births to adolescent males and females from the National Longitudinal Survey (NLS) are also presented and the same caution on under-reporting of fatherhood by males is relevant.

Data on births to adolescent mothers of Hispanic origin are presented separately to show variations among mothers of Hispanic origin and because comparable time series of data are not available for Hispanic origin mothers.

TABLE 6.1 Number Of Births In The United States To Women Under Age Twenty By Race, $1955-1984$

|  | All Races |  |  | Whites |  |  | Non-Whites |  |  | Blacks* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 |
| 1984 | 9,965 | 166,726 | 302,938 | 3,959 | 105,016 | 215,937 | 6,006 | 61,728 | 87,001 | 5,720 | 56,907 | 77,485 |
| 1983 | 9,752 | 172,673 | 316,613 | 4,031 | 109,641 | 228,511 | 5,721 | 63,032 | 88,102 | 5,439 | 58,160 | 78,506 |
| 1982 | 9,773 | 181,162 | 332,596 | 4,153 | 115,869 | 242,079 | 5,620 | 65,293 | 90,517 | 5,395 | 60,282 | 80,252 |
| 1981 | 9,632 | 187,397 | 339,995 | 3,970 | 120,913 | 249,100 | 5,662 | 66,484 | 90,895 | 5,425 | 61,850 | 81,428 |
| 1980 | 10,169 | 198,222 | 353,939 | 4,171 | 127,657 | 260,401 | 5,998 | 70,565 | 93,538 | 5,793 | 65,966 | 84,387 |
| 1979 | 10,699 | 200,137 | 349,335 | 4,402 | 127,970 | 255,837 | 6,297 | 72,167 | 93,498 | 6,139 | 67,728 | 85,077 |
| 1978 | 10,772 | 202,661 | 340,746 | 4,512 | 130,957 | 249,103 | 6,260 | 71,704 | 91,643 | 6,068 | 71,182 | 83,684 |
| 1977 | 11,455 | 213,788 | 345,366 | 4,671 | 138,223 | 253,960 | 6,784 | 75,565 | 91,406 | 6,582 | 71,182 | 84,008 |
| 1976 | 11,928 | 215,493 | 343,251 | 5,054 | 139,901 | 253,374 | 6,874 | 75,592 | 89,877 | 6,661 | 71,429 | 82,507 |
| 1975 | 12,642 | 227,270 | 354,968 | 5,073 | 148,344 | 261,785 | 7,569 | 78,926 | 93,183 | 7,315 | 74,946 | 86,098 |
| 1974 | 12,529 | 234,177 | 361,272 | 5,053 | 152,257 | 267,895 | 7,476 | 81,920 | 93,377 | 7,291 | 77,947 | 86,483 |
| 1973 | 12,861 | 238,403 | 365,693 | 4,907 | 153,416 | 271,417 | 7,954 | 84,987 | 94,276 | 7,778 | 81,158 | 87,615 |
| 1972 | 12,082 | 236,641 | 379,639 | 4,573 | 150,897 | 283,089 | 7,509 | 85,744 | 96,550 | 7,363 | 82,217 | 90,132 |
| 1971 | 11,578 | 226,298 | 401,644 | 4,130 | 143,806 | 302,920 | 7,448 | 82,492 | 98,724 | 7,264 | 79,238 | 92,446 |
| 1970 | 11,752 | 223,590 | 421,118 | 4,320 | 143,646 | 319,962 | 7,432 | 79,944 | 101,156 | 7,274 | 76,882 | 94,944 |
| 1969 | 10,468 | 201,770 | 402,884 | 3,684 | 128,156 | 306,118 | 6,784 | 73,614 | 96,766 | 6,650 | 71,020 | 90,918 |
| 1968 | 9,504 | 192,970 | 398,342 | 3,114 | 121,166 | 305,336 | 6,390 | 71,804 | 93,006 | 6,312 | 69,594 | 87,986 |
| 1967 | 8,593 | 188,234 | 408,211 | 2,761 | 118,035 | 317,204 | 5,832 | 70,199 | 91,007 | 5,742 | 68,133 | 86,410 |
| 1966 | 8,128 | 186,704 | 434,722 | 2,666 | 119,800 | 345,312 | 5,462 | 66,904 | 89,410 | 5,370 | 64,922 | 84,818 |
| 1965 | 7,768 | 188,604 | 402,290 | 2,444 | 124,294 | 319,460 | 5,324 | 64,310 | 82,830 |  |  |  |
| 1964 | 7,816 | 196,220 | 389,490 | 2,676 | 134,596 | 309, 762 | 5,140 | 61,624 | 79,728 |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 7,594 | 180,564 | 405,890 | 2,584 | 112,096 | 321,212 | 4,814 | 54,848 | 75,662 |  |  |  |
| 1962 | 7,340 | 172,836 | 427,462 | 2,690 | 117,660 | 342,172 | 4,520 | 51,818 | 75,970 |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 7,462 | 177,894 | 423,826 | 2,808 | 125,194 | 346,512 | 4,654 | 52,700 | 77,314 |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 6,780 | 182,408 | 404,558 | 2,524 | 129,544 | 328,586 | 4,256 | 52,864 | 75,972 |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 6,776 | 177,786 | 393,262 | 2,572 | 125,822 | 319,548 | 4,204 | 51,964 | 73, 714 |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 6,648 | 171,786 | 382,418 | 2,648 | 121,704 | 310,992 | 4,000 | 50,062 | 71,426 |  |  |  |
| ] 957 | 6,960 | 170,716 | 379,496 | 2,648 | 120,040 | 308,934 | 4,312 | 50,676 | 70,562 |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 6,356 | 160,580 | 359,842 | 2,348 | 112,184 | 290,638 | 4,008 | 48,396 | 69,204 |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 5,883 | 149,722 | 334,375 | 2,136 | 103,503 | 269,175 | 3,747 | 46,219 | 65,200 |  |  |  |

*Data for Blacks separately are not available prior to 1969. Blacks included among non-Whites in all years.
Source: National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics of the United States, annual volumes; NCHS, "Advanced Keport of Final Natality Statistics" Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 34, No. 6, September 1985 and Vol. 35, No. 4, July 1986.

TABLE 6.1
Table 6.1 shows the number of births by age of mother for females under 20, by race from 1955 to 1984. In general, the number of births to females under age 20 gradually increased from 1955 through the early 1970s and then began to steadily decline primarily due to changes in the number of women in these age groups. See table 6.2 for data concerning the changes in births relative to the population of young women.

TABLE 6.2 Birth Rates By Age Of Mother, By Race Of Child, United States, 1950-1984 (births per l,000 women, by age and race)

| Year and <br> Race of Child | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 Years |  |  |  | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | $35-39$ <br> Years | $40-44$ <br> Years | $\begin{aligned} & 45-49 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Years | Total | 15-17 | 18-19 | Years | Years |  |  |  |  |
| All Races |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 1.2 | 50.9 | 31.1 | 78.3 | 107.3 | 108.3 | 66.5 | 22.8 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| 1983 | 1.1 | 51.7 | 32.0 | 78.1 | 108.3 | 108.7 | 64.6 | 22.1 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| 1982 | 1.1 | 52.9 | 32.4 | 80.7 | 111.3 | 111.0 | 64.2 | 21.1 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| 1981 | 1.1 | 52.7 | 32.1 | 81.7 | 111.8 | 112.0 | 61.4 | 20.0 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| 1080 | 1.1 | 53.0 | 32.5 | 82.1 | 115.1 | 112.9 | 61.9 | 19.8 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| 1979 | 1.2 | 52.3 | 32.3 | 81.3 | 112.8 | 111.4 | 60.3 | 19.5 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| 1978 | 1.2 | 51.5 | 32.2 | 79.8 | 109.9 | 108.5 | 57.8 | 19.0 | 3.9 | 0.2 |
| 1977 | 1.2 | 52.8 | 33.9 | 80.9 | 112.9 | 111.0 | 56.4 | 19.2 | 4.2 | 0.2 |
| 1976 | 1.2 | 52.8 | 34.1 | 80.5 | 110.3 | 106.2 | 53.6 | 19.0 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
| 1975 | 1.3 | 55.6 | 36.1 | 85.0 | 113.0 | 108.2 | 52.3 | 19.5 | 4.6 | 0.3 |
| 1974 | 1.2 | 57.5 | 37.3 | 88.7 | 117.7 | 111.5 | 53.8 | 20.2 | 4.8 | 0.3 |
| 1973 | 1.2 | 59.3 | 38.5 | 91.2 | 119.7 | 112.2 | 55.6 | 22.1 | 5.4 | 0.3 |
| 1972 | 1.2 | 61.7 | 39.0 | 96.9 | 130.2 | 117.7 | 59.8 | 24.8 | 6.2 | 0.4 |
| 1971 | 1.1 | 64.5 | 38.2 | 105.3 | 150.3 | 134.1 | 67.3 | 28.7 | 7.1 | 0.4 |
| 1970 | 1.2 | 68.3 | 38.8 | 114.7 | 167.8 | 145.1 | 73.3 | 31.7 | 8.1 | 0.5 |
| 1965 | 0.8 | 70.4 | -- | -- | 196.8 | 162.5 | 95.0 | 46.4 | 12.8 | 0.8 |
| 1960 | 0.8 | 89.1 | -- | -- | 258.1 | 197.4 | 112.7 | 56.2 | 15.5 | 0.9 |
| 1955 | 0.9 | 90.3 | -- | -- | 241.6 | 190.2 | 116.0 | 58.6 | 16.1 | 1.0 |
| 1950 | 1.0 | 81.6 | -- | -- | 196.6 | 166.1 | 103.7 | 52.9 | 15.1 | 1.2 |



TABLE 6.2 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year and } \\ & \text { Race of Child } \end{aligned}$ | Age of Mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-19 Years |  |  |  | $20-24$ <br> Years | $25-29$ <br> Years | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $40-44$ <br> Years | $45-49$ <br> Years |
|  | 10-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Years | Total | 15-17 | 18-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 5.0 | 116.5 | 90.0 | 158.7 | 146.7 | 102.2 | 54.1 | 27.0 | 7.6 | 0.6 |
| 1973 | 5.4 | 123.1 | 96.0 | 166.6 | 153.1 | 103.9 | 58.1 | 29.4 | 8.6 | 0.6 |
| 1972 | 5.1 | 129.8 | 99.5 | 179.5 | 165.0 | 112.4 | 64.0 | 33.4 | 9.8 | 0.7 |
| 1971 | 5.1 | 134.5 | 99.4 | 192.6 | 186.6 | 128.0 | 74.8 | 38.9 | 11.6 | 0.9 |
| 1970 | 5.2 | 147.7 | 101.4 | 204.9 | 202.7 | 136.3 | 79.6 | 41.9 | 12.5 | 1.0 |
| 1965 | 4.3 | 140.6 | -- | -- | 247.8 | 183.2 | 114.9 | 62.7 | 18.7 | 1.4 |
| 1960 | 4.3 | 156.1 | - | -- | 295.4 | 218.6 | 137.1 | 73.9 | 21.9 | 1.1 |

Source: National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics of the United States, annual volumes, see Table 6.l.

TABLE 6.2

Table 6.2 shows the birth rates by age of mother and race of child from l950 to l984. Data are from National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and U.S. Bureau of Census. In general, there was a decline in the rate of births per 1,000 women between 1950 and 1984 for women aged 15 to 49. The decline was most steep among older women. The birth rate for women aged 15 to 19 declined by 25 percent between 1970 to 1984, from 68.3 to 50.9 births per l, 000 women. Between 1970 and 1984 the birth rate for teenagers aged 15 to 17 declined by about 20 percent (from 38.8 to 31.1 per 1,000 ) compared to a decline of 32 percent for women aged 18 to 19 (from ll4.7 to 78.3 per l,000).

The birth rates for black teenage women have consistently been at least two times higher than the rates for white women even though there was a decline in the birth rates for both races. For white women in 1984 there were 42.5 births per 1,000 women aged 15 to 19,24 births per 1,000 women aged 15 to 17 and 68 births per 1,000 women aged 18 to 19. These rates had decreased by 26,18 and 33 percent respectively from 1970 to 1984. For black women there were about 96 births per 1,000 women aged 15 to 19,70 per 1,000 for women aged 15 to 17 and 132 per 1,000 for women aged 18 to 19 in 1984. The rates for black women decreased by 35,31 and 36 percent respectively from 1970 to 1982.

The birth rates for teens aged 10 to 14 are low and remained fairly stable throughout this period. However, for black teenagers this age, the birth rate has been at least seven times higher than the rate for white teenagers in all years.

TABLE 6.3 Number Of Out-Of-Wedlock Births In The United States (estimated) By Age Of Mother: 1955-1984 (females under 20, by race)

|  | All Races |  |  | Whites |  |  | Nonwhites |  |  | Blacks* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 | Under 15 | 15-17 | 18-19 |
| 1984 | 9,075 | 115,355 | 145,749 | 3,193 | 57,980 | 75,295 | 5,882 | 57,375 | 70,454 | 5,634 | 54,062 | 65,680 |
| 1983 | 8,816 | 116,625 | 144,635 | 3,222 | 58,132 | 74,362 | 5,594 | 58,493 | 70,273 | 5,354 | 55,191 | 65,755 |
| 1982 | 8,720 | 117,696 | 142,930 | 3,225 | 57,848 | 72,829 | 5,495 | 59,848 | 70,101 | 5,305 | 56,608 | 65,555 |
| 1981 | 8,589 | 118,608 | 140,631 | 3,030 | 57,881 | 71,105 | 5,559 | 60,727 | 69,526 | 5,361 | 57,882 | 65,601 |
| 1980 | 9,024 | 121,900 | 140,877 | 3,144 | 57,761 | 70,223 | 5,880 | 64,139 | 70,654 | 5,707 | 61,204 | 66,818 |
| 1979 | 9,500 | 120,000 | 133,000 | 3,300 | 54,300 | 62,100 | 6,200 | 65,900 | 70,900 | 6,100 | 62,900 | 67,100 |
| 1978 | 9,400 | 116,500 | 123,200 | 3,300 | 52,500 | 55,900 | 6,100 | 64,000 | 67,300 | 5,900 | 61,200 | 64,000 |
| 1977 | 10,100 | 120,900 | 118,700 | 3,400 | 53,800 | 53,200 | 6,700 | 67,100 | 65,500 | 6,500 | 64,400 | 62,700 |
| 1976 | 10,300 | 116,500 | 108,500 | 3,500 | 50,000 | 47,600 | 6,800 | 66,500 | 60,900 | 6,600 | 64,100 | 58,600 |
| 1975 | 11,000 | 116,800 | 105,800 | 3,600 | 48,900 | 45,000 | 7,500 | 67,900 | 60,700 | 7,200 | 65,500 | 58,200 |
| 1974 | 10,600 | 113,000 | 97,700 | 3,300 | 44,800 | 40,300 | 7,300 | 68,300 | 57,500 | 7,700 | 66,100 | 55,100 |
| 1973 | 10,900 | 111,300 | 93,500 | 3,200 | 42,400 | 38,700 | 7,700 | 69,000 | 54,800 | 7,500 | 67,000 | 52,900 |
| 1972 | 9,900 | 108,500 | 93,700 | 2,700 | 39,900 | 38,700 | 7,200 | 68,600 | 55,100 | 7,100 | 66,700 | 53,200 |
| 1971 | 9,500 | 100,800 | 93,200 | 2,500 | 36,200 | 39,900 | 7,100 | 64,700 | 53,500 | 6,900 | 63,100 | 51,800 |
| 1970 | 9,500 | 96,100 | 94,300 | 2,500 | 36,200 | 43,200 | 7,000 | 60,000 | 51,100 | 6,800 | 58,400 | 49,500 |
| 1969 | 8,300 | 83,300 | 84,900 | 2,100 | 30,800 | 39,500 | 6,200 | 52,500 | 45,300 | 6,100 | 51,200 | 43,800 |
| 1968 | 7,700 | 77,900 | 80,200 | 1,900 | 28,400 | 38,900 | 5,800 | 49,500 | 41,200 |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 6,900 | 70,900 | 73,500 | 1,700 | 24,800 | 35,500 | 5,200 | 46,100 | 38,000 |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 6,200 | 65,900 | 69,800 | 1,400 | 23,400 | 34,100 | 4,800 | 42,500 | 35,800 |  |  |  |
| 1965 | 6,100 | 61,700 | 61,400 | 1,400 | 21,500 | 29,200 | 4,600 | 40,200 | 32,200 |  |  |  |
| 1964 | 5,800 | 58,700 | 52,700 | 1,400 | 21,600 | 23,600 | 4,400 | 37,100 | 29,100 |  |  |  |
| 1963 | 5,400 | 51,100 | 50,700 | 1,200 | 17,900 | 21,900 | 4,000 | 31,800 | 27,500 |  |  |  |
| 1962 | 5,100 | 46,100 | 48,300 | 1,300 | 15,500 | 20,700 | 3,800 | 29,700 | 26,600 |  |  |  |
| 1961 | 5,200 | 45,100 | 48,100 | 1,400 | 15,500 | 20,600 | 3,800 | 29,600 | 27,500 |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 4,600 | 43,700 | 43,400 | 1,200 | 15,000 | 17,800 | 3,500 | 28,700 | 25,600 |  |  |  |
| 1959 | 4,600 | 43,100 | 41,500 | 1,200 | 14,400 | 16,500 | 3,400 | 28,600 | 25,000 |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 4,400 | 40,100 | 39,300 | 1,200 | 13,200 | 15,300 | 3,300 | 26,900 | 24,000 |  |  |  |
| 1957 | 4,600 | 39,400 | 37,100 | 1,100 | 12,500 | 14,400 | 3,500 | 26,900 | 22,700 |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 4,200 | 37,000 | 35,900 | 1,000 | 11,400 | 13,900 | 3,200 | 25,600 | 22,000 |  |  |  |
| 1955 | 3,900 | 34,700 | 34,200 | 900 | 10,600 | 13,100 | 3,000 | 24,200 | 21,100 |  |  |  |

*Data for Blacks separately are not available prior to 1969.
Source: National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics of the United States, annual volumes; See Table 6.l.

TABLE 6.3
Table 6.3 shows the total estimated number of out-of-wedlock births for mothers under age 20 from 1955 to 1984. Data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

In general, there was a rise in the number of out of wedlock births to women under age 20 from 1955 to 1984. Among white women, the number of out of wedlock births to women under age 15 rose in 1975 to 3,600 and then declined to 3,225 births in 1981. Out-of-wedlock births to women 15 to 17 and 18 to 19 rose in number throughout this period. There were over five times as many births to single white women in 1984 as in 1955, ( 10,600 compared to 57,848 for women aged 15 to 17 and 13,100 compared to 72,829 for women aged 18 to 19).

The number of out-of-wedlock births to nonwhite women under age 20 was generally higher than the number to whites; however the magnitude of the difference has declined over time. In 1955, white women less than 15 years of age had 70 percent fewer out of wedlock births than nonwhite women, 900 compared to 3,000 births. By 1984 , white women under age 15 had about 46 percent fewer out of wedlock births than non white women, 3,193 versus 5,882 births. The decline in the difference between the number of births to nonwhite and white single women aged 15 to 17 and 18 to 19 was even more dramatic. There were 56 percent fewer births to single white women aged 15 to 17 compared to non-white women in 1955 and only $l$ percent fewer in 1984. For women aged 18 to 19 there were 40 percent fewer out-of-wedlock births to whites than nonwhites in 1955, but 7 percent more out-of-wedlock births to whites than nonwhites in 1984.

Among black women, the number of out-of-wedlock births started to decline during the $1970^{\prime}$ s. The number of births to unmarried black women under age 15 declined 27 percent between the peak in 1974 and 1984, (7,700 compared to 5,634) births. The number of out-of-wedlock births to black women aged 15 to 17 declined by 19 percent from the 1973 peak to 1984 , ( 67,000 to 54,062 births). The number of births to single black women aged 18 to 19 declined by about 2 percent from the peak in 1979 to 1984, (67,100 to 65,680 births).
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TABLE 6.4 Birth Rates For Unmarried Women by Age of Mother and Race of Child: United States, l970-84 (rates are live births to unmarried women per 1,000 unmarried women in specified group, estimated as of July l)


TABLE 6.4 (contineud)

| Years and |  | Age of Mother |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-19 Years |  |
|  |  | 15-17 | 18-19 |
| Race of Child | Total | Years | Years |
| All Other |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 78.3 | 59.3 | 106.1 |
| 1983 | 78.3 | 60.2 | 104.6 |
| 1982 | 79.2 | 60.7 | 107.0 |
| 1981 | 79.2 | 60.3 | 109.0 |
| 1980 | 81.7 | 63.1 | 111.6 |
| 1979 | 83.9 | 64.8 | 115.3 |
| 1978 | 81.2 | 63.2 | 111.6 |
| 1977 | 84.0 | 67.2 | 112.7 |
| 1976 | 82.5 | 67.5 | 108.9 |
| 1975 | 86.3 | 70.7 | 114.3 |
| 1974 | 87.3 | 73.2 | 113.4 |
| 1973 | 88.5 | 75.6 | 112.8 |
| 1972 | 91.8 | 77.6 | 119.3 |
| 1971 | 92.0 | 75.4 | 125.4 |
| 1970 | 90.8 | 73.3 | 126.5 |
| Black |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 87.1 | 66.8 | 116.2 |
| 1983 | 86.4 | 67.1 | 114.0 |
| 1982 | 87.0 | 67.6 | 115.8 |
| 1981 | 86.8 | 66.9 | 117.6 |
| 1980 | 89.2 | 69.6 | 120.2 |
| 1979 | 91.0 | 71.0 | 123.3 |
| 1978 | 87.9 | 68.8 | 119.6 |
| 1977 | 90.9 | 73.0 | 121.7 |
| 1976 | 89.7 | 73.5 | 117.9 |
| 1975 | 93.5 | 76.8 | 123.8 |
| 1974 | 93.8 | 78.6 | 122.2 |
| 1973 | 94.9 | 81.2 | 120.5 |
| 1972 | 98.2 | 82.8 | 128.2 |
| 1971 | 98.6 | 80.7 | 135.2 |
| 1970 | 96.9 | 77.9 | 136.4 |

Source: NCHS Advanced Reported of Natality Statistics 1984, Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Vol 35, No. 4, July 1986.

TABLE 6.4
Table 6.4 presents birth rates for unmarried women by age and race for 1970 to 1984. For all women age 15 to 19, out-of-wedlock birth rates rose from 22.4 births in 1970 to 30.2 births per l,000 women in 1984, a 34.8 percent increase. The increase in out-of-wedlock birth rates was slightly larger for women age 15 to 17 than for women age 18 to 19, a 28.1 and 30.7 percent increase respectively. Throughout this period, however, out-of-wedlock birth rates for women age 18 to 19 were almost double the rates for women age 15 to 17 . In 1984, the out-ofwedlock birth rate was 21.9 births per l,000 unmarried 15 to 17 year old women compared to 43.0 births per 1,000 unmarried 18 to 19 year old women.

The overall increase in out-of-wedlock birth rates for women age 15 to 19 was due to increased rates of out-of-wedlock child-bearing among white adolescents. The birth rate for unmarried white women aged 15 to 19 rose from 10.9 to 19.0 births per l,000 unmarried women from 1970 to 1984, a 74 percent increase. For nonwhite and black unmarried women, the birth rates fell from 90.8 to 78.3 and 96.9 to 87.1 births per l,000 unmarried women, decreases of 14 and 11 percent respectively.

Despite this trend, there were consistently more out-of-wedlock births per 1,000 unmarried nonwhite teenage women than per 1,000 white women. In 1984, there were 13.5 out-of-wedlock births to white women age 15 to 17 compared to 59.3 per 1,000 nonwhite women. For women age 18 to 19 there were 27.6 births per 1,000 white women compared to 106.1 per 1,000 nonwhite women.

TABLE 6.5 Live Births By Age Of Father, Age Of Mother, And Race Of Child: United States, 1984 (based on 100 percent of births in 46 states and on a 50 -percent sample of births in four states and the District of Columbia)

| Age of Mother <br> And Race of Child | Total | Age of Father |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >15 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-49 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50-54 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55+ \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | Not Stated | Total Reported |
| All Races ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 3,669,141 | 232 | 109,032 | 696,207 | 1,067,147 | 805,875 | 355,843 | 109,468 | 32,044 | 11,298 | 7,092 | 474,903 | 3,178,241 |
| Under 15 years | 9,965 | 84 | 2,088 | 698 | 113 | 28 | 7 | 5 | 2 | -- | 6 | 6,934 | 2,967 |
| 15-19 years | 469,682 | 113 | 87,179 | 167,940 | 34,011 | 6,976 | 2,107 | 770 | 257 | 117 | 83 | 170,129 | 317,329 |
| 20-24 years | 1,141,578 | 10 | 18,123 | 445,701 | 381,915 | 89,465 | 23,859 | 7,198 | 2,210 | 895 | 671 | 171,531 | 994,817 |
| 25-29 years | 1,165,711 | 13 | 1,330 | 70,235 | 562,980 | 341,880 | 80,390 | 20,411 | 5,863 | 2,128 | 1,377 | 79,104 | 1,074,015 |
| 30-34 years | 658,496 | 9 | 260 | 9,836 | 78,103 | 332,500 | 156,177 | 34,113 | 9,123 | 3,243 | 1,956 | 33,176 | 594,353 |
| 35-39 years | 195,755 | 3 | 47 | 1,610 | 9,233 | 33,032 | 88,689 | 36,863 | 9,479 | 3,130 | 1,979 | 11,690 | 169,943 |
| 40-44 years | 26,846 | -- | 5 | 177 | 770 | 1,956 | 4,543 | 9,934 | 4,739 | 1,575 | 922 | 2,225 | 23,777 |
| 45-49 years | 1,108 | -- | -- | 10 | 22 | 38 | 71 | 174 | 371 | 210 | 98 | 114 | 1,040 |
| White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All ages | 2,923,502 | 104 | 83,206 | 580,835 | 918,970 | 689,253 | 298,184 | 87,662 | 24,147 | 8,114 | 4,768 | 228,259 | 2,685,457 |
| Under 15 years | 3,959 | 27 | 1,014 | 513 | 77 | 19 | 5 | 4 | 2 | - | - | 2,298 | 1,643 |
| 15-19 years | 320,953 | 59 | 66,154 | 136,601 | 27,477 | 5,500 | 1,683 | 581 | 201 | 74 | 52 | 82,571 | 255,022 |
| 20-24 years | 898,919 | 5 | 14,701 | 375,941 | 324,933 | 72,976 | 19,184 | 5,502 | 1,598 | 629 | 442 | 83,008 | 839,134 |
| 25-29 years | 969,061 | 8 | 1,084 | 58,350 | 492,679 | 291,309 | 65,709 | 16,096 | 4,236 | 1,445 | 889 | 37,256 | 922,541 |
| 30-34 years | 549,595 | 5 | 211 | 7,952 | 65,751 | 290,289 | 132,049 | 27,079 | 6,847 | 2,300 | 1,266 | 15,846 | 506,229 |
| 35-39 years | 159,246 | -- | 37 | 1,315 | 7,418 | 27,566 | 75,803 | 30,119 | 7,236 | 2,325 | 1,390 | 6,037 | 141,581 |
| 40-44 years | 20,974 | -- | 5 | 156 | 618 | 1,564 | 3,701 | 8,143 | 3,744 | 1,196 | 664 | 1,183 | 18,990 |
| 45-49 years | 795 | -- | -- | 7 | 17 | 30 | 50 | 138 | 283 | 145 | 65 | 60 | 752 |

TABLE 6.5 (continued)

| Age of Mother <br> And Race of Child | Total | Age of Father |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & >15 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45-49 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50-54 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55+ \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | Not <br> Stated | Total <br> Reported |
| Black |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 592,745 | 112 | 22,351 | 93,273 | 109,943 | 75,722 | 35,918 | 14,377 | 5,565 | 2,295 | 1,646 | 231,543 | 358,329 |
| Under 15 years | 5,720 | 56 | 1,012 | 145 | 34 | 7 | 2 | 1 | -- | -- | 5 | 4,458 | 1,207 |
| 15-19 years | 134,392 | 45 | 18,373 | 26,244 | 5,099 | 1,117 | 341 | 151 | 54 | 39 | 30 | 82,899 | 52,266 |
| 20-24 years | 203,562 | 5 | 2,745 | 56,618 | 43,783 | 11,646 | 3,426 | 1,345 | 490 | 224 | 173 | 83,107 | 121,198 |
| 25-29 years | 147,111 | 3 | 189 | 8,829 | 51,429 | 32,832 | 9,407 | 3,129 | 1,253 | 526 | 381 | 39,133 | 106,524 |
| 30-34 years | 73,858 | 2 | 30 | 1,245 | 8,322 | 26,571 | 14,502 | 4,525 | 1,629 | 666 | 480 | 15,886 | 56,070 |
| 35-39 years | 24,028 | 1 | 2 | 176 | 1,177 | 3,305 | 7,723 | 4,099 | 1,461 | 574 | 401 | 5,109 | 17,801 |
| 40-44 years | 3,906 | -- | -- | 16 | 96 | 237 | 507 | 1,108 | 637 | 233 | 165 | 907 | 3,108 |
| 45-49 years | 168 | -- | -- | -- | 3 | 7 | 10 | 19 | 41 | 33 | 11 | 44 | 155 |

$l_{\text {Includes }}$ races other than White and Black.
Source: NCHS, "Advanced Report of Natality Statistics 1983," Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 35, No. 4, July 1986.

## TABLE 6.5

Table 6.5 presents data on the number of live births by the age of father, age of mother and the race of the child for 1984.

Caution should be used when interpreting this table because the distribution of the age of father by the age of the mother among those not reporting is not known. About 70 percent of mothers under age 15 and 36 percent of mothers age 15 to 19 did not report the age of the father. Among white teenagers 58 percent of those under age 15 and about 26 percent of those aged 15 to 19 did not report the age of the father of their child. Among black teenagers, 78 percent of those under age 15 and 62 percent of those aged 15 to 19 did not report the age of the father of their child. These data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

This table shows that the majority of women under age 20 giving birth and reporting the age of the father have partners older than themselves. Nearly all women under age 15, 97 percent, had partners aged 15 or over and 28 percent had partners over age 19. Among mothers aged 15 to 19,71 percent had partners over age 19 and 15 percent had partners over age 24.

White teenage mothers are more likely to report having older partners than black mothers. Among white mothers under age 15, 37 percent reported having partners over age 19. In comparison, among black mothers under age 15,15 percent reported having partners over age 19. Among both black and white women aged 15 to 19 reporting the father's age, 72 percent of the white mothers aged 15 to 19 had partners over age 24 compared to 64 percent of the black mothers aged 15 to 19.

TABLF 6.6 Percent Of All First Births And Total Births In Which The Mother's Age Was Under 20 , Under 18 Or Under 15 , By Race, United States, 1950-1984

|  | All Races* |  |  |  |  |  | White |  |  |  |  |  | Black** |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First Births |  |  | All Births |  |  | First Births |  |  | All Births |  |  | First Births |  |  | All Births |  |  |
| Age of Mother | $<15$ | $\leqslant 17$ | $<20$ | $<15$ | $\leqslant 17$ | $<20$ | $<15$ | $\leqslant 17$ | $<20$ | $<15$ | $\leqslant 17$ | $<20$ | $<15$ | $\leqslant 17$ | $<20$ | $<15$ | $\leqslant 17$ | $<20$ |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 | 0.6 | 10 | 24 | 0.3 | 5 | 13 | 0.3 | 8 | 21 | 0.1 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 22 | 44 | 1.0 | 11 | 24 |
| 1983 | 0.6 | 10 | 25 | 0.3 | 5 | 14 | 0.3 | 8 | 22 | 0.1 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 23 | 45 | 0.9 | 11 | 24 |
| 1982 | 0.6 | 11 | 26 | 0.3 | 5 | 14 | 0.3 | 8 | 23 | 0.1 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 23 | 45 | 0.9 | 11 | 25 |
| 1981 | 0.6 | 11 | 27 | 0.3 | 5 | 15 | 0.3 | 9 | 24 | 0.1 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 24 | 46 | 1 | 11 | 25 |
| 1980 | 0.6 | 11 | 28 | 0.3 | 6 | 16 | 0.3 | 9 | 25 | 0.1 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 26 | 48 | 1 | 12 | 26 |
| 1975 | 0.9 | 16 | 35 | 0.4 | 8 | 19 | 0.5 | 13 | 31 | 0.2 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 32 | 57 | 1 | 16 | 33 |
| 1970 | 0.7 | 14 | 36 | 0.3 | 6 | 18 | 0.3 | 11 | 32 | 0.1 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 32 | 59 | 1 | 15 | 31 |
| 1965 | 0.6 | 14 | 38 | 0.2 | 5 | 16 | 0.2 | 11 | 35 | 0.1 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 33 | 59 | 0.9 | 12 | 22 |
| 1960 | 0.6 | 14 | 37 | 0.2 | 4 | 14 | 0.3 | 12 | 34 | 0.1 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 30 | 54 | 0.6 | 9 | 20 |
| 1955 | 0.5 | 12 | 31 | 0.1 | 4 | 12 | 0.2 | 9 | 28 | 0.1 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 28 | 51 | 0.6 | 8 | 20 |
| 1950 | 0.4 | 10 | 27 | 0.1 | 4 | 12 | 0.2 | 8 | 24 | 0.1 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 28 | 50 | 0.7 | 9 | 21 |

*Includes races other than white and black.
**percentages for the years 1950, 1955 and 1960 pertain to non-whites rather than blacks due to insufficient data on live black births.

Source: National Center for Health Statistics, calculated from Vital Statistics of the United States, annual volumes NCHS "Advanced Final Natality Report", Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 34, No. 6, September l985 and Vol. 35 , No. 4, July 1986.

TABLE 6.6
The percent of all first births and total births in which the mother's age was under 20 , under 18 or under 15 , by race, is shown in Table 6.6.

Data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). There are four important points to make about these data. First, the proportion of first births to women under age 20 is consistently higher than the percent of all births to women under age 20. Second, both proportions are due not only to the rate of childbearing among teens, but the birth rates of older women and to the size of population groups below and above age 20. Third, there was an increase in the proportion of first births and all births to women under age 20 from 1950 to the early l970s. By 1984 the percent of first births to women under age 20 had declined; but the percent of all births to women under age 20 remained higher than in 1950. Fourth, black teens under age 20 consistently made up a larger proportion of both the first births and all births to black women than white teens under age 20 did among whites. Just under half of all black first-born children has a mother not yet 20 years of age.

TABLE 6.7 Number and Percent of All Live Births to Women Under Age 20 by Hispanic Origin of Mother: Total of 23 Reporting States and the District of Columbia, 1984

| Age of Mother | All <br> Origins | Total | Mother of Hispanic Origin |  |  |  | Other | NonHispanic | Not <br> Stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mexican | Puerto <br> Rican | Cuban | Central And South American |  |  |  |
| All ages | 2,230,815 | 346,986 | 225,767 | 34,219 | 9,477 | 36,401 | 41,122 | 1,791,949 | 91,880 |
|  | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) |
| Under 15 | 6,318 | 1,242 | 860 | 179 | 9 | 34 | 160 | 4,830 | 246 |
|  | (0.3) | (0.4) | (0.4) | (0.5) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.4) | (0.3) | (0.3) |
| 15-19 years | 288,346 | 57,717 | 39,712 | 7,112 | 766 | 2,930 | 7,197 | 218,930 | 11,699 |
|  | (12.9) | (16.6) | (17.6) | (20.8) | (8.1) | (8.0) | (17.5) | (12.2) | (12.7) |
| 15 years | 15,217 | 3,300 | 2,322 | 366 | 25 | 119 | 468 | 11,355 | 562 |
|  | (0.7) | (1.0) | (1.0) | (1.1) | (0.3) | (0.3) | (1.1) | (0.6) | (0.6) |
| 16 years | 33.080 | 7,239 | 5,127 | 877 | 73 | 251 | 911 | 24,530 | 1,311 |
|  | (1.5) | (2.1) | (2.3) | (2.6) | (0.8) | (0.7) | (2.2) | (1.4) | (1.4) |
| 17 years | 54.817 | 11,395 | 7,961 | 1,493 | 128 | 467 | 1,347 | 41,125 | 2,297 |
|  | (2.5) | (3.3) | (3.5) | (4.4) | (1.4) | (1.3) | (3.3) | (2.3) | (2.5) |
| 18 years | 79,502 | 15,909 | 10,851 | 2,014 | 208 | 858 | 1,978 | 60,437 | 3,236 |
|  | (3.6) | (4.6) | (4.8) | (5.9) | (2.2) | (2.4) | (4.8) | (3.4) | (3.5) |
| 19 years | 105,650 | 19,874 | 13,451 | 2,363 | 332 | 1,235 | 2,493 | 81,483 | 4,293 |
|  | (4.7) | (5.7) | (6.0) | (6.9) | (3.5) | (3.4) | (6.1) | (4.5) | (4.7) |

Note: Data are for births to residents of the 23 states and the District of Columbia reporting ethnic of Hispanic origin, regardless of where the births occurred. Births occurring in non-reporting states to residents of the 23 reporting states are included in the "not stated" category.

Percentages do not total to 100.0 because births to women ages 20 and over are not shown.
Approximately 4 percent of all mothers did not report their ethnicity as Hispanic or non Hispanic.
Source: Unpublished tabulations from the Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, January 27, 1986.

## TABLE 6.7

Table 6.7 presents the number and percent of all live births to women under age 20 by Hispanic origin of mother for 1984. Data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

These data indicate that of all births to adolescent mothers of Hispanic origin most are to mothers of Mexican origin. There were 39, 712 births to mothers of Mexican origin aged 15 to 19 compared to 7,112 births to Puerto Rican mothers aged 15 to $19,2,930$ births to Central and South American mothers aged 15 to 19 and 766 to Cuban mothers aged 15 to 19.

Births to women under age 20 constituted 17 percent of births to all Hispanic origin mothers compared to 13.2 percent of births to nonHispanic mothers in these states. Among mothers of Hispanic origin, births to Puerto Rican women aged 15 to 19 made up 21.3 percent of all births to Puerto Rican mothers and births to women under age 20 made up 18.0 percent of all births to Mexican mothers.

TABLE 6.8 Number and Percent of All Out of Wedlock Births to Women Under Age 20 by Hispanic Origin of Mother: Total of 23 Reporting States and the District of Columbia, 1984

| Age of Mother | All <br> Origins | Total | Mother of Hispanic Origin |  |  |  | Other | NonHispanic | Not <br> Stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mexican | Puerto <br> Rican | Cuban | Central And South American |  |  |  |
| All Ages | 489,400 | 98,273 | 54,617 | 17,397 | 1,534 | 12,381 | 12,350 | 372,586 | 18,541 |
|  | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) | (100.0) |
| Under 15 | 5,688 | 972 | 617 | 177 | 9 | 33 | 136 | 4,494 | 222 |
|  | (1.2) | (1.0) | (1.1) | (1.0) | (0.6) | (0.3) | (1.1) | (1.2) | (1.2) |
| 15-19 | 160,500 | 28,577 | 17,103 | 5,179 | 288 | 1,704 | 4,303 | 125,712 | 6,211 |
|  | (32.8) | (29.1) | (31.3) | (29.8) | (18.8) | (13.8) | (34.8) | (33.7) | (33.5) |
| 15-17 | 70,863 | 12,957 | 8,099 | 2,217 | 112 | 587 | 1,942 | 55,123 | 2,783 |
|  | (14.5) | (13.2) | (14.8) | (12.7) | (7.3) | (4.7) | (15.7) | (14.8) | (15.0) |
| 18-19 | 89,637 | 15,620 | 9,004 | 2,962 | 176 | 1,117 | 2,361 | 70,589 | 3,428 |
|  | (18.3) | (15.9) | (16.5) | (17.0) | (11.5) | (9.1) | (19.1) | (18.9) | (18.5) |

Note: Data are for births to residents of the 23 states and the District of Columbia regardless of where the births occurred. Births occurring in non-reporting states to residents of the 23 reporting states are included in the "non-stated" category.

Approximately 4 percent of all mothers did not report their ethnicity as Hispanic or non-Hispanic.
Source: Unpublished tabulations from the Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, January 27, 1986.

TABLE 6.8
Table 6.8 presents the number and percent of all out-of-wedlock births to women under age 20 by Hispanic origin of mother for 1984. Data are from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).

These data indicate that the largest number of out-of-wedlock births to adolescent women of Hispanic origin was to Mexican women. There were 28,577 out-of-wedlock births to Hispanic mothers aged 15 to 19 in 1984; l7,l03 of those births were to Mexican women aged 15 to 19.

Out-of-wedlock births made up 10 percent of all births to Hispanic women aged 15 to 19. In addition, Hispanic women aged 15 to 19 accounted for 18 percent of all nonmarital births in this age group.

Overall, out-of-wedlock births to non-Hispanic women aged 15 to 19 accounted for a slightly larger proportion of all out-of-wedlock births among non-Hispanics than among Hispanics, 33.7 percent compared to 29.1 percent respectively.
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TABLE 6.9 Percentage Of Mothers Receiving Inadequate Prenatal Care (third trimester only or no care), By Age Group According To Race And Ethnicity, Residence And Marital Status, National Natality Survey 1980

|  | Age Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<20$ | 20-24 | 25 |
| Total | 9.8 | 4.9 | 2.9 |
| Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| Black (non-Hispanic) | 12.8 | 6.4 | 3.6 |
| White (non-Hispanic) | 8.3 | 3.9 | 2.5 |
| Hispanic | 11.9 | 11.4 | 6.4 |
| Residence |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | 10.3 | 4.8 | 2.7 |
| Non-metropolitan | 8.9 | 5.3 | 3.4 |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |
| Married | 5.0 | 3.4 | 2.5 |
| Unmarried | 14.8 | 11.4 | 7.0 |

Source: S. Singh, A. Torres and J.D. Forrest, "The Need for Prenatal Care in the United States: Evidence from the 1980 National Natality Survey" , Family Planning Perspectives, Vol. l7, No. 3, 1985.

## TABLE 6.9

Table 6.9 shows the percentage of mothers receiving inadequate prenatal care (third trimester only or no care), by age group according to race and ethnicity, residence, aid marital status. The data are from the 1980 National Natality Survey (NNS).

These data indicate that in $1980,9.8$ percent of all mothers under age 20 received inadequate prenatal care, compared to 4.9 percent of mothers aged 20 to 24 and 2.9 percent of mothers aged 25 and over. Black mothers under age 20 were the most likely to receive inadequate prenatal care, 12.8 percent compared to 11.9 percent of Hispanic and 8.3 percent of white mothers, even though Hispanic mothers of other ages were more likely than black or white women to receive inadequate care. Also, unmarried mothers of all ages were more likely than married mothers to have had inadequate prenatal care.

TABLE 6.10 Number and Percent Of Live Births With Low Birth Weight and Live Births by Birth Weight, by Age of Mother and Race of Child: United States, 1983; Based On 100 Percent Of Births In Selected States And On A 50-percent Sample Of Births In All Other States

| Age of Mother and Race of Child | Low Birth Weight ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Total |
| All Races |  |  |  |
| All ages | 246,105 | 6.7 | 3,669,141 |
| Under 15 years | 1,350 | 13.6 | 9,965 |
| 15-19 years | 43,817 | 9.3 | 469,682 |
| 15 years | 2,744 | 11.4 | 24,142 |
| 16 years | 5,789 | 10.9 | 53,178 |
| 17 years | 8,719 | 9.8 | 89,424 |
| 18 years | 12,087 | 9.3 | 130,159 |
| 19 years | 14,478 | 8.4 | 172,779 |
| 20-24 years | 78,444 | 6.9 | 1,141,578 |
| 25-29 years | 68,224 | 5.9 | 1,165,711 |
| 30-34 years | 38,762 | 5.9 | 658,496 |
| 35-39 years | 13,180 | 6.7 | 195,755 |
| 40-44 years | 2,220 | 8.3 | 26,846 |
| 45-49 years | 108 | 9.8 | 1,108 |
| White |  |  |  |
| All ages | 163,117 | 5.6 | 2,923,502 |
| Under 15 years | 428 | 10.8 | 3,959 |
| 15-19 years | 24,503 | 7.6 | 320,953 |
| 15 years | 1,187 | 9.2 | 12,869 |
| 16 years | 2,981 | 9.2 | 32,529 |
| 17 years | 4,794 | 8.1 | 59,618 |
| 18 years | 6,968 | 7.7 | 90,470 |
| 19 years | 8,573 | 6.8 | 125,467 |
| 20-24 years | 51,122 | 5.7 | 898,919 |
| 25-29 years | 48,138 | 5.0 | 969,061 |
| 30-34 years | 28,013 | 5.1 | 549,595 |
| 35-39 years | 9,302 | 5.9 | 159,246 |
| 40-44 years | 1,540 | 7.4 | 20,974 |
| 45-49 years | 71 | 9.0 | 795 |
| All Other |  |  |  |
| All ages | 82,988 | 11.1 | 745,639 |
| Under 15 years | 922 | 15.4 | 6,006 |
| 15-19 years | 19,314 | 13.0 | 148,729 |
| 15 years | 1,557 | 13.8 | 11.273 |
| 16 years | 2,808 | 13.6 | 20,649 |
| 17 years | 3,925 | 13.2 | 29,806 |
| 18 years | 5,119 | 12.9 | 39,689 |
| 19 years | 5,905 | 12.5 | 47,312 |
| 20-24 years | 27,322 | 11.3 | 242,659 |
| 25-29 years | 20,086 | 10.2 | 196,650 |
| 30-34 years | 10,749 | 9.9 | 108,901 |
| 35-39 years | 3,878 | 10.6 | 36,509 |
| 40-44 years | 680 | 11.6 | 5,872 |
| 45-49 years | 37 | 11.9 | 313 |
| Black |  |  |  |
| All ages | 73,178 | 12.4 | 592,745 |
| Under 15 years | 891 | 15.6 | 5,720 |
| 15-19 years | 18,147 | 13.5 | 134,392 |
| 15 years | 1,501 | 14.1 | 10,637 |
| 16 years | 2,678 | 14.0 | 19,158 |
| 17 years | 3,703 | 13.7 | 27,112 |
| 18 years | 4,782 | 13.4 | 35,656 |
| 19 years | 5,483 | 13.1 | 41,829 |
| 20-24 years | 24,699 | 12.2 | 203,562 |
| 25-29 years | 17,231 | 11.7 | 147,111 |
| 30-34 years | 8,640 | 11.7 | 73,858 |
| 35-39 years | 3,018 | 12.6 | 24,028 |
| 40-44 years | 530 | 13.6 | 3,906 |
| 45-49 years | 22 | 13.1 | 168 |

$1_{\text {Less }}$ than 2,500 grams.
Sources: NCHS, "Advanced Final Natality by Statistics, 1983", Monthly Vital Statistics Report, Vol. 35, No. 4, July 1986.

TABLE 6.10
Table 6.10 shows the number and percent of babies with low birth weight by age and race of mother in 1984. Data are from the National Center for Health Statistics.

In general, mothers less than age 20 and aged 40 to 49 were more likely than women aged 20 to 39 to have babies with low birth weights. Over 13 percent of the babies born to women under age 15 had low birth rates, more than 9 percent of those born to women aged 15 to $19,8.3$ percent of those born to women aged 40 to 44 , and almost 10 percent born to women aged 45 to 49 .

Black babies born to mothers of all ages were more likely to have low birth weights than white babies. About 6 percent of all white babies had low birth weights compared to over ll percent of all black babies. Over 10 percent of the white babies born to teens less than age 15 and 7.6 percent of the white babies born to teens aged 15 to 19 had low birth weights compared to 15.4 percent of black babies born to teens under age 15 and 13.0 percent of those born to teens aged 15 to 19.

TABLE 6.11 Estimated Cumulative Percent of Women Aged 15 to 19 Ever Experiencing A First Birth By Single Year of Age, Race And Ethnicity*, 1982 National Survey of Family Growth

--Cell sizes were less than 20.
*Hispanic persons may be of any race, and whites and blacks may include Hispanic persons.
${ }^{l}$ Single years of age refer to the midpoints in the age intervals, e.g., 15 means 15.5 years.

Source: Special tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS.

TABLE 6.11
Table 6.11 presents the estimated cumulative percent of women aged 15 to 19 ever experiencing a first birth by age, race and ethnicity. The data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG).

These data indicate that among all women, 17.1 percent had a first birth before age 20. The estimated proportion of women having a first birth before age 20 was the highest for Hispanic women, 47.0 percent, compared to 39.8 percent for black women and 13.2 percent for white women. Most of these births occur at ages 18 and 19. At age 17, blacks are 3 times more likely than Hispanic women to have had a birth.

TABLE 6. 12 Cumulative Percent Having A First Birth By Single Year Of Age, Race And Ethnicityl, And By Mother's Education, Education in 1979; 1983 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth

| Age* | Total Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
|  | Respondent's Mother's Education |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $<\mathrm{HS}$ | = HS | $\geqslant \mathrm{HS}$ | $<\mathrm{HS}$ | = HS | $\geqslant \mathrm{HS}$ |
| Total Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | . 1 | . 1 | 0 | . 7 | . 3 | . 1 |
| 16 | . 4 | . 2 | . 1 | 3.4 | . 9 | . 2 |
| 17 | 1.2 | . 6 | . 1 | 9.1 | 2.9 | 1.6 |
| 18 | 3.1 | 1.4 | . 5 | 16.5 | 6.0 | 2.5 |
| 19 | 6.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 24.3 | 10.2 | 5.2 |
| 20 | 11.7 | 5.8 | 2.7 | 34.6 | 16.0 | 7.5 |
| N | 1808 | 1878 | 790 | 1975 | 1791 | 756 |
| Whites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 5 | . 2 | 0 |
| 16 | . 2 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | . 7 | 0 |
| 17 | . 4 | . 2 | 0 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 1.1 |
| 18 | 2.0 | . 6 | . 3 | 13.1 | 4.4 | 1.7 |
| 19 | 4.5 | 2.1 | . 6 | 19.8 | 8.3 | 4.3 |
| 20 | 9.1 | 4.8 | 2.1 | 30.9 | 13.9 | 6.3 |
| N | 814 | 1359 | 592 | 833 | 1288 | 577 |
|  | $<\mathrm{HS}$ |  | $\geqslant \mathrm{HS}$ | $<\mathrm{HS}$ |  | $\geqslant \mathrm{HS}$ |
| Blacks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | . 6 |  | . 7 | 1.6 |  | . 9 |
| 16 | 1.0 |  | 1.5 | 7.5 |  | 2.7 |
| 17 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 17.9 |  | 9.2 |
| 18 | 7.0 |  | 5.8 | 27.3 |  | 17.0 |
| 19 | 11.9 |  | 10.4 | 38.2 |  | 22.3 |
| 20 | 18.4 |  | 13.1 | 47.1 |  | 28.5 |
| N | 541 |  | 525 | 595 |  | 499 |
| Hispanics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | -- |  | -- | . 4 |  | -- |
| 16 | . 4 |  | -- | 3.2 |  | . 7 |
| 17 | . 6 |  | . 4 | 8.2 |  | . 7 |
| 18 | 2.8 |  | 2.8 | 16.3 |  | 3.7 |
| 19 | 7.6 |  | 4.6 | 23.9 |  | 11.7 |
| 20 | 14.2 |  | 6.1 | 33.1 |  | 18.9 |
| N | 453 |  | 192 | 496 |  | 183 |

*Percentages refer to birthday for specified ages, e.g., 15 means by l5th birthday or by end of age 14.
$\mathrm{l}_{\text {Hispanic }}$ Persons may be of any race.
Note: Sample is limited to respondents age 20 and over at 1983 survey date.

Source: Special Tabulations from the 1983 National Longitidunal Survey of Youth, Center for Human Resource Research, Ohio State University.

TABLE 6.12
Table 6.12 displays the cumulative percent reporting a first birth by mother's education. Data are from the 1983 National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (NLS).

Males were less likely than females to report having a child by age 20, despite the higher percent of males than females who were sexually active by age 20. Also, blacks were more likely than whites or hispanics to report a first birth by age 20 regardless of their mother's education. In general, however, adolescent males whose mothers had less than high school educations were more likely to have child by age 20 than those whose mother's education was high school or more. Of all males, the proportion with a child was about 12 percent among those whose mother's education was less than high school, less than 6 percent among those whose mothers had completed high school, and less than 3 percent among males whose mothers had gone beyond high school education.

Among the females, the proportion reporting a birth by age 20 was about 35 percent among those whose mother's education was less than high school, 16 percent of those whose mothers had a high school education and 8 percent among those whose mothers had more than a high school education. Blacks were more likely to report having a birth, regardless of the mother's education.

TABLE 6.13 Infant Mortality Rates (deaths at less one year of age per l,000 live births) By Age Of Mother, U.S. 1960 Study Of Infant Mortality From Linked Records And 1980 National Natality Survey/National Death Index (NNS/NDI)

|  | 1960 Study of <br> of Linked Records |  |  | 1980 NNS/NDI |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^1]TABLE 6.13
Table 6.13 presents infant mortality rates by age of mother for 1960 and 1980. The 1960 data are from the Study of Infant Mortality From Linked Records and the 1980 data are from the National Natality Survey/National Death Index.

These data indicate that in 1960 mothers under age 20 had the highest infant mortality rate, 33.1 infant deaths per l,000 live births. In 1980, the infant mortality rate for mothers under age 20 was 17.4 deaths per 1,000 births, a 47.4 percent decline. The infant mortality rates in 1980 for mothers under age 20 were still higher than for mothers aged 20 to 34 , despite the overall decline in U.S. infant mortality rates. The rate for mothers over age 35 is based on too few cases to be a reliable estimate.

This section presents information on adolescent placement for adoption of premarital births, by age and race of the mother. There is no national system for the collection of data on adoptions or the characteristics of mothers who relinquish children for adoption. The data presented here are from the National Survey of Family Growth, National Survey of Young Women (NSYW), and the State of California. Problems with these data include under-reporting and incomplete information on characteristics of the mothers relinquishing children for adoption. These data, however, do demonstrate the declining tendency of adolescents to give up a child born out of wedlock.

TABLE 7.l Percentage Distribution Of Premarital Live Births Resulting From First Pregnancies Of Women Aged 15-19 At Interview, By Living Arrangements Of Baby And Race Of Mother: 1982, 1976, and 1971

| Living Arrangements Of Baby | Percentage Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Races |  |  | White and Other |  |  | Black |  |  |
|  | 1982 | 1976 | 1971 | 1982 | 1976 | 1971 | 1982 | 1976 | 1971 |
| All Births (number in sample) | 133 | 148 | 259 | 50 | 25 | 39 | 83 | 123 | 220 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| In mother's household | 92.6 | 93.3 | 85.6 | 91.1 | 87.2 | 72.2 | 94.7 | 96.8 | 92.4 |
| With relatives or friends ${ }^{a}$ | 2.5 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 |
| Adopted | 4.6 | 2.6 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.0 | 18.4 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| No longer living | 0.3 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 1.4 |
| a"Friends" was a valid code in the 1971 and 1976 surveys, but not in the 1982 survey. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: C.A. Bachrach, "Adoption Plans, Adopted Children, and Adopted Mothers", Journal of Marriage and the Family, 48 (May 1986): 243-253. Reprinted by permission. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7.1
Table 7.1 shows the percentage distribution of premarital live births resulting from first pregnancies to women aged 15 to 19 by the living arrangements of baby and the race of the mother for 1982, 1976, and 1971. It should be noted that under-reporting is presumed on survey questions concerning relinquishment for adoption; however, the extent of under-reporting cannot be estimated in the absence of data from any other reporting system. The data for 1976 and 1971 are from the National Surveys of Young Women. The 1982 data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth. (NSFG)

Among women of all races the proportion of women aged 15 to 19 reporting that the child resulting from their first premarital pregnancy was adopted was lower in 1982 than in 1971, 4.6 percent in 1982 compared to 7.6 percent in 1971. Among white and other women (nonblack women) aged 15 to 19, the proportion declined from 18.4 percent in 1971 to 7.4 percent in 1982. Among black women aged 15 to 19 the proportion declined from 2 percent in 1971 to less than 1 percent in 1982.

TABLE 7.2 Percentage Of Babies Born Premaritally To Women 15-44 Years Of Age At Interview Who Were Placed For Adoption By Age Of Mother At Birth Of Child And Race, 1982 National Survey of Family Growth

|  | Percentage Placed for Adoption |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Races | White | Black |
| All Births | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \\ & (\mathrm{~N}=8,455) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.2 \\ & (\mathrm{~N}=3,886) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & (N=4,426) \end{aligned}$ |
| Age of Mother at Birth |  |  |  |
| 17 or younger | 8.1 | 17.2 | 1.0 |
| 18-19 | 4.6 | 10.1 | 0.0 |
| 20-44 | 5.9 | 10.9 | 0.2 |
| Year of Birth |  |  |  |
| Before 1973 | 8.5 | 19.5 | 0.7 |
| 1973 or later | 4.6 | 8.0 | 0.1 |

Source: C.A. Bachrach, "Adoptive Plans, Adopted Children, and Adopted Mothers", Journal of Marriage and the Family, 48 (May 1986) : 243-253. Reprinted by permission.

TABLE 7.2
Table 7.2 shows the proportion of babies born to unmarried women (aged 15 to 44 at the date of the interview) who were placed for adoption by the age of the mother at the birth of the child according to race. (Again, it should be noted that under-reporting of adoption is likely.) Data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG).

A higher proportion of white women had placed a child born premaritally for adoption than black women, 12.2 percent compared to less than $l$ percent. Among white women who were age 17 or younger at the birth of the child, 17.2 percent placed the child for adoption compared to $l$ percent of black women who were age 17 or younger at the birth of the child.

Of women who had a premarital birth before 1973, 19.5 percent of the white women and . 7 percent of black women placed the child for adoption. Of women who had a premarital birth during 1973 or later, 8 percent of the white women and .l percent of the black women placed the child for adoption.

TABLE 7.3 Adoptions by Type* and Age of Mother, State of California Selected Years, 1967 to 1983

| Year | Aqe of Mother | Number of Relinquishment ${ }^{1}$ Adoptions | \% of Total Relinquishment Adoptions | Number of Independent ${ }^{2}$ Adoptions | \% of Total Independent Adoptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY' 1982-83 | All Ages | 1,831 | 100.0 | 1,534 | 100.0 |
|  | 10-14 | 47 | 2.6 | 32 | 2.1 |
|  | 15-16 | 165 | 9.0 | 159 | 11.0 |
|  | 17-18 | 288 | 15.7 | 307 | 20.0 |
|  | $<19$ | 500 | 27.3 | 498 | 33.1 |
| FY'1981-82 | All Ages | 1,991 | 100.0 | 1,534 | 100.0 |
|  | 10-14 | 36 | 1.8 | 32 | 2.1 |
|  | 15-16 | 204 | 10.2 | 169 | 11.0 |
|  | 17-18 | 308 | 15.5 | 307 | 20.0 |
|  | <19 | 548 | 27.5 | 508 | 33.1 |
| FY'1980-81 | All Ages | 1,937 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 10-14 | 34 | 1.8 |  |  |
|  | 15-16 | 208 | 10.7 |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 324 | 16.7 |  |  |
|  | <19 | 566 | 29.2 |  |  |
| 1979 (Jan-Dec) | All Ages | 2,170 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 10-14 | 50 | 2.3 |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 857 | 39.5 |  |  |
|  | <20 | 907 | 41.8 |  |  |
| 1976 (Jan-Dec) | All Ages | 2,251 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 10-14 | 68 | 3.0 |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 924 | 41.1 |  |  |
|  | <20 | 992 | 44.1 |  |  |
| 1975 (Jan-Dec) | All Ages | 2,638 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 10-14 | 62 | 2.4 |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 1,133 | 42.8 |  |  |
|  | <20 | 1,195 | 45.3 |  |  |
| 1969 (Jan-Dec) | All Ages | 8,151 | 100.0 | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 10-14 | 87 | 1.1 |  |  |
|  | 15-19 | 3,476 | 42.6 |  |  |
|  | <20 | 3,563 | 43.7 |  |  |
| 1967 (Jan-Dec) | All Ages | -- | -- | 8,195 | 100.0 |
|  | 10-14 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | 15-19 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
|  | <20 | n.a. | n.a. | 3,419 | 41.7 |

$l_{\text {Relinquishment }}$ is defined to include cases in which the child is turned over to a public or private adoption agency for placement.
${ }^{2}$ Independent adoptions are those in which the mother of the child arranges the adoption independent of or through an adoption agency. The data for independent adoptions are collected in survey form and the exact response rate is not known.
n.a. - not available.
*Foreign born adoptions are not included under either relinquishment or independent adoptions. Adoptions by relatives of the child are included in both totals and represent approximately 15 percent of the total number of adoptions for both categories although they are not tabulated separately from nonrelative adoptions.

TABLE 7.3
Table 7.3 shows the number and percentage distribution regarding relinquishment and independent adoptions by the age of the mother, for the State of California. Data on adoptions are collected by the Department of Social Services in California.

The important features of these data are the trends in the decreasing number of total adoptions and the declining proportion of adopted babies whose birth mothers were less than age 19 or 20. In 1969 there were 8,151 relinquishment adoptions and 3,563 , or 44 percent, of those babies were born to mothers under age 20. In FY'l98283, there were only 1,831 total relinquishment adoptions and only 500, or 27 percent of those babies were born to mothers less than age 19.

Although data are available for fewer years regarding independent adoptions, the same trend is evident. In 1967 there were 8, 195 adoptions and 3,419 , or 42 percent, of those babies were born to mothers less than age 20, while in FY'l982-83 there were only l,534 independent adoptions and 498, or 33 percent, of those babies born to mothers under age 19.

## VIII. ADOLESCENT PARENTS

This section presents information on characteristics of adolescent parents. Data on educational attainment, subsequent pregnancies, and social and economic status of the parents are shown. These data are from the 1983 National Longitudinal Survey (NLS) and 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG). The major controls used in these tables are race and age and no tests of statistical significance are presented. These data are included to provide a description of the characteristics of adolescent parents. Caution should be used in drawing conclusions from these tables on consequences of adolescent parenthood.

TABLE 8.1 Percent of Women Aged 20-29 Completing High School By Age At Birth Of First Child, Race And Ethnicity*, 1982 National Survey of Family Growth


TABLE 8.1
Table 8.1 shows the percent of women aged 20 to 29 completing less than 12 years of schooling by age at first birth, race and ethnicity. The data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG).

In general, women who had a first birth before age 20 were considerably more likely to complete less than 12 years of schooling than women who gave birth at age 20 or later. The percent of women completing less than 12 years of schooling was 68 percent for those under aged l5, 51 percent for those aged 15 to 17 , and 34 percent for women aged 18 to 19 at first birth, compared to 19 percent of women aged 20 to 21 , 10 percent of women aged 22 to 24 and 5 percent of women aged 25 to 29 at first birth.

Additionally, white and Hispanic women with age at first birth less than 20 were more likely to complete less than 12 years of schooling than black women. For instance, 55 percent of the white women and 76 percent of the Hispanic women aged 15 to 17 at first birth completed less than 12 years of schooling compared to 43 percent of the black women aged 15 to 17 at first birth.

TABLE 8.2 Percent of Mothers Aged 20-29 Having A Subsequent Birth Within 24 Months Of The First, By Their Age at First Birth, Race And Ethnicity*, 1982 National Survey of Family Growth

|  |  | \% of Women 20-24 <br> w/2nd Birth Within <br> 24 Months of lst (n) |  | \% of Women 25-29 <br> w/2nd Birth Within <br> 24 Months of lst (N) |  | \% of Women 20-29 <br> w/2nd Birth Within <br> 24 Months of lst (N) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First <br> Birth | Percent | Number of Women | Percent | Number of Women | Percent | Number of Women |
| Total | All Mothers | 17 | (653) | 18 | (1086) | 18 | (1739) |
|  | Under 15 | 18 | (24) | -- | (18) | 16 | (42) |
|  | 15-17 | 18 | (187) | 20 | (237) | 19 | (424) |
|  | 18-19 | 25 | (203) | 24 | (264) | 25 | (467) |
|  | 20-21 | 14 | (167) | 17 | (191) | 15 | (358) |
|  | 22-24 | 7 | (71) | 18 | (241) | 16 | (312) |
|  | 25-29 | n.a. | n.a. | 11 | (133) | 11 | (133) |
| White | Total | 18 | (262) | 17 | (502) | 18 | (764) |
|  | Under 15 | -- | (2) | - | (0) | -- | (2) |
|  | 15-17 | 16 | (51) | 17 | (65) | 16 | (116) |
|  | 18-19 | 27 | (78) | 24 | (92) | 26 | (170) |
|  | 20-21 | 15 | (87) | 18 | (94) | 16 | (181) |
|  | 22-24 | 8 | (44) | 18 | (160) | 15 | (204) |
|  | 25-29 | n.a. | n.a. | 10 | (90) | 10 | (90) |
| Black | Total | 17 | (380) | 21 | (562) | 19 | (942) |
|  | Under 15 | 20 | (22) | -- | (18) | 16 | (40) |
|  | 15-17 | 25 | (134) | 28 | (170) | 27 | (304) |
|  | 18-19 | 17 | (120) | 24 | (166) | 20 | (286) |
|  | 20-21 | 9 | (77) | 13 | (95) | 11 | (172) |
|  | 22-24 | 4 | (26) | 19 | (75) | 15 | (101) |
|  | 25-29 | n.a. | n.a. | 10 | (37) | 10 | (37) |
| Hispanic | Total | 11 | (34) | 20 | (79) | 16 | (113) |
|  | Under 15 | -- | (0) | -- | (0) | -- | (0) |
|  | 15-17 | -- | (9) | -- | (14) | 14 | (23) |
|  | 18-19 | -- | (6) | -- | (15) | 34 | (21) |
|  | 20-21 | -- | (15) | 9 | (23) | 13 | (38) |
|  | 22-24 | -- | (4) | 14 | (20) | 11 | (24) |
|  | 25-29 | n.a. | n.a. | -- | (7) | -- | (7) |

--Cell sizes were les than 20.
*Hispanic persons may be of any race, and whites and blacks may include Hispanic persons.
n.a. - not applicable.

Source: Special tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS.

## TABLE 8.2

Table 8.2 shows the percent of women aged 20 to 29 having a subsequent birth within 24 months by their age at first birth. The data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG).

Among women aged 20 to 29,19 percent of the blacks, 18 percent of the whites and 16 percent of the Hispanics had a second birth within 24 months of the first. The highest percent having a second birth among white and Hispanic women aged 20 to 29 were women who first gave birth at age 18 or 19,25 and 34 percent respectively. Among black women however, women who first gave birth at ages 15 to 17 were the most likely to have a second birth within 24 months.

In general, women who first gave birth before age 20 were at least as likely, and in some cases more likely, than women aged 20 to 29 at first birth to have a second birth within 24 months of the first.

TABLE 8.3 Cumulative Percentage Of Metropolitan-Area Women Aged 15-19 Who Had A Premarital Second Pregnancy, By Number Of Months Following Outcome Of The Premarital First Pregnancy, According To Race, Outcome And Age At Conclusion Of First Pregnancy, 1971, 1976 And 1979

| Months After lst Outcome by Year |  | Race |  | Outcome |  | Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Birth | Abortion | $\leqslant 16$ | 17-19 |
| 1971 | $(\mathrm{N}=214)$ | $(\mathrm{N}=36)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=178$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=173$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=41$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=110$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=104$ ) |
| 6 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| 12 | 12.4 | 2.2 | 18.0 | 13.2 | 9.6 | 14.4 | 9.4 |
| 18 | 23.0 | 10.5 | 29.0 | 23.1 | 23.3 | 21.5 | 30.1 |
| 24 | 33.1 | 31.7 | 33.9 | 23.8 | 60.6 | 33.3 | 30.1 |
| 1976 | ( $\mathrm{N}=175$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=50)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=125$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=126$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=49)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=100$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=75)$ |
| 6 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 4.2 | 10.7 |
| 12 | 19.9 | 17.1 | 21.9 | 23.4 | 13.0 | 20.7 | 17.5 |
| 18 | 27.2 | 17.1 | 34.4 | 33.4 | 15.0 | 26.2 | 28.5 |
| 24 | 36.0 | 26.2 | 42.8 | 36.0 | 39.3 | 31.7 | 44.4 |
| 1979 | ( $\mathrm{N}=290$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=110$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=180)$ | ( $\mathrm{N}=169$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=121$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=181$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=109$ ) |
| 6 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 3.7 | 9.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 |
| 12 | 17.5 | 18.2 | 16.1 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 15.2 | 22.9 |
| 18 | 23.8 | 24.7 | 22.3 | 25.5 | 22.8 | 21.2 | 30.7 |
| 24 | 30.7 | 29.8 | 32.7 | - 37.8 | 25.1 | 29.3 | 30.7 |

Source: M.A. Koenig and M. Zelnik. "Repeat Pregnancies Among Metropolitan Area Teenagers: 1971-1979," Family Planning Perspectives 14 (6) (November/December), Table 2, 1982. Reprinted by permission.

## TABLE 8.3

Table 8.3 presents the cumulative percentage of metropolitan-area women aged 15 to 19 who reported a second premarital pregnancy, by the number of months following the outcome of the first premarital pregnancy, by race, outcome, and age at conclusion of first pregnancy. Data are from the 1971, 1976 and 1979 National Surveys of Young Women (NSFG) in which we recognize abortions are under-reported.

A higher cumulative percent of black teens reported a second premarital pregnancy within 24 months after the outcome of the first premarital pregnancy than white teens in 1971, 1976 and 1979. Among white teens 32 percent in 1971, 26 percent in 1976 and 30 percent in 1979 reported second pregnancies within 24 months while among the black teens 34 percent in l971, 43 percent in 1976 and 33 percent in 1979 had second pregnancies within 24 months.

Among black teens, 34 percent in l971, 43 percent in 1976 and 33 percent in 1979 had a second premarital pregnancies within two years of the outcome of the first premarital pregnancy.

Among women who reported a first premarital birth the cumulative percent having a second pregnancy within 24 months was 24 percent in 1971, 36 percent in 1976 and 38 percent in 1979. The cumulative percent of those reporting a first premarital abortion who became pregnant a second time within 24 months after the abortion was 25 percent in 1979, 39 percent in 1976 and 61 percent in 1971.

By 24 months after the resolution of a first premarital pregnancy, more women under age 16 than women aged 17 to 19 had a second pregnancy in 1971, 33 compared to 30 percent.

In contrast, in 1976 and 1979 more women aged 17 to 19 than age 16 or under had a second pregnancy: 44 and 32 percent in 1976 , and 31 and 29 percent in 1979.

TABLE 8.4 Receipt Of AFDC Among Women Aged 20-29 By The Women's Age At First Birth, Race, And Ethnicity*, 1982 National Survey Of Family Growth

--Cell sizes were less than 20 .
*Hispanic persons may be of any race and whites and blacks may include Hispanic persons.
n.a. - not applicable.

Source: Special tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, Cycle III, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS.

## TABLE 8.4

Table 8.4 shows the percentage distribution of mothers aged 20 to 29 receiving Aid for Dependent Children (AFDC) by age at first birth, race and ethnicity. Data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG).

Overall, 13 percent of all mothers aged 20 to 29 received AFDC; 9 percent of the white mothers, 12 percent of hispanic mothers and 33 percent of black mothers. Mothers who were under age 20 at first birth were more likely to be receiving AFDC than those over age 20 at first birth. Forty-five percent of the mothers who were under age 15, 20 percent who were aged 15 to 17 , and 21 percent who were aged 18 to 19 at the birth of their first child, compared to 14 percent who were aged 20 to 21 , 3 percent who were age 22 to 24 and 2 percent who were age 25 to 29 at the birth of their first child were receiving AFDC in 1982.

TABLE 8.5 Poverty* Status Of Women Aged 20-29, By Their Age At First Birth, Race, and Ethnicity**, 1982 National Survey of Family Growth

| Age at <br> First <br> Birth |  | Percent of Women 20-29 Receiving Any AFDC Income |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Percent | Number of Women |
| Total T | Total Mothers | 36 | (1739) |
|  | Under 15 | 78 | (42) |
|  | 15-17 | 50 | (424) |
|  | 18-19 | 51 | (467) |
|  | 20-21 | 37 | (358) |
|  | 22-24 | 20 | (312) |
|  | 25-29 | 9 | (133) |
| Women with no | births | 23 | (1252) |
| White | Total Mothers | 32 | (764) |
|  | Under 15 | -- | (2) |
|  | 15-17 | 45 | (116) |
|  | 18-19 | 47 | (170) |
|  | 20-21 | 34 | (181) |
|  | 22-24 | 19 | (204) |
|  | 25-29 | - 7 | (90) |
| Women with no | births | 21 | (820) |
| Black | Total Mothers | 57 | (942) |
|  | Under 15 | 76 | (40) |
|  | 15-17 | 63 | (304) |
|  | 18-19 | 62 | (286) |
|  | 20-21 | 56 | (172) |
|  | 22-24 | 30 | (101) |
|  | 25-29 | 16 | (37) |
| Women with no | births | 34 | (404) |
| Hispanic | Total Mothers | 48 | (113) |
|  | Under 15 | - | (0) |
|  | 15-17 | 60 | (23) |
|  | 18-19 | 58 | (21) |
|  | 20-21 | 42 | (38) |
|  | 22-24 | 42 | (24) |
|  | 25-29 | -- | (7) |
| Women with no births |  | 27 | (51) |

--Cell sizes were less than 20 .
*The definition of poverty is the woman's family income divided by the Census Bureau's poverty threshold, specific for family size. **Hispanic Persons may be of any race and whites and blacks may include Hispanic Persons.
n.a. - not applicable.

Source: Special tabulations from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth, Cycle III, conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, DHHS.

## TABLE 8.5

Table 8.5 shows the percent of mothers aged 20 to 29 whose incomes were 150 percent less than the poverty level by age at birth of first child, race and ethnicity. These data are from the 1982 National Survey of Family Growth.

Of all mothers aged 20 to 29,36 percent had incomes below 150 percent of the poverty level; 32 percent of the white mothers, 48 percent of the $H$ ispanic mothers and 57 percent of the black mothers. Of the women under age 15, aged 15 to 17 and aged 18 to 19 at first birth, 78, 50 and 51 percent respectively had incomes below 150 percent of the poverty level compared to 37,20 and 9 percent of women aged 20 to 21,22 to 24 and 25 to 29 at first birth.

Additionally, 23 percent of the women who had no births had incomes below 150 percent of the poverty level; 34 percent of black women, 27 percent of the hispanic women and 21 percent of the white women.

This addendum contains descriptions of commonly used sources. These are listed below along with their acronyms.

| NSFG | 1. National Survey of Family Growth |
| :--- | :--- |
| NLS | 2. National Longitudinal Surveys of |
| Labor Market Experience of Youth; |  |
| Young Women, Young Men, Mature |  |
| Women and Mature Men |  |

3. National Vital Statistics

CPS

AG I

NCHS

CDC
4. Current Population Surveys; and Fertility Supplements
5. National Survey of Young Women (and Young Men) ; Kantner-Zelnick Data.
6. Alan Guttmacher Institute
7. National Center for Health Statistics--collects the vital statistics on births
8. Center for Disease Control
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The National Survey of Family Growth is a primary source of data on U.S. fertility patterns, infertility, reproductive health, contraception, and fertility intentions. In addition, the Survey obtains information relevant to child development on such topics as unwanted childbearing, adoption, adolescent pregnancy and unwed motherhood, prenatal care, post-natal care, and infant health. These topics may be examined in relation to information obtained on a variety of social, economic, and family characteristics. In addition, because the NSFG represents the continuation of a line of fertility surveys extending back to 1955, it is possible to use the data to continue a set of time-series statistics on family building, contraceptive use, and reproductive health that has covered a period of dramatic change in U.S. family patterns. Data from these surveys have also been used for several studies of changes in family composition. Data are used by health care providers and researchers, demographers and other social scientists, and by policy makers at both the federal and local level.

SPONSORSHIP The survey is sponsored by the National Center for Health Statistics, Division of Vital Statistics, Family Growth Survey Branch. Funding has been provided by the Office of Family Planning Services in the (then) Bureau of Community Health Services, the Center for Population Research, NICHD, the Office of Adolescent Programs, as well as NCHS.

DESIGN Women aged 15 to 44 of all marital statuses are interviewed in the nationally representative NSFG. The area probability sample of approximately 8,000 women in 1982 included an over-sample of l,900 teenagers. Parental consent is obtained for all minors who are interviewed. Separate questionnaires are designed for women under age 25 and 25 and older. The 1982 interview--Cycle III of the NSFG--was the first to include all women in the childbearing years regardless of their marital status. Blacks were over-sampled to enable separate analyses of blacks.

A change in fieldwork is planned for the 1986 Survey. To reduce costs, the sample will be selected on the
basis of screening questions included in the large and nationally representative Health Interview Survey.

PERIODICITY

CONTENT

LIMITATIONS

AVAILABILITY

The NSFG provides data that continue a statistical timeseries on American fertility patterns that was initiated during the early years of the "baby boom". The Growth of American Families surveys took place in 1955 and 1960 and were continued by the National Fertility Studies of 1965 and 1970. Cycles I, II, and III of the NSFG were fielded in 1973, 1976, and 1982 respectively. Cycle IV is scheduled for 1987.

Detailed data are collected on fertility events, on infertility and contraceptive use, on childbearing plans, adoption, and sex education, on reproductive and infant health, pre-natal and post-natal care, and family composition. Considerable background information is also collected on the women and their families.

Since the focus of the Survey is on fertility the range of information on females under 15 and males l5-19 is limited. Under-reporting of abortion occurs in this, as in other household surveys. Since this is a survey of women, children living only with fathers are not represented.

Surveys prior to 1982 do not include teens who were not married or their mothers. This restricts trend analyses that can be done.

Public use data tapes are available for the entire series of national surveys from the National Technical Information Service.

```
Contact: Dr. William Pratt,
    Chief, Survey of Family Growth Branch
    National Center for Health Statistics
    3700 East-West Highway
    Hyattsville, MD 20782
    301-436-8731
```

TITLE National Longitudinal Survey of the Labor Market Experience of Youth

PURPOSE

SPONSORSHIP
The Department of Labor initiated the National Longitudinal Surveys and has provided much of the funding over the years. However, other agencies including the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, the National Institute on Alcohol and Alcohol Abuse, and the Department of Defense have sponsored portions of the survey. Data are collected by the National Opinion Research Center.

DESIGN
In 1977, it was decided to both continue the existing panels of the National Longitudinal Survey and to expand data collection by initiating a new National Longitudinal Survey of Youth. Data from the new survey would replicate much of the information obtained on young people in the earlier cohorts and would thus support studies of changes in the labor market experience of youth. In addition, the new data on youth would permit evaluation of the expanded employment and training programs for youth established by the 1977 amendments to the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA). The supplementary sample of 1,300 persons serving in the Armed Forces permit a study of the recruitment and service experiences of youth in the military. The richness of the data has also attracted researchers studying fertility issues, educational progress, marriage and divorce, income family structure.

The Youth sample is comprised of a nationally-representative probability sample of 5,700 young women and an equal number of young men 14-21, as of January l, 1979, augmented by a sample of 1,300 young persons serving in the Armed Forces. Blacks, hispanics, and disadvantaged whites were all over-sampled to facilitate analysis of youth in these population groups. Individuals were considered to be in the population if they resided within the 50 states and were not institutionalized, or if they were on active military duty outside the United States. Non-military respondents were selected using a multistage, stratified area probability sample of dwelling units and group quarter units. A screening interview was administered at approximately 75,000 dwellings and group quarters in 202 primary sampling unites. Military
respondents were sampled from rosters provided by the Department of Defense. A total of 12,686 persons were interviewed. As of the completion of the fifth (1984) interview wave, 96 percent of those interviewed in 1979 were still being interviewed.

PERIODICITY

| CONTENT | The National Longitudinal Surveys were designed primarily to analyze sources of variation in the labor market behavior and experience of Americans. Consequently, the content of the surveys is weighted toward labor force training and experience. However a great deal of information is also collected regarding formal education, marriage and fertility events, income and assets, family background, attitudes, aspirations, and expectations. Questions on drug and alcohol use are included, as well, along with information on family planning, child care, and maternal and child health care. |
| :---: | :---: |
| LIMITATIONS | There is under reporting of abortion, pregnancies and births. |
| AVAILABILITY | Public use tapes and tape documentation as well as a list of publications are available from the Center for Human Resource Research, 5701 North High Street, Worthington, Ohio 43085. |
|  | Contact: Frank Mott with questions regarding data on fertility and maternal and child health (612) 422-7337. Information is also available from Pat Rhoton or Dennis Grey or Ken Wolpin, Principal Investigator for the NLS, (614) 422-7337 |

TITLE National Longitudinal Surveys of the Labor Market Experience of: Young Women, Young Men, Mature Women, and Mature Men

PURPOSE

This series of longitudinal surveys was initiated to explore the labor market experiences over time of several unique cohorts facing employment problems of particular concern to policy makers. The school-to-work transition, initial occupational choice, adaptation to the work of work, the work-family interface and attainment of stable employment are issues of concern for the cohorts of young men, aged 14-24 in 1966 and young women, aged l4-24 in 1968. For middle aged men, aged 45-59 in 1966, issues of declining health, unemployment, the obsolescence of skills, and age discrimination are of concern. Among women 30-44 in 1967, the key issue initially was labor force re-entry for women as their children became older. Subsequently, issues associated with women's retirement became important. Following these cohorts over time enables analysts both to describe the situations of different population groups and to understand the factors that are antecedents and consequences of situations ranging from education and employment, to marriage and family, to economic status.

SPONSORSHIP These four longitudinal surveys were initiated by the Office of Manpower Policy Evaluation, and Research of the Department of Labor. The Center for Human Research of Ohio State University has developed the questionnaires and makes computer tapes and a wide range of documentation available. Field work is conducted by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

DESIGN
Each of the four age-sex cohorts is represented by a multi-stage probability sample. To provide samples of blacks that would produce statistically reliable statistics, households in enumeration districts that were primarily black were sampled at a rate between three and four times that of other households. From over 35,000 inhabited housing units, a sample of 5050 men 45-59 was interviewed. A sample of 5225 males 14-24, excluding males on active military service was interviewed. Five thousand eight-three women, 30-44, and 5,159 young women $14-24$ were also interviewed. The total number of households represented in the four NLS samples is 13,582 ; thus the sample includes a number of
families that have contributed more than one respondent. Initially, most interviews were conducted in person; however the majority of the interviews conducted in person; however the majority of the interviews conducted during the 1970 s were done on the telephone. Data have been weighted to adjust for over-sam- pling and for sample attrition; when weighted, the data are nationally representative. As of the l5-year interview points, approximately 56 percent of the males originally 45-59, 65 percent of the younger men, and about 70 percent of the two women's cohorts interviewed initially were still being interviewed.

Young women were interviewed annually between 1968 and 1973, in 1975, 1977, 1978, 1980, 1982, 1983 and 1985. Further interviews are planned for 1987 and 1988.

Women were interviewed annually between 1967-69, in 1971-1972, 1974, 1976, 1977, 1979, 1981, 1982, and 1984. Interviews are tentatively planned for 1986 and 1987.

Young men were interviewed annually between 1966 and 1971, in 1975, 1976, 1978, 1980, and 1983. Further interviews have been cancelled.

Men were interviewed annually between 1966 and 1969 , in 1971, 1973, 1975, 1976, 1978, 1980, 1981, and 1983. Further interviews have been cancelled.

CONTENT
In keeping with the primary orientation of the surveys toward labor force issues, numerous questions focus on employment experience, unemployment, income, and training. However, quite a bit of information was collected about the family background and the social and economic status of the family as well. None of the respondents were still children after the mid-l970s; however, a majority of the young women and young men had become parents by the 1980s, and some limited information is available about their children. Considerable information, shown below, was collected on the family situation of the young men and young women respondents when they were growing up.
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AVAILABILITY Data tapes and complete documentation as well as a publications list are available from the Center for Human Resource Research, 5701 North High Street, Worthington, Ohio 43085.

Contact: Pat Rhoton or the respective cohort coordinators:

Mature men - Gilbert Nestel
Mature women - Lois Shaw
Young men - Stephen Hills
Young women - Frank Mott, or Principal Investigator for the NLS - Ken Wolpin (514) 888-8238 or (614) 422-7337

TITLE Vital Statistics of the United States--Natality

PURPOSE The purpose of the natality reporting system is to collect and tabulate at the federal level data on births from the 50 states and the District of Columbia. Demographic and health information can be analyzed by researchers and policymakers interested in assessing the health of infants and pinpointing health problems, making population projections and estimates, and measuring progress made by national health programs. In addition, the birth certificate provides legal proof of the birth.

DESIGN

CONTENT

The National Center for Health Statistics, vital Statistics Division, collects and publishes natality data.

Data are collected at the local level and forwarded to the state level. States report the data to the Division of Vital Statistics. A certificate for all live births and for stillbirths is completed by the attending physician or other health personnel. One hundred percent of the births are reported to NCHS in 42 states and 50 percent are reported in the remaining areas.

Data collection is continuous. Monthly and annual reports are issued.

The certificate of live birth, which is the source of vital registration data, contains a limited number of items. The mother's marital status is reported for only 41 states and D.C.; as of 1980 it is inferred for 9 states by comparing parent and child surnames. Parent educations is reported for 47 states and D.C.

Not all states obtain all information and the range of data is limited (see above).

AVAILABILITY Data tapes may be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (703) 487-4780.

Contact: Stephanie Ventura, Selma Taffel or Bob Heuser, Chief (301) 436-8954, Natality Branch, Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, Maryland 20792

PURPOSE The primary purpose of The Current Population Survey is to provide monthly measures of the characteristics of the labor force, labor force participation, employment, and unemployment in the United States as well as individual states and regions. In addition the survey serves as a vehicle for a series of supplements, conducted with varying degrees of regularity. Recent supplements have included job tenure and occupational mobility (January), demographic and income supplement (March), alimony and child support (April), multiple job holding (May), fertility (June), immunization (September), school enrollment (October), and voting and registration (November). These supplements are not necessarily conducted each year. For example, the voting and registration supplements are conducted only in elections years.

SPONSORSHIP

DESIGN
The core survey is funded by the U.S. Department of Labor, which is responsible for its content. The Supplements are funded by a variety of sponsors, such as the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (some of the fertility and childcare supplements) and the National Center for Education Statistics (the education supplements). The data are collected by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

The survey is designed to be representative of all persons age 14 or over living in households in the United States. More specifically it covers the civilian noninstitutional population plus armed forces personnel living off-base or living on base with their families. A multi-stage probability sampling method is used involving first the selection of geographically defined primary sampling units (629 in 1982), next (through sub-stages) the selection of households within sampling units (63,000 households in 1982), and finally the identification of all persons 14 and over in sample households. In 1983, interviews, conducted in person, were obtained in 60,000 of the 63,000 households selected. The sample is designed to cover each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

The sample is slowly changed through the use of rotation groups. Any given rotation group is in the sample for

4 months, leaves the sample for 8 months, and returns for a final 4 months. In any given month the sample is composed of households from 8 different rotation groups.

PERIODICITY The survey was begun in 1940 and has been conducted monthly since then. For the purpose of measuring employment, that week which contains the l2th of the month is used as a reference week.

## CONTENT

AVAILABILITY
In addition to data on employment, unemployment, personal income, and work-related activities, the core survey collects data on family income, housing tenure, household composition, age, sex, education, race/ origin, and marital status.

A rich array of published tabulations are available in The Current Population Reports, especially Series P-20 (population characteristics), Series P-23 (special studies), Series P-25 (population estimates and projections) and $\mathrm{P}-60$ (consumer income).

Machine-readable micro data files are available from the Bureau of the Census for most months (for information about the availability of data for a particular month, inquiry may be made at Customer Services). Each file contains the data for a particular month. The first year for which files are available is 1968. Files for March are typically available 3-4 months after the survey date. The delay for other months may be longer.

Contact: Greg Weiland 301/763-2773
Data Users Services Division: Customer Services 301/763-4100

PURPOSE

SPONSORSHIP

DESIGN

PERIODICITY

CONTENT

The fertility supplements are designed to provide national estimates of women's fertility and expectations for future births. In addition some supplements (1977, 1982) have provided information about the child care arrangements used by working mothers for their youngest child under age 5.

The fertility and birth expectations portions of the supplement are entirely a project of the U.S. Bureau of the Census. The child care portions of the 1977 supplement was sponsored by the Department of Health and Human Services and an expanded fertility supplement in 1980 was jointly sponsored by the Bureau and the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

A description of the basic design of the Current Population Survey was provided in the write-up of the core survey. The supplemental questions have been asked of all persons in sampled households meeting certain eligibility requirements. Most recently these criteria are being an never-married female age 15-59 or a never married female age 18-59. Birth expectation questions are asked of women 18-44. However, these age criteria have varied from as low as age 14 to as high as age 75. In the expanded fertility supplement marital history data were gathered on men age $15-75$ as well as women.

The supplement has been conducted each June since 1971. A supplement is planned for 1984.

Each supplement collects data on fertility and birth expectations. In addition the 1971, 1975, and 1980 supplements provide data on marriages and child spacing; and the 1977 and 1982 supplements, on child care. The 1980 supplement for the first time collected data on the marriage histories of men as well as of women, and included questions about men's children under 18 from previous marriages and whether any of these children live elsewhere.

The usual supplement is quite brief, only providing data on total number of births, the birthdate of the youngest (sometimes also the oldest) child, and the number of additional children expected. The child care sections in 1977 and 1982 cover only child care arrangements of working mothers with children under 5, and for only the youngest of these children. Data are gathered on the kind of payment (cash or non-cash) but not the amount. The exclusion of unmarried women under 18 from any of the supplements means that no data on out-ofwedlock births to younger teenagers are available from this source.

Analyses of data from the marriage histories have shown that such retrospective histories are subject to considerable error, especially with regard to events several years in the past. The survey's practice of obtaining information from proxy respondents undoubtedly compounds this effect. Since most respondents are women, the data for men are most seriously affected.

Comparisons with other sources of data also show that the reports of men's children from previous marriages living elsewhere are too low.

AVAILABILITY Refer to the description of the core survey. Machinereadable micro-data files are available for June from 1973. The latest tape currently available containing data from the June supplement is for 1982.

| TITLE | The National Surveys of Young Women and Men (KantnerZelnik data) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PURPOSE | The Kantner-Zelnik studies have been a primary source of data on sexual experience of U.S. females between the ages of 15 and 19 during the $1970^{\prime}$ s and males age 17 to 21 in 1979. In addition, the three surveys (1971, 1976, and 1979) collected information on contraceptive use, pregnancies, pregnancy intention, and sex education experience. |
| SPONSORSHIP | John I. Kantner and Melvin Zelnik have been the principal investigators of these surveys. Funding has been provided by the Center for Population Research, NICHD, the Ford Foundation, and General Services Foundation. |
| DESIGN | The designs have differed slightly for the three interviews. The 1971 survey interviewed 15-19 year old women living in households in the continental United States, $N=4611$, and by means of a separate sample, young women living in college dormitories, total $N=4611$, and by means of a separate sample, young women living in college dormitories, total $N=219$. The 1976 survey sampled 2500 women born between March 1956 and February 1961 (age l5-19) living in households in the continental United States. The 1979 survey included both young women and young men living in households in Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas (SMSAs) in the continental United States. Eligible female respondents were born between March 1959 and February 1964 (ages 15-19), total $N=1,717$, and eligible men between March 1957 and February 1962, total $N=917$. |
| PERIODICITY | Interviews have been conducted in three different years: 1971, 1976 and 1979. There have been different respondents in each cohort. |
| CONTENT | Detailed data are collected on sexual activity, contraceptive use, pregnancy, pregnancy intention, and sex education experience. Some background information was also collected. |
| LIMITATIONS | Under-reporting of abortions, pregnancies and births. |


| TITLE | Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI) |
| :---: | :---: |
| PURPOSE | The Alan Guttmacher Institute is a primary source of data on U.S. abortion services. The AGI has surveyed all identified abortion providers in each state each year since 1973. |
| SPONSORSHIP | The Alan Guttmacher Institute, which receives support from a variety of private foundations. |
| DESIGN | All identified abortion providers in each state are surveyed. |
| PERIODICITY | The survey has covered each year from 1973-1982. |
| CONTENT | Data on age, race, marital status, education, number of children, gestation at abortion, number of previous abortions, and method of abortion are obtained from the Centers for Disease Control and combined with AGI data on the total number of abortions to generate national estimates. |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Includes women using contraception and those not using contraception who had sexual intercourse in the last 3 months and were not pregnant, post partum, seeking pregnancy, or noncontraceptively sterile.
    ${ }^{2}$ Includes foam, periodic abstinence, withdrawal, douche, suppositories, and other methods.
    ${ }^{3}$ Includes white, black, and other races.

[^1]:    *Infant mortality rates based on less than 30 sample cases do not meet standards of reliability or precision.

    Source: K.G. Keppel, P.J. Placek, G.A. Simpson and S.S. Kessel "Infant Mortality Rates from the 1980 National Natality Survey and Twenty Year Trend Comparisons" NCHS, Unpublished paper, 1985.

